HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN

FOR BOWIE COUNTY TEXAS

AND THE JURISDICTIONS OF

DEKALB, HOOKS, LEARY, MAUD, NASH, NEW BOSTON, RED LICK, REDWATER, WAKE VILLAGE and TEXAMERICAS CENTER



INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS

DEVELOPED BY ARK-TEX COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

January 19, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Cover | 1 |
|-------------------|---|
| | |
| | |
| Table of Contents | |

SECTION I

| Purpose Plan Organizational Structure The Planning Process Benefits of Mitigation Planning The Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Process | 7-8 |
|--|-------|
| Organize Resources Risk and Vulnerability Assessment Develop Mitigation Strategies Resource Information Adoption, Implementation and Maintenance Map: Bowie County Location in Texas. Map: Bowie County. | 14 |
| Economic Considerations Table: Bowie County Jurisdictions Ranked by Population Table: Bowie County Team Members Table: Identified Area Stakeholders Public Participation | |
| SECTION II | |
| Hazard Identification and Assessment. Extreme Weather and Climate Change Hazard Identification Table: Hazards by Area of Risk Table: Hazards Not Included in the Bowie Plan Table: Hazards How and Why | 20-23 |
| | |

- Table: Property Damage Assessments
- Hazard Assessment Elements
- Hazard Analysis

SECTION III

| Hazard Descriptions |
|--|
| Flood |
| Maps: Bowie County Flood Zones Flood Plain Maps Flood Plain Maps Narrative Table: Flooding Past Occurrences in Bowie County Table: Flood Risk |
| Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities |
| Flood Location, Probability Impact, Vulnerability, Summary |
| Tornadoes |
| Thunderstorm Winds |
| Table: The Beaufort Scale Thunderstorm Winds Past Occurrence in Bowie County Table: Bowie County Thunderstorm Winds Risk Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities Table: Estimated Property Loss at 15% Thunderstorm Winds: Probability, Vulnerability, Impact, Location, Summary |
| Lightning |
| Description Table: Lightning Activity Level (LAL) Map: Lightning Incidences in Texas (2006-2015) Table: Bowie County Lightning Risk Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities Table: Estimated Property Loss at 15% Historical Occurrences Lightning: Probability, Vulnerability, Impact, Location, Summary |

| Winter Storms |
|---|
| Description |
| Table: Wind Chill Chart |
| Potential Damage/Loss Due to Ice Storms |
| Past Occurrences of Ice Storms in Bowie County |
| Table: Bowie County Winter Storms Risk |
| Map: Bowie County Winter Costs |
| Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities |
| Winter Storm: Location, Impact, Probability, Vulnerability, Summary |
| |
| Hailstorm |
| Description |
| Table: Combined NOAA/TORRO Hailstorm Intensity Scales |
| History of Hailstorms in Bowie County |
| Map: Hail Dollar Losses |
| Table: Bowie County Hailstorm Risk |
| Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities |
| Location, Probability, Impact, Vulnerability, Summary |
| Drought |
| Description |
| Map: Historical Dollar Losses |
| Map: Drought /Abnormal Dryness Dollar Loss Forecast |
| Past Occurrences of Drought in Bowie County |
| Table: Bowie County Drought Risk |
| Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities |
| Drought: Probability, Vulnerability, Impact, Location, Summary |
| Extreme Heat |
| Description |
| Bowie County Extreme Heat |
| Extreme Heat Past Occurrences |
| Table: NOAA National Weather Service Heat Index |
| Table: Bowie County Extreme Heat Risk |
| Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities |
| Extreme Heat: Probability, Vulnerability, Impact, Location, Summary |
| |
| Dam Failure100-110 |
| Description |
| Table: Dams in Bowie County |
| Map: Dams in Bowie County |
| Table: Bowie County Dam Failure Risk |

• Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities

• Dam Failure: Location Probability, Vulnerability, Impact Summary

Wildfire......111-133

- Description
- A History of Wildfires in Texas
- ISO Fire Protection Classes for Bowie County
- Table: Expected Fire Conditions with Varying KBDI Levels
- Potential Wildfire Damages and Losses in Bowie County
- Past Occurrences of Wildfire in Bowie County
- Map: Keetch-Byram Drought Index
- Map: Outdoor Burn Bans
- Map Legend: Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)
- WUI Maps
- Map: Historical Dollar Losses
- Map: Wildfire Dollar Loss Forecast
- Table: Bowie County Wildfire Risk
- Table: Bowie County Critical Facilities
- Wildfire: Probability, Vulnerability, Impact, Location, Summary

SECTION IV

Mitigation Goals and Long-Term Strategy......134-215

- Mitigation Plan Goals
- Plan Update Mitigation Strategy
- Method of Prioritization: STAPLE + E
- Jurisdictions 2017 Actions Review
- Comprehensive Range of Specific Mitigation Actions Tables
- Jurisdictions 2022 Actions

SECTION V

- Monitoring and Implementation
- Updating
- Integration
- Signed Resolutions
- Public Notice
- Sample Stakeholder E-mail

SECTION I

PURPOSE

The goal of all mitigation efforts is long-term reduction. The emphasis on sustained actions to reduce long-term risk differentiates mitigation from preparedness and response tasks that are required to survive a disaster and form recovery tasks, which are essentially the return to predisaster status. Mitigation actions follow a disaster focus on making the situation safer and better than before the incident occurred. Mitigation is an essential component of emergency management. Effective mitigation actions can decrease the impact, the requirements, and the expense of future hazard events. None of the communities in this plan have been designated for special consideration because of minority or economically disadvantaged populations.

Hazard mitigation planning is never ending. The primary purpose of this plan is to ensure that the residents, visitors, and businesses in Bowie County, Texas are safe and secure from natural hazards by reducing the risk and vulnerability before disasters happen, through federal, state, and local community communication, public education, research, and data analysis. This plan is intended to serve as a guide in coordinating and implementing hazard mitigation policies, programs, and projects.

<u>The Bowie County Emergency Management Plan</u> has been developed, and the assessment level of planning preparedness is intermediate. **The Hazard Mitigation Action Plan Update** will only serve to enhance the County's already considerable capabilities in recognizing, planning for, responding to, and recovering from disaster. The County's history of careful development, monitoring, and integration of emergency management and hazard mitigation planning is testament to its standing commitment to make the jurisdictions as disaster resistant as possible.

The Plans, ordinances, maps, and codes were reviewed by the Hazard Mitigation Committee and staff before mitigation action items and implementation strategies were determined. Information gathered from the Plans, ordinances, maps, permits, and codes were considered and incorporated into this Hazard Mitigation Plan. The lack of various plans and codes were also considered. This was factored in when considering the various mitigation action items and implementation strategies.

We cannot control natural phenomena such as floods, tornadoes, winter storms, wildfires, and other hazardous events. Despite their destructiveness, these occurrences are part of the natural system.

While we cannot prevent natural hazards, we can reduce some of their adverse consequences. We can avoid the worst-case scenario when a hazard does occur by managing the known characteristics of the hazard.

The following objectives will be addressed in the plan:

- What hazards could occur
- Frequency of occurrence
- Hazards impact on community and severity of impact
- Vulnerability to each hazard
- Hazards with greatest risks
- Prioritized mitigation actions

PLAN ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Organizational Structure

Ark-Tex Council of Governments (ATCOG) is an organization comprised of city and county governments, colleges, service organizations, school districts, chambers of commerce, etc., with the goal to build strength through regional cooperation. It is through this regional cooperation that ATCOG can serve its members by working to continually improve the economic, social, educational, and safety aspects of life for citizens of Bowie County.

ATCOG served as the coordinating agency for the development of the plan. As the coordinator, ATCOG had many responsibilities including administration, content organization, and text development. The following is a summary of ATCOG's responsibilities for the plan:

- Assign a lead planning staff member to provide technical assistance and necessary data to the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Planning Team (HMPT).
- Schedule, coordinate and facilitate community meetings with the assistance of the planning team.
- Provide any necessary materials, handouts, etc., necessary for public planning meetings.
- Work with the planning team to collect and analyze data and develop goals and implementation strategies.
- Prepare, based on community input and team direction, the first draft of the plan and provide technical writing assistance for review, editing and formatting.
- Coordinate with stakeholders within the cities and the unincorporated areas of County during plan development.
- Submit the final plan to the State of Texas and provide follow up technical assistance to the Bowie County Community Mitigation Planning Team to correct any noted deficiencies subsequent to the review of the plan by the State of Texas.
- Upon approval by the State of Texas, submit the updated plan to FEMA and provide follow up technical assistance to the Bowie County Community Mitigation Planning Team to address any noted deficiencies subsequent to the review of the plan by FEMA.

- Coordinate adoption and final approval process by all City and Town Councils and the Commissioners Court of the updated and approved FEMA plan.
- Submit a final plan, with adoption documentation and approval signatures, for all participating jurisdictions, to the State and FEMA and ensure plan is noted as complete and approved by both agencies.
- Prepare for and attend City Council/Commissioners Court/public meetings during plan consideration and plan adoption process.
- Complete and acquire approval of all necessary forms associated with the application for Bowie County's Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Grant.

A Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Planning Team (HMPT) was formed consisting of representatives appointed by local jurisdictions to work together with ATCOG in the plan development. The team's primary duties were:

- Ensure that the Bowie County HMPT includes representatives from the neighborhood stakeholder groups. Each participating city must provide at least one representative to the county team and provide active support and input. ATCOG will approve the final composition of the planning team.
- Assist ATCOG staff with identifying hazards and estimating potential losses from future hazard events.
- Assist ATCOG in developing and prioritizing mitigation actions to address the identified risks.
- Assist ATCOG in coordinating meetings to develop the plan.
- Identify the community resources available to support the planning effort.
- ✤ Assist with recruiting participants for planning meetings.
- Gain the support of neighborhood stakeholders for the recommendations resulting from the planning process.
- After adoption, appoint members to a committee to monitor and work toward plan implementation.
- After adoption, publicize the plan to neighborhood interests and ensure new community members are aware of the plan and its contents.
- Subsequent to State of Texas and FEMA approval of the plan, assume responsibility for bringing the plan to life by ensuring it remains relevant by monitoring progress, through regular maintenance and implementation projects. Ensure that Bowie County HMPT includes representatives from the neighborhood stakeholders' groups. Each participating city must provide at least one representative to the county team and provide active support and input. ATCOG will approve the final composition of the planning team.

THE PLANNING PROCESS

Benefits of Mitigation Planning

1. Increases public awareness and understanding of vulnerabilities as well as support for specific actions to reduce losses from future natural disasters.

2. Builds partnerships with diverse stakeholders increasing opportunities to leverage data and resources in reducing workloads as well as achieving shared community objectives.

3. Expands understanding of potential risk reduction measures to include structural and regulatory tools, where available, such as ordinances and building codes.

4. Informs development, prioritization, and implementation of mitigation projects. Benefits accrue over the life of the project as losses are avoided from each subsequent hazard event.

The Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Process.

A multi-jurisdiction plan was chosen to best prepare the communities of Bowie County for Hazards. The Ark-Tex Council of governments worked hand in hand with the jurisdictions within the planning area of Bowie County to develop the current plan. It is through this regional cooperation that ATCOG can serve its members by working to continually improve the economic, social, educational, and safety aspects of life for citizens

Mitigation plans need to be a living document and to ensure this the plan must be monitored, evaluated, and updated on a five-year or less cycle. This includes incorporating the mitigation plan into county and local comprehensive or capital improvement plans as they are developed.

Organize Resources:

Effective planning efforts result in practical and useful plans, but written plans are only one element in the process. The planning process is as important as the plan itself. A successful planning process organizes resources by encouraging cooperation and bringing together a cross-section of government agencies, local entities, concerned citizens and other stake holders to reach consensus on how to achieve a desired outcome or resolve a community issue. Applying a community wide approach and including multiple aspects adds validity to the plan. Those involved gain a better understanding of the problem and how solutions and actions were devised. The result is a common set of community values and widespread support for directing financial, technical, and human resources to an agreed upon action.

✓ A comprehensive county approach was taken in developing the plan. An open public involvement process was established for the public, neighboring communities, regional agencies, businesses, academia, etc. to provide opportunities for everyone to become involved in the planning process and to make their views known. This was done by having public meetings that were advertised with notices in public places and by media press releases.

- ✓ Each participant was explained the Hazard Mitigation Planning Process. These opportunities were also used to gather hazard information, develop mitigation strategies, and edit the plan during the writing process.
- ✓ The review and incorporation of appropriate existing plans, studies, reports, technical information, and other research was included into the plan during its drafting process.
- ✓ Support and information were obtained from other government programs and agencies such as the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), US Geological Survey (USGS), NOAA Weather, etc.

Risk and Vulnerability Assessment:

The plan must be reactive to hazards that face the community. It is not sufficient to just identify the hazards. The potential consequences of these hazards must be assessed. This phase included identifying and profiling all hazards, assessing vulnerability and risk. Research into the history of Bowie County to document past disasters was required. Local libraries, national weather records and the life experiences from local residents were used to assess the plan.

A general assessment included using local residents, historical data, Texas State Mitigation Plan, Local or Regional Reports, Strategic Plans, Flood Studies, and other data to establish the following:

- The type, location and extent of all hazards that can affect the jurisdiction, both historically and in the future.
- Past occurrences of hazard events in or near the community and the severity, duration, and the resulting influences on the area.
- Description of the jurisdictions vulnerability to those hazards including types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities in identified hazard areas.
- Probability or likelihood of hazard occurrence.
- General description of land uses and development trends for future land use decisions.

The development of a Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan involves the use of many types of information including historical data on previous disasters, information on critical infrastructures, zoning and flood plains maps, records, charts, etc., from many sources.

Develop Mitigation Strategies:

Written Strategies were developed to demonstrate how Bowie County, Texas intends to reduce losses identified in the Risk Assessment. It includes goals and objectives to guide the selection of mitigation activities and reduce potential losses. This is a blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment. The Mitigation Strategy also includes:

- A description of mitigation objectives meant to reduce long-term vulnerabilities. These objectives were identified by the HMPT using hazard profiles, survey assessments, etc.
- Identification and a comprehensive analysis of a range of mitigation actions and projects.
- An Action Plan describing how the mitigation actions and projects were prioritized, and how they would be implemented and administered.

Resource Information

Resource information was obtained from the following government programs and agencies:

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), which provided information about flooding and actions needed to satisfy compliance with NFIP.

The US Geological Survey (USGS), provided information that was incorporated into the hazards of drought and flooding.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), provided information about water management and climate change that are found in the identified hazards of drought and extreme heat.

The Texas Hazard Mitigation Plan helped to develop the common language used in the Bowie Mitigation Plans.

The Emergency Management Plan of Bowie County provided information regarding current emergency management preparedness. The information helped determine the most immediate needs relating to all identified mitigated hazards.

Fort Worth. Texas Mitigation Plan provided an example of action tables that was used to organize and clarify the actions.

Texas Wildfire Risk Assessment Portal (TXWRAP) provided statistical graphs and maps regarding wildfire activity in Bowie County. This information is found in the wildfire section of the Plan.

NOAA Weather web site provided information regarding climate data and global warming.

The US Census Bureau provided statistics and population information found throughout the plan.

Team Members were informed of the progress, discussed issues, and were notified of any changes to FEMA's guidelines for the creation of the plan. Existing plans were reviewed to determine how they might be incorporated into the HMAP. The Emergency Management Team Coordinator of Bowie County and the Mayors (or their appointees) of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and representative of TexAmericas Center will oversee the Mitigation Plan.

TexAmericas Center and New Boston are new participants to the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan. Both New Boston and TexAmericas Center were actively involved in this 2022 update and met all participation requirements.

Adoption, Implementation and Maintenance:

This describes the system Bowie County and the participating jurisdictions have established to monitor the plan; provides descriptions of how, when, and by whom the HMPT process and mitigation actions will be evaluated; presents the criteria used to evaluate the plan; and explains how the plan will be maintained and updated.


Through citizen involvement, the plan reflects community issues, concerns, and new ideas and perspectives on mitigation opportunities. Mitigation team members consist of representatives from various county departments and representatives from private organizations, businesses, and various city government officials. Bowie County entered into a contract with The Ark-Tex Council of Governments in Texarkana, Texas, to develop the plan. The Mitigation Action Team assisted in developing plan goals and action items and shared their expertise to create a more comprehensive plan.

Newspaper postings helped publicize the meeting to neighboring counties and non-profits or other interested parties. The Ark-Tex Council of Governments staff has also met many times, had many telephone conversations, and worked individually with officials and employees from the County and each of the cities in gathering the data necessary for the plan.

Upon approval by FEMA the plan will be submitted to the County by the Mitigation Planner for final signatures. The plan will be available for public viewing online at the county seat and the city halls of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and at TexAmericas Center administration building.



BOWIE COUNTY TEXAS



COUNTY GOVERNMENT

County government is spelled out in the Texas Constitution, which makes counties functional agents of the state. Thus, counties, unlike cities, are limited in their actions to areas of responsibility specifically spelled out in laws passed by the legislature.

At the heart of each county is the commissioner's court. Bowie County has four-precinct commissioners and a county judge who serve on this court. This body conducts the general business of the county and oversees financial matters. The major elective offices found include county attorneys, county and district clerks, county treasurer, tax assessor-collector, justices of the peace, and constables. There is an auditor appointed by the district courts.

Economic Considerations

Bowie County and many of the jurisdictions have limited revenues and the population is rural, so the needs of Bowie County remain simple. The county does not have a budget that allows for projects that can be tackled without assistance on the state or federal levels. The jurisdictions do not have elaborate local governments and the entire county is operated and maintained by a handful of dedicated workers.

| Bowie County Jurisdictions Ranked by Population | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|--|
| Ranking | Jurisdiction | Population | |
| 1 | Unincorporated | 35,075 | |
| | Bowie County | | |
| 2 | Wake Village | 5,945 | |
| 3 | New Boston | 4,612 | |
| 4 | Nash | 3,814 | |
| 5 | Hooks | 2,518 | |
| 6 | DeKalb | 1,527 | |
| 7 | Maud | 977 | |
| 8 | Red Lick | 946 | |
| 9 | Redwater | 853 | |
| 10 | Leary | 433 | |
| | TexAmericas Center | N/A | |

The Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan consists of Bowie County and includes the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center.

The Hazard Mitigation Action Team assisted in developing plan goals and action items by using their own skills sets and knowledge to create a more comprehensive plan. A variety of backgrounds and experience were evident in the team members, thus provided an eclectic view of mitigation needs and solutions.

Team meetings, telephone calls and e-mail communication played a role in team member contact and plan completion. Three kick-off meetings were held with one via zoom on Friday, March 11, 2022, and two face to face meetings on March 18 & 29, 2022.

| BOWIE COUNTY TEAM MEMBERS | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Name | Title | |
| Lance Hall | Emergency Management Coordinator (Bowie County) | |
| Lowell Walker | Mayor (Dekalb) | |
| Brandon Whitehurst | (Hooks) | |
| B.J. Martin | Mayor (Leary) | |
| Randy Mansfield | City Administrator (Leary) | |
| Johnny Nichols | Fire Chief (Maud) | |
| Doug Bowers | City Manager (Nash) | |
| Chris Taillon | Fire Chief (New Boston) | |
| Ronald Humphrey | Mayor (New Boston) | |
| Sandy Jackson | City Secretary (Red Lick) | |
| Robert Lorance | Mayor (Redwater) | |
| Hayden Moore | Assistant Fire Chief (Redwater) | |
| John Moran | VP/Chief Financial Officer (TexAmericas Center) | |
| Sheryl Collum | Mayor (Wake Village) | |
| Jim Roberts | City Administrator (Wake Village) | |

Lance Hall

Lance Hall is the Emergency Management Coordinator for Bowie County, Texas. He was the point of contact for most information needed. He provided a wealth of knowledge that was key in plan development as well as arranging for the website posting and the newspaper and courthouse posting. He attended the meeting for the Bowie County Update and was available for phone and email correspondence. Mr. Hall gave input on hazards of concern in Bowie County. He reviewed actions and provided information for the plan update.

Lowell Walker

Lowell Walker is the Mayor of DeKalb, Texas. He provided information hazards of concern in DeKalb. He gave ideas for actions and provided information necessary to formulate risks and develop plan strategies. He attended the meeting and participated by phone and email.

Brandon Whitehurst

Brandon Whitehurst is the Fire Chief for Hooks, Texas. Brandon provided information on hazards of concern in Hooks. He reviewed his actions and helped in the creation of new actions for the plan update. He attended the meeting and participated through phone and email.

Randy Mansfield

Randy Mansfield is the City Administrator for Leary, Texas. Mr. Mansfield participated in the meeting and gave his input on hazards of concern in Leary. He participated in the update by phone and email.

Johnny Nichols

Johnny Nichols is the Fire Chief of Maud, Texas. He participated in the meeting and gave input on hazards of concern in Maud. Mr. Nichols was available for correspondence through phone and email.

Doug Bowers and Steve Rogers

Doug Bowers is the City Manager of Nash, Texas. He participated in the meeting and gave his input on hazards of concern in Nash. Steve Rogers is the Fire Chief in Nash, Texas. He did a review of actions as well as provide input for the plan update. He participated in the update through phone and email.

Ronald Humphrey

Ronald Humphrey is the Mayor of New Boston, Texas. Mayor Humphrey participated in the meeting and gave input on hazards of concern in New Boston. He gave input on the plan update through phone and email.

Sandy Jackson

Sandy Jackson is the City Secretary of Red Lick, Texas. Mrs. Jackson participated in the meeting for the Bowie County Plan update. She gave input on hazards of concern in Red Lick. She did a review of the actions in current plan and provided input for the update. She participated through phone and email.

Hayden Moore

Hayden Moore is the Assistant Fire Chief in Redwater, Texas. Mr. Moore participated in the meeting and gave input on hazards of concern in Redwater Texas. He was available for correspondence through phone and email.

Sheryl Collum and Jim Roberts

Sheryl Collum is the Mayor of Wake Village, Texas. She participated in the meeting and was available by phone or email. Jim Roberts is the City Administrator for Wake Village, Texas. Mr. Roberts gave input on hazards of concern in Wake Village. He reviewed actions and gave suggestions for actions in the plan. He participated through phone and email.

John Moran

John Moran is the Vice President of Finance at TexAmericas Center. Mr. Moran attended the meeting and gave information on hazards that were of concern for TexAmericas Center. He provided valuable information on TexAmericas Center for the plan. He created actions for the plan. He participated through phone and email.

A list of stakeholders was developed, and contacts were made by phone and/or by e-mail. The list includes the neighboring county judges and members of the school system, the local hospitals, and the local director of the Red Cross. A draft of the plan was posted on the Bowie County Website on June 24, 2022, and notices were sent to stakeholders on June 29, 2022.

| Bowie County Stakeholders | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Name | Title | Company | Location | Type of Contact |
| L.D. Williamson | County Judge | Red River County | Clarkesville, TX | Phone and email |
| Doug Reeder | Judge | Morris County | Daingerfield, TX | Phone and email |
| Becky Williams | Judge | Cass County | Linden, TX | Phone and email |
| Jason Adams | President | Christus St. Michael | Texarkana, TX | Phone and email |
| Tom Gilbert | President | Wadley Hospital | Texarkana, TX | Phone and email |
| Christal Prince | Director | American Red Cross | Texarkana, TX | Phone and email |
| Keith Minter | Superintendent | Hooks ISD | Hooks, TX | Phone and email. |
| Traci Drake | Superintendent | Hubbard ISD | Hubbard, TX | Phone and email |
| Chris Galloway | Superintendent | DeKalb ISD | DeKalb, TX | Phone and email |
| Jennifer Dear | Administrator | Leary ISD | Leary, TX | Phone and email |
| Stacy Starrett | Superintendent | Malta ISD | Malta, TX | Phone and email |
| Chris Bradshaw | Superintendent | Maud ISD | Maud, TX | Phone and email |
| Brian Bobbitt | Superintendent | New Boston ISD | New Boston, TX | Phone and email |
| Brandon Dennard | Superintendent | Red Lick ISD | Red Lick, TX | Phone and email |
| Kelly Burns | Superintendent | Redwater ISD | Redwater, TX | Phone and email |
| Rex Burks | Superintendent | Simms ISD | Simms, TX | Phone and email |
| Doug Brubaker | Superintendent | Texarkana ISD | Texarkana, TX | Phone and email |

No identified stakeholders replied to the emails or the posting notice.

Public Participation

Public participation is a key component to strategic planning processes. Citizen participation offers citizens the chance to voice their ideas, interests, and opinions. Opportunities were given to the citizens of Bowie County to participate in planning and to review the plan.

On June 24, 2022, a plan draft was posted on the Bowie County Website. Contact information was posted on the site. Notices were posted at the courthouse and the county clerk's office on June 27, 2022, and in the local newspaper running July 10, 2022, and July 13, 2022. There were no public comments or suggestions offered during the plan development process.

SECTION II

HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT

Extreme Weather and Climate Change

Currently there is a strong scientific consensus that the Earth is warming and that this warming is mainly caused by human activities. This consensus is supported by various studies of scientists' opinions and by position statements of scientific organizations, many of which explicitly agree with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) synthesis reports.

Nearly all publishing climate scientist (97-98%) support the consensus on anthropogenic climate change, and the remaining 3% of contrarian studies either cannot be replicated or contain errors.

One of the most visible consequences of a warming world is an increase in the intensity and frequency of extreme weather events. The National Climate Assessment finds that the number of heat waves, heavy downpours, and major hurricanes has increased in the United States, and the strength of these events has increased, too.

There are no national or major scientific institutions anywhere in the world that would dispute the theory of anthropogenic climate change **that will increase the likelihood of unstable weather patterns.**

Climate models have previously shown that Earth will see more heavy rainstorms as the atmosphere warms, but a new climate model developed by NASA researchers is the first to show the difference in strength between storms that occur over land and those over the ocean and how storms strengths will change in general.

These conclusions are particularly bad news for the storm-prone portions of the central and eastern United States, where strong winds are a major source of weather-related casualties. Also, according to NASA, Global warming will make severe thunderstorms and tornadoes a more common feature of U.S. weather.

The western United States will not catch a break either – while it is expected to get drier, the storms that so form are likely to have more lightning, which could then trigger more wildfires.

No single weather event can be directly attributed to climate change. But as the globe warms up, Americans can expect more storms bearing done on much of the United States, scientist say.

Even increased snowfall has a climate change connection. That is not because of the Feb. 1, 2011, storm can be linked to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide levels or increasing global temperature – again, such a connection is impossible to make – but, according to climatologists, an increased propensity for winter storms is exactly what you would expect in a warming climate.

"There's no consistency at all," Michael Mann, the director of the Penn State Earth System Science Center, told LiveScience. "If anything, this is what the models project: that we see more of these very large snowfalls."

"Drier conditions near the ground combined with higher lightning flash rates per storm may end up intensifying wildfire damage," said study leader Tony Del Genio of NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies in New York.

"Climate is the statistic of weather over the long term," Ken Caldeira, a senior scientist at the Carnegie Institute for Science at Stanford University, told LiveScience. "No specific weather event can by itself confirm or disprove the body of scientific knowledge associated with climate change."

Regardless of individual views regarding global warming, extreme weather patterns over the last ten years are self-evident. We can easily predict that continued extremes in weather, like those mentioned above, will occur in the foreseeable future.

Hazard Identification

All of Bowie County and the jurisdictions included in this plan are susceptible to several possible natural hazards. According to the FEMA National Risk Index Bowie Counties risk for all hazards is relatively moderate. 82.6% of U.S. Counties have a lower risk index and 72.4% of counties in Texas have a lower Risk Index. The Hazard Mitigation Team with the assistance of the Ark-Tex Council of Governments Hazard Mitigation planners conducted a comprehensive Hazard Analysis beginning March 21, 2022. The hazard analysis will be reviewed annually and updated as needed during the formal review process.

The Hazard Mitigation Team identified the following hazards that had the potential to cause personal or property damage in the county:

- Flood
- Tornado
- Winter Storm
- Thunderstorm Winds
- □ Hailstorm
- Drought
- Wildfire
- □ Lightning
- Dam Failure
- Extreme Heat

| Hazards with distinct area of risk | Hazards without distinct area of risk |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Flood | Tornado |
| Dam Failure | Drought |
| Wildfire | Lightning |
| | Winter Storm |
| | Thunderstorm Winds |
| | Hailstorm |
| | Extreme Heat |

AREAS OF RISK

Hazards Listed in the Texas Hazard Mitigation Plan Not Included in the Bowie County Plan

| Hazard | Reason for Exclusion | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Tropical storms | Bowie County is over 300 miles from the Texas coast. Tropical storms are not an issue for Bowie | |
| | | |
| | County. The planning area has no history of | |
| | Tropical Storms hazards: therefore, no impacts | |
| | are expected in the future. | |
| Coastal erosion | Bowie County is over 300 miles from the coast. | |
| | Coastal erosion is not an issue for Bowie County. | |
| | The planning area has no history of Coastal | |
| | erosion hazard: therefore, no impacts are | |
| | expected in the future. | |
| Expansive soils | There is no evidence that expansive soil is an issue for Bowie County. The planning area has no | |
| | | |
| | history of expansive soils hazard; therefore, no | |
| | impacts are expected in the future. | |
| Land subsidence | There is no evidence that land subsidence is an | |
| | issue for Bowie County. The planning area has no | |
| | history of Land Subsidence hazard; therefore, no | |
| | impacts are expected in the future. | |
| Earthquake | 1 of 11 jurisdictions chose earthquakes as a | |
| | hazard. It was not included in the plan because | |
| | there have been 0 earthquakes in Bowie County | |
| | since 1931 and the probability of having a F5 | |
| | tornado in the next 50 years in .4%. | |

The process for identifying hazards included looking at historical data to determine which hazards seemed to occur in Bowie County. Sources used were newspaper articles, general local knowledge of jurisdictions' staff and local residents, NOAA Satellite and Information Service, National Climatic Data Center reports, and advice from FEMA Hazard Mitigation Plan reviewers and the Texas Department of Emergency Management staff.

Hazards How and Why

| Hazard | How Identified | Why Identified | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Flood | Review Repetitive Flood Properties. NOAA Newspaper accounts Input from public Review of FIRMS | The County contains many creeks, streams, and rivers. The County has experienced flooding in the past. Flooding is a frequent issue. | |
| Tornado | Public Input National Weather Service History NCDC Data Base | Public ConcernHistoryFrequency | |
| Winter Storm | Past Disasters (2000 ice storm) costliest in recent memory. Public input NOAA National Weather Center | Little equipment to fight ice and snow. Heavy psychological toll on population. Population not educated about dealing with outages etc. | |
| Thunderstorm Winds | NOAA reports Public Input Newspaper Accounts | Wind shears an ongoing problem Severe thunderstorms with accompanying high winds occur every year. | |
| Hail | Newspaper accountsNOAAInput from public | FrequencyPast HistoryPublic Concern | |
| Droughts | HistoryReview of NCDC databasePublic Input | Costly to agri-business.Drought common to state and county. | |
| Extreme Heat | HistoryReview of NCDC databasePublic Input | Costly to agri-business. Extreme heat common to state and county. | |
| Wildfire | Fire databases Public Input Texas Forestry Newspaper Articles | More wildfire occurrences than any other natural disaster. Can be common to drought and storms. Rural areas most vulnerable. | |
| Lightning | HistoryReview of NCDC databasePublic Input | Past HistoryPublic Concern | |
| Dam Failure | Public Input | Dams in Texarkana and in the county pose possible threats to life and property. | |

Determining Risk

The following tables represent the factors used to calculate overall risk in Bowie County or in the participating jurisdictions.

| Potential Severity of Impact: (45% of Priority Risk Index) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Possible fatalities | | |
| SUBSTANTIAL | Complete shutdown of facilities for 30 days or more | | |
| Index Value = 4 | More than 50 percent of property destroyed or with major damage | | |
| | Possible permanent disability from injuries and illnesses | | |
| MAJOR | Complete shutdown of critical facilities for at least 2 weeks | | |
| Index Value - 3 | More than 25 percent of property destroyed or with major damage | | |
| | Injuries and/or illnesses do no result in permanent disability | | |
| MINOR | Complete shutdown of critical facilities for more than 1 week | | |
| Index Value = 2 | More than 10 percent of property destroyed or with major damage | | |
| | Injuries and/or illnesses are treatable with first aid | | |
| LIMITED | Shutdown of critical facilities and services for 24 hours or less | | |
| Index Value = 1 | Less than 10 percent of property destroyed or with major damage | | |

| Severity x .45 + Probabilit | / x .30 + Warning Time x | .15 + Duration x .10 = Risk |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | 110 Baracion X 110 Kisk |

| Probability of Future Events: (30% of Priority Risk Index) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Highly Likely | Event probable in the next year | | |
| Index Value = 4 | 1/1 = 1.00 (Greater than .33) | | |
| Likely | Event probable in next 3 years | | |
| Index Value = 3 | 1/3 = .33 (Greater than 0.20, but less than or equal to 0.33) | | |
| Occasional | Event probable in next 5 years | | |
| Index Value = 2 | 1/5 = 0.20 (Greater than 0.10, but less than or equal to 0.20) | | |
| Unlikely | Event probable in next 10 years | | |
| Index Value = 1 | 1/10 = 0.10 90.10 or less) | | |

Formula for probability: # events divided by the # of years on record i.e., 10 flood events in a 20-year period would give a 10/20 = .50 Value index of 4 (Highly Likely)

| Warning Time: (15% of Priority Risk Index) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Index Value = 4 | Less than 6 hours | | | |
| Index Value = 3 6 to 12 hours | | | | |
| Index Value = 2 | 12 to 24 hours | | | |
| Index Value = 1 | Index Value = 1 More than 24 hours | | | |

| Duration: (10% of Priority Risk Index) | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|
| Index Value = 4 More than a week | | | |
| Index Value = 3 Less than a week | | | |
| Index Value = 2 Less than 24 hours | | | |
| Index Value = 1 | Less than 6 hours | | |

Priority Risk Index (PRI)

| High Risk | PRI of 3.0 or greater |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| Medium Risk | PRI score 2.0 to 3.0 |
| Low Risk | PRI score less than 2.0 |

PRI Value = (Impact x .45%) + (Probability x 30%) + (Warning Time x 15%) + (Duration x 10%)

Vulnerability is categorized as "Low" to "High." These terms are defined as follows:

| Vulnerability | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| LOW | Limited or no history of significant impacts to property, infrastructure and/or public safety. | | |
| MODERATE | People and facilities located in areas that have low levels of historic occurrence of impacts from hazard and/or in areas where impact is possible but not probable. | | |
| HIGH | People and facilities located in areas that have previously experienced impacts from hazards and/or in areas where impacts from hazards are possible and probable. Future damage to property and infrastructure is probable and/or a documented history of threat to public safety exists. | | |

PROPRTY DAMAGE ASSESSMENT

The following damage assessment tables are used to estimate monetary loss due to natural hazards in Bowie County.

| BOWIE COUNTY UNINCORPORATED | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$1,139,707,256 | \$854,780,442 | \$569,853,628 | \$284,926,814 |
| Commercial | \$63,120,483 | \$47,340,362 | \$31,560,242 | \$15,780,121 |
| Industrial | \$27,520,791 | \$20,640,593 | \$13,760,396 | \$6,880,198 |
| Exempt Property | \$930,769 | \$698 <i>,</i> 076.75 | \$465,385 | \$232,692 |
| Totals | \$1,231,279,300 | \$923,459,475 | \$615,639,650 | \$307,819,825 |

| DEKALB | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$43,898,100 | \$32,923,575 | \$21,949,050 | \$10,974,525 |
| Commercial | \$15,711,473 | \$11,783,605 | \$7,855,737 | \$3,927,868 |
| Industrial | \$111,107 | \$83 <i>,</i> 330 | \$55,554 | \$27,777 |
| Exempt Property | \$279,868 | \$209,901 | \$139,934 | \$69,967 |
| Totals | \$60,000,548 | \$45,000,411 | \$30,000,274 | \$15,000,137 |

| HOOKS | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$65,644,204 | \$49,233,153 | \$32,822,102 | \$16,411,051 |
| Commercial | \$10,948,332 | \$8,211,249 | \$5,474,166 | \$2,737,083 |
| Industrial | \$76,805 | \$57,604 | \$38,403 | \$19,201 |
| Exempt Property | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0.0 | \$0.00 |
| Totals | \$76,669,341 | \$57,502,006 | \$38,334,671 | \$19,167,335 |

| LEARY | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$10,098,241 | \$7,573,681 | \$5,049,121 | \$2,524,560 |
| Commercial | \$6,099,415 | \$4,574,561 | \$3,049,708 | \$1,524,854 |
| Industrial | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Exempt Property | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Totals | \$16,197,656 | \$12,148,242 | \$8,098,828 | \$4,049,414 |

| MAUD | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$26,000,372 | \$19,500,279 | \$13,000,186 | \$6,500,093 |
| Commercial | \$2,723,010 | \$2,042,258 | \$1,361,505 | \$680,753 |
| Industrial | \$80,487 | \$60,365 | \$40,244 | \$20,122 |
| Exempt Property | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Totals | \$28,803,869 | \$21,602,902 | \$14,401,935 | \$7,200,967 |

| NASH | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$89,094,123 | \$66,820,592 | \$44,547,062 | \$22,273,531 |
| Commercial | \$30,886,390 | \$23,164,793 | \$15,443,195 | \$7,721,598 |
| Industrial | \$39,054,915 | \$29,291,186 | \$19,527,458 | \$9,763,729 |
| Exempt Property | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Totals | \$159,035,428 | \$119,276,571 | \$79,517,714 | \$39,758,857 |

| NEW BOSTON | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$126,622,007 | \$94,966,505 | \$63,311,004 | \$31,655,502 |
| Commercial | \$61,396,701 | \$46,047,526 | \$30,698,351 | \$15,349,175 |
| Industrial | \$474,478 | \$355,859 | \$237,239 | \$118,620 |
| Exempt Property | \$271,406 | \$203,554.50 | \$135,703.0 | \$67,852 |
| Totals | \$188,764,592 | \$141,573,444 | \$94,382,296 | \$47,191,148 |

| RED LICK | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$67,804,352 | \$50,853,264 | \$33,902,176 | \$16,951,088 |
| Commercial | \$715,113 | \$536,335 | \$357,557 | \$178,778 |
| Industrial | \$723,231 | \$542,423 | \$361,616 | \$180,808 |
| Exempt Property | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Totals | \$69,242,696 | \$51,932,022 | \$34,621,348 | \$17,310,674 |

| REDWATER | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Residential | \$19,103,053 | \$14,327,290 | \$9,551,527 | \$4,775,763 |
| Commercial | \$3,596,624 | \$2,697,468 | \$1,798,312 | \$899,156 |
| Industrial | \$85,924 | \$64,443 | \$42,962 | \$21,481 |
| Exempt Property | \$51,059 | \$38,294.25 | \$25,529.5 | \$12,764.75 |
| Totals | \$22,836,660 | \$17,127,495 | \$11,418,330 | \$5,709,165 |

| WAKE VILLAGE | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% | |
| Residential | \$273,936,997 | \$205,452,748 | \$136,968,499 | \$68,484,249 | |
| Commercial | \$29,015,734 | \$21,761,801 | \$14,507,867 | \$7,253,934 | |
| Industrial | \$1,040,520 | \$780,390 | \$520,260 | \$260,130 | |
| Exempt Property | \$18,975 | \$14,231.25 | \$9,487 | \$4,743.75 | |
| Totals | \$304,012,226 | \$228,009,169.50 | \$152,006,113 | \$76,003,057 | |

| TEXAMERICAS CENTER | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Structure Type | \$ Value | 75% | 50% | 25% | |
| Residential | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Commercial | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Industrial | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Exempt Property | \$23,336,568.16 | \$17,502,426.12 | \$11,668,284.08 | \$5,834,142.04 | |
| Totals | \$23,336,568.16 | \$17,502,426.12 | \$11,668,284.08 | \$5,834,142.04 | |

Hazard Assessment Elements

The Hazard Profiles, found in the following sections, were prepared for each identified natural hazard and assess the hazard per the following seven elements.

- 1. **Description:** Identification and description of hazards likely to affect the multijurisdictional area along with the sources used to identify these hazards.
- 2. Location: The location or geographic area affected by each natural hazard along with a map of the area affected.
- 3. **Impact: Impact** describes the hazard's potential severity of impact that the hazard event is capable of inflicting upon the county and jurisdictions. Classification methods such as the Fujita Scale and Richter Scales are used to illustrate the extent. Due to the limited amount of county and city specific documented data, some of the analysis for determining potential severity was limited to obtaining opinion and information furnished by residents, emergency responders, and the county and city Emergency Management Coordinators.
- 4. **Previous Occurrences:** Previous Occurrences describes the hazard in terms of what, when, and where past events have occurred and the extent of damages.
- 5. **Probability of Future Events**: Probability of Future Events described the probability that the hazard will occur within the county and jurisdictions.
- 6. Vulnerability: Vulnerability describes how exposed or susceptible to damage the county is in terms of why and where the hazard can occur within the county and/or the other jurisdictions. The vulnerability is the risk of future occurrences. HAZUS, THMP, and other local data were used to establish a base map and conduct risk assessments.
- 7. **Overall Summary of Vulnerability and Impacts:** This section summarizes the vulnerability of the entire county and the possible impacts of the natural disaster.

HAZARD ANALYSIS

Simply put, hazard analysis is an evaluation of the types of hazards (emergencies) that have occurred in the past or could occur in the future, identification of the population at risk, and an evaluation of the hazards versus the population to determine overall vulnerability.

The following steps were taken:

- Identification of the Hazards. Determination of the hazards, both natural and technical, that could affect the county.
- Profiling the Hazard Events. Determination of how bad a hazard can get.
- Inventorying Assets. Determination of where and/or to what extent the hazards can affect the assets of the county/city.
- □ Estimating Losses. Determining how the hazards will affect the county/city.

SECTION III

HAZARD DESCRIPTIONS

FLOOD

Floods are the most common natural disaster in the United States. They have brought destruction to every state and nearly every county, and in many areas, they are getting worse. As global warming continues to exacerbate sea level rise and extreme weather, our nation's floodplains are expected to grow by approximately 45 percent by century's end. (www.nrdc.org)

FLOOD TYPES

Flash Flood: A flash flood generally results from a torrential rain on a relatively small drainage area. Runoff from these rainfalls results in high floodwater that can cause destruction of homes, buildings, bridges, and roads. Flash floods are a threat to public safety in areas where the terrain is steep and surface runoff rates are high.

Riverine Floods: Riverine floods are caused by precipitation over large areas and differ from flash floods in their extent and duration. Floods in large river systems may continue for periods ranging from a few hours to many days.


FLOODPLAINS

The lowland and flat areas adjoining inland and coastal waters including, at a minimum, that area subject to a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year.

100-Year Flood: There is one chance in 100, or a 1% chance of a flood of such magnitude or greater occurring in any given year. There is no guarantee that a similar flood will not occur in the next year, or in the next month.

Floodway: That portion of the floodplain, which is effective in carrying flow, within which this carrying capacity must be preserved and where water depths and velocities are the greatest. It is the area along the channel that provides for the discharge of the base flood so the cumulative increase in water surface elevation is no more than one foot.

Impact: The magnitude of observed or forecast flooding is conveyed using flood severity categories. These flood severity categories include minor flooding, moderate flooding, and major flooding. Each category has a definition based on property damage and public threat. Minor damage is defined as minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. Moderate damage is defined as some inundation of structures and roads near streams. Some evacuations of people and/or transfer of property to higher elevations are necessary. Major damage is defined as extensive inundation of structures and roads. Significant evacuations of people and/or transfer of property to higher elevations. The impact of floods varies locally.




Bowie County Flood Zones

The following are floodplain maps for DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center.




DeKalb Floodplain




Hooks Floodplain



Leary Floodplain




Maud Floodplain




Nash Floodplain



New Boston Floodplain



Red Lick Floodplain



Redwater Floodplain

FEMA NFHL REFERENCE LAYERS NFHL Data Available FIRM Panel Boundary LOMR Boundary SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazan Zone R. A.E. ASS. A.O. AN. A.R. U. IS lake Village, Regulatory Flo 0.2% Annual Chance Flood HAZARD Future Conditions 1% Area with R Outside the 0.2% Areas of Un CROSS SECTIONS & BFES Cross Sections with 1% J Chance Water Surface E - Coastal Transect (1) Coastal Transect Ba Profile Baseline Base Flood Ele SUPPORTING INFORMATION Limit of Study Jurisdictional Boundary Google eart 331 ft eve alt 11829

Wake Village Floodplain

TexAmericas Center Floodplain



Flood Plain Maps Narrative

Bowie County and the jurisdictions of Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Redwater, and Wake Village participate in the NFIP. They have flood plain maps and a designated representative to monitor new construction to prevent anyone from developing in low areas. Priority was given to each action by the HMPT. Each NFIP action was weighted regarding ultimate impact on buildings and infrastructure. These participating jurisdictions are taking positive steps to remain in compliance such as widening ditches and revising building codes. These jurisdictions will use NFIP community workshops to provide information and incentives for property owners to get flood insurance and minimizing the effects of flooding on people, property, also, through measures including flood warning, emergency response, and evacuation planning.

As a special district, TexAmericas Center is not eligible to participate in the NFIP program. DeKalb and Red Lick are not participating in the national flood insurance program currently due to oversight and lack of understanding of importance. They have designated floodplain administrators/ordinances and have an action of becoming a member of the NFIP program.

A repetitive Loss Structure is an NFIP-insured structure that has had at least 2 paid flood losses of more than \$1,000 each in any 10-year period since 1978. Unincorporated Bowie County, DeKalb, Leary, Maud, Red Lick, and Redwater have no repetitive flood properties on record. The jurisdictions of Hooks, Nash, New Boston, and Wake Village have the following.

| Sourc | Source: Repetitive Loss Structures (arcgis.com) | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Hooks: | | | | |
| | Repetitive Loss Structures: 1 | | | | |
| | Structure Type: Residential | | | | |
| | Total Losses: 2 | | | | |
| | Total Paid: \$57,764.69 | | | | |
| | Nash: | | | | |
| | Repetitive Loss Structures: 2 | | | | |
| | Structure Type: Residential | | | | |
| | Total Losses: 8 | | | | |
| | Total Paid: \$291,885.11 | | | | |
| | New Boston: | | | | |
| | Repetitive Loss Structures: 1 | | | | |
| | Structure Type: Commercial | | | | |
| | Total Losses: 2 | | | | |
| | Total Paid: \$26,180.41 | | | | |
| | Wake Village: | | | | |
| | Repetitive Loss Structures: 2 | | | | |
| | Structure Type: Residential | | | | |
| | Total Losses: 5 | | | | |
| | Total Paid: \$27,523.89 | | | | |

Repetitive Loss Structures

Bowie County Unincorporated

Bowie County Unincorporated has a total of 557,760 acres. The total taxable value of all property in the unincorporated cities is approximately 1.2 billion dollars. Due to the location of the flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There is no record of repetitive flood loss.

DeKalb

The City of Dekalb has a total of 876 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain covers approximately .8 acres or .09% of the total acreage. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 59.7 million dollars. Due to the location of the flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There would be minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. No record of repetitive flood losses.

Hooks

The city of Hooks has a total of 1,338 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain covers approximately 184.5 acres or 13.7% of the total acreage. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 76.5 million dollars. So, the approximate amount of damage experienced in a 100-year flood event as a percentage of acreage would be approximately 21 million dollars, or moderate damage. There would be some inundation of structures and roads near streams. There is a record of repetitive flood losses with one structure.

Leary

The city of Leary has a total of 838 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain covers approximately 260.7 acres or 37% of the total acreage. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 16 million dollars. Due to the location of the flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There would be minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. No record of repetitive flood losses.

Maud

The city of Maud has a total of 954 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain covers approximately 24 acres or 2.5% of the total acreage. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 28.8 million dollars. Due to the location of the flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There would be minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. No record of repetitive flood losses.

Nash

The city of Nash has a total of 2,867 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain covers approximately 57 acres or 1.9% of the total acreage. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 159 million dollars. Due to the location of the flood plain, it is estimated

that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There would be minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. There have been two structures with repetitive flood losses. Nash has a regulatory floodway.

New Boston

The city of New Boston has a total of 2,579 acres inside the city limits. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 188.7 million. Due to the location of the observed flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There is one structure with repetitive flood loss.

Red Lick

The city of Red Lick has a total of 1,235 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain is not listed for the city. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 69 million dollars. Due to the location of the observed flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There would be minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. No record of repetitive flood losses.

Redwater

The city of Redwater has a total of 1,274 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain covers approximately 53.6 acres or 4.4% of the total acreage. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 22.7 million dollars. Due to the location of the flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There would be minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. No record of repetitive flood losses.

Wake Village

The city of Wake Village has a total of 1,914 acres inside the city limits. The 100-year flood plain covers approximately 46 acres or 2.4% of the total acreage. The total taxable value of all property in the city is approximately 303.9 million dollars. Due to the location of the flood plain, it is estimated that a 100-year flood event in the city would cause minimal damage. There would be minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat or inconvenience. There are two repetitive loss properties in Wake Village. Wake Village has a regulatory floodway.

TexAmericas Center

The jurisdiction of TexAmericas has a total of 12,000 acres that are separated into three campuses', East: 8,900 acres rail serviced, heavy utilities, 80,000lb truck routes, Central: 765 acres rail serviced, heavy utilities, 80,000lb truck routes, and West: 2,900 acres Rural, future development. The property also includes a Golf Course, PX and On-Site Police, Fire and Emergency Rescue services. The total value of all properties at TexAmericas Center is approximately 23.3 million dollars.

PAST OCCURANCES OF FLOODING IN BOWIE COUNTY (Data from National Climatic Data Center) Ten Year Profile

April 25, 2011: (New Boston) Moderate Street flooding was occurring along Hwy. 82...Hwy 8 and many smaller streets in the central portion of the county.

June 9, 2014: (Nash) High water was reported on Hwy. 82 between Kings Highway and the Wal-Mart in Nash, Texas. (Texarkana) Seventh Street near the Railroad Bridge was flooded/closed.

May 11, 2015: (New Boston) Several roads were flooded and closed in and around the community.

May 25, 2015: (Wamba) Excessive heavy rainfall closed Richland Road near Pleasant Grove. (Texarkana) Numerous roads and highways were flooded and closed due to excessive heavy rainfall.

July 4, 2015: (DeKalb) Hwy. 82 was flooded between DeKalb and Malta, Texas. High water was reported over Hwy. 82 and FM. 1840.

March 8, 2016: (Wake Village) Deep water reported at the intersection of New Boston Road and North Robison Road. (Hooks) Severe flooding was reported on Hwy. 82 between Hooks and New Boston, Texas.

February 21, 2018: (College Hill) A vehicle was washed off County Road 4115 off FM 990 southeast of Dekalb.

January 10, 2020: (DeKalb) Farm to Market Road 1840 was flooded between County Road 4201 and County Road 4112.

May 16, 2020: (Oak Grove) County Road 4321 between Avery and Dekalb was impassable due to flooding.

May 26, 2021: (Eylau) 7th Street was covered in high water along an extended stretch of the roadway. West 7th Street was closed at the Kansas City Southern underpass, the 40th Street and Potomac Street intersection. (DeKalb) Widespread flash flooding across much of Bowie County resulted in the closure of many roads. A few water rescues were also needed from stranded vehicles. Water entered several structures throughout the county as well.

December 16, 2021: (Nash) Numerous roads were flooded and closed due to high water, with several vehicles stranded in high water. High water rescues were needed from some of the stranded vehicles.

| | | Bowie County Fl | ood Risk | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Jurisdiction | Impact (45%) | Probability (30%) | Warning Time (15%) | Duration (10%) | PRI Score |
| Bowie County | Major | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | High |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=4 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 3.35 |
| DeKalb | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI=1 | PRI= 1 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.55 |
| Hooks | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI=1 | PRI= 1 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.55 |
| Leary | Limited | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI = 1 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 2 | 1.55 |
| Maud | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI = 1 | PRI = 1 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.55 |
| Nash | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI=1 | PRI = 1 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.55 |
| New Boston | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI=1 | PRI = 1 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.55 |
| Red Lick | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI = 1 | PRI = 1 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.55 |
| Redwater | Limited | Occasional | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI=1 | PRI = 2 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.85 |
| Wake Village | Limited | Occasional | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI = 1 | PRI = 2 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.85 |
| TexAmericas Center | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 24 hrs. | Low |
| | PRI=1 | PRI = 1 | PRI= 4 | PRI=2 | 1.55 |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Location: Historically, the entire County has suffered from flash flooding. If future trends occur as they have in the past, the County area will continue to have floods. Countywide, the highways and county roads will continue to flood. Based on past occurrences, DeKalb, Hooks, Nash, and New Boston have had several occasions of flooding on Highway 82.

Probability: Flash floods are highly likely county wide. Bowie County could see heavier rainfall as climate change impacts the region. The county roads are much more prone to flooding than streets within a jurisdiction. According to FEMA National Risk Index Bowie County has a relatively moderate risk for Riverine Flooding.

| Estimated roperty bamage nom rood at 75% | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bowie County Unincorporated | \$923,459,475 | | | | | |
| DeKalb | \$45,000,411 | | | | | |
| Hooks | \$57,502,006 | | | | | |
| Leary | \$12,148,242 | | | | | |
| Maud | \$21,602,902 | | | | | |
| Nash | \$119,276,571 | | | | | |
| New Boston | \$141,573,444 | | | | | |
| Red Lick | \$51,932,022 | | | | | |
| Redwater | \$17,127,495 | | | | | |
| Wake Village | \$228,009,170 | | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | \$17,502,426 | | | | | |

Estimated Property Damage from Flood at 75%

Impact: The rural areas of Bowie County will continue to have issues with flooding. The flood severity category is major for the unincorporated county and limited impact for the city jurisdictions. The impact of flash floods varies locally. Roads may flood in rural county areas after heavy rains. In the entire planning area, there are two repetitive loss properties, no reported deaths, or injuries due to flooding with minimal financial loss. In the participating jurisdictions improvements such as new culverts and the retrenching of ditches could help to minimize the problem, however, should it rain hard enough in a short period of time, streets will flood. All jurisdictions are responsive to the dangers of high water and know to place warning signs out for motorists when needed. The Assessment Damage Tables on page 26-28 address the amount of loss that can occur with flooding.

| EXTENT: Possible Amounts of Flooding Within Jurisdictions | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Jurisdiction | From | То | | | | |
| Bowie County Unincorporated | ¼ inch | 3 feet | | | | |
| DeKalb | ¼ inch | 1 foot | | | | |
| Hooks | ¼ inch | 4 feet | | | | |
| Leary | ¼ inch | 1 foot | | | | |
| Maud | ¼ inch | 1 foot | | | | |
| Nash | ¼ inch | 1 foot | | | | |
| New Boston | 6 inches | 8 inches | | | | |
| Red Lick | ¼ inch | 1 foot | | | | |
| Redwater | ¼ inch | 1 foot | | | | |
| Wake Village | ¼ inch | 4 feet | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | ¼ inch | 2 feet | | | | |

Vulnerability: Flash flooding and the inability to accommodate the existing drainage on some of the FM roads is a constant problem. Over 2 to 3 inches of rain per hour is considered heavy rain in Bowie County. Flooding is likely to occur in many areas should that amount fall for several hours.

Summary: Historically, Bowie County has suffered from flooding. If future trends continue, Bowie and rural county roads will continue to flood during periods of heavy rains. Countywide, the FM roads have seen flooding in the past and will continue to do so. Farm to Market roads and state highways are depicted on the Bowie County map on page 14.

TORNADOES


A tornado is a violent windstorm characterized by a twisting, funnel-shaped cloud. It is spawned by a thunderstorm and produced when cool air overrides a layer of warm air, forcing the warm air to rise rapidly. The damage from a tornado is a result of the high wind velocity and windblown debris. According to Wikipedia, most tornados have wind speeds of less than 110 miles per hour, are about 250 feet across, and travel a few miles before dissipating. The most extreme tornado can reach wind speed of more than 300 miles per hour, are more than two miles in diameter, and stay on the ground for dozens of miles.

On earthnetworks.com it says wind shear is one of the most critical components for the formation of a tornado. Wind shear is the change of direction and speed of the wind with height. This can create a horizontal spinning effect within a storm cell. The rotating air of an updraft meets the rotating air of a downdraft and creates that iconic and scary funnel cloud. Tornadoes are visible because, nearly all the time they have a condensation funnel made up of water droplets, dust, dirt, and debris.

Tornado season is from March through August, although tornadoes can occur at any time of the year. They tend to occur in the afternoons and evenings while over 80 percent of all tornadoes strike between noon and midnight.

Compared to other States, Texas ranks number one for frequency of Tornadoes, number one of deaths, number one of injuries and number one for cost of damages. When compared to other States by the frequency per square mile, Texas ranks number 10 for the frequency of tornadoes, number 16 for fatalities, number 21 per area and number 21 for cost per area.

According to homefacts.com, Bowie County, Texas is listed as high risk for Tornadoes. The largest tornado in the Bowie County area was an F4 in 1971 that caused 5 injuries and 1 death. There have been 114 tornados since 1950.



50

The **Enhanced Fujita Scale**, or **EF Scale** shown below, is the scale for rating the strength of tornadoes in the United States estimated via the damage they cause. Implemented in place of the Fujita scale, it was used starting February 1, 2007. The scale has the same basic design as the original Fujita scale, six categories from zero to five representing increasing degrees of damage. It was revised to reflect better examinations of tornado damage surveys, so as to align wind speeds more closely with associated storm damage. The new scale considers how most structures are designed and is thought to be a much more accurate representation of the surface wind speeds in the most violent tornadoes.

| Enha | nced Fujita (EF) Scale | |
|--|------------------------|---|
| Enhanced Fujita Category | Wind Speed (mph) | Potential Damage |
| EFO | 65-85 | Light damage. Peel surface off some roofs; some damage to gutters or siding; branches broken off trees; shallow- rooted trees pushed over. |
| EF1 | 86-110 | Moderate damage. Roofs severely stripped; mobile homes overturned or badly damaged; loss of exterior doors; windows and other glass broken. |
| EF2 | 111-135 | Considerable damage. Roofs torn off well-constructed houses; foundations of frame homes shifted; mobile homes completely destroyed; large trees snapped or uprooted; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground. |
| EF3 | 136-165 | Severe damage. Entire stories of well-constructed houses destroyed; severe damage to large buildings such as shopping malls; trains overturned; trees debarked; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown; structures with weak foundations blown away some distance. |
| EF4 | 166-200 | Devastating damage. Well- constructed houses and whole frame houses completely leveled; cars thrown, and small missiles generated. |
| EF5 source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enhanced | >200 | Incredible damage. Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept away; automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 m (109 yd.); high-rise buildings have significant structural deformation; incredible phenomena will occur. |

TORNADO PAST OCCURANCES IN BOWIE COUNTY (Data from National Climatic Data Center) Ten Year Profile

April 4, 2012: (Dalby Springs) The tornado touched down 8 miles southwest of Dekalb, Texas, just west of CR 4306 where a few trees were snapped and uprooted. The tornado crossed CR 4306 and traveled east northeast, remaining over open country where more trees were snapped and uprooted. The tornado lifted just west of the intersection of CR 4305 and U.S. Hwy 259. The maximum winds are estimated at 65-75 mph.

May 9, 2016: (Spring Hill) An EF-1 tornado with estimated winds between 85-95 mph touched down along Farm to Market Road 2735 just east of Highway 259 before lifting along County Road 3313. Primary damage was snapped, and uprooted trees and one home had minor siding loss and one outbuilding was damaged.

May 9, 2016: (Beaverdam) An EF-1 tornado with estimated winds between 85-95 mph touched down along County Road 3303, snapping and uprooting several trees.

January 21, 2018 (College Hill) An EF-2 tornado with maximum estimated winds around 125 mph touched down along Highway 259 just southwest of Dekalb and tracked northeast across the intersection of County Road 4231 and Farm to Market Road 44. Here, it caused damage to a twostory home shifting it off its foundation, and damaging eight chicken houses, two of which were destroyed. The tornadic storm continued northeast where it crossed County Road 4230 and significantly damaged the Dekalb Water Treatment Plant, before crossing the intersection of Farm to Market Road 990 and Farm to Market Road 1840 where a one-story home had its roof removed and several hardwood and softwood tress were snapped and uprooted. As the tornado tracked across County Road 4228, there were signs of it widening where the snapped hardwood trees and downed power lines were more noticeable. Once the tornado tracked across Hwy 82, hardwood trees snapped at its base and significant damage to roofs of a church and nearby oneand two-story homes were prevalent. It continued to move northeast across County Road 3201 where many hardwood trees were downed, and a camper was destroyed. The tornado continued northeast where it crossed County Road 3203, uplifting a metal roof from a home and destroyed seven chicken farm buildings. Once it crossed northeast across 3203, it split and twisted hardwood trees and destroyed an outbuilding before finally dissipating. The Dekalb Water Treatment Plant suffered about \$500,000 in damage, with another \$500,000 damage done to the chicken houses that were destroyed, as well as to an auto repair shop and a gun shop that were significantly damaged. Approximately 200,000 chickens and 1 cow were killed.

May 16, 2020: (Redwater) This is the continuation of the EF-Unknown tornado (waterspout) on Lake Wright Patman from extreme Northern Cass County. This waterspout was documented moving northwest across the lake, before lifting prior to reaching the land areas. This waterspout was rated as EF-Unknown, 2.63 miles across Cass and Bowie Counties.

May 16, 2020: (Wamba) An EF-1 tornado with estimated winds near 95 mph briefly touched down and was documented on a smart phone camera crossing from Clem Ranch and moving northwest next to a pond. The tornado tore portions of the roof off a single-family home and topped off the trunks of two trees before lifting as it crossed Akin Road.

Tornadoes in Bowie County 2011-2021 Probability Severity

| Fujita Scale | Tornados | Estimated Damage |
|--------------|----------|------------------|
| EFO | 3 | \$65,000 |
| EF1 | 3 | \$30,000 |
| EF2 | 1 | \$2,500,000 |
| EF3 | 0 | \$0 |
| EF4 | 0 | \$0 |
| EF5 | 0 | \$0 |
| EF unknown | 1 | \$0 |
| Total | 8 | \$2,595,000 |

| | Bowie County Tornado Risk | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|--------|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL | PROBABLITY | Warning | Duration | RISK | | | |
| | IMPACT 45% | 30% | 15% | 10% | | | | |
| Bowie | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | High | | | |
| Unincorporated | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 3.7 | | | |
| DeKalb | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| Hooks | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4. | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| Leary | Substantial | Unlikely | <6hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| Maud | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| Nash | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4. | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| New Boston | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4. | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| Red Lick | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4. | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| Redwater | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4. | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| Wake Village | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4. | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |
| TexAmericas | Substantial | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Medium | | | |
| Center | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4. | PRI=1 | 2.8 | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | r | |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | İ | | |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

Tornadoes can strike anywhere in Bowie County. All critical facilities are vulnerable to the destructive forces of a tornado.

Location: All of Bowie County can be affected. Tornadoes have an unpredictable pattern, so the entire County is subject to being hit by a tornado. All the jurisdictions and the unincorporated parts of Bowie County could be affected.

Probability: Tornadoes are most frequent in April, May, and June. While tornadoes can occur at any time during the day or night, they tend to form during the late afternoon and into the evening. Based on a historical trend over the past 10 years, there is a 50% chance that Bowie County will experience a tornado touchdown each year. The expected tornado size would range between 25 to 1000 yards wide, with a path from one to several miles long. Most tornadoes are expected to touchdown for short periods of time in a bounce type pattern. The possibility of a tornado touchdown on an annual basis is considered highly likely for the County. According to the FEMA National Risk Index, Bowie County risk for tornado is relatively high.

Vulnerability: Due to the frequency and unpredictable pattern of tornadoes, all of Bowie County is vulnerable to tornado-induced damages. The damage potential is high due to the concentrations of populated areas, number of mobile homes, older wood framed homes and manufactured housing units throughout the county. The jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village are made up primarily of older business districts that were not built to any code, making them particularly vulnerable to tornadic

activity. Winds in the lowest EFO range could destroy these structures. TexAmericas Center has 3 million square feet of office, manufacturing, warehouse, and storage space that could be damaged by a tornado. The tornado vulnerability level is rated HIGH for the county and all jurisdictions.

Impact: Based on a historical trend over the past 10 years, Bowie County can experience one or more tornadoes annually. Most tornadoes are expected to touchdown for relatively short periods of time in a bounce type pattern. An EF1 tornado could destroy the small participating jurisdictions. Small towns can experience a complete loss of communications. Roads could be blocked by downed trees and building debris. The Damage Assessment Tables on pages 26-28 demonstrate the amount of loss that can occur from a tornado. The extent of damage can be substantial.

| Estimated Property Loss at 50% | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Bowie County Unincorporated | \$615,639,650 | | | | |
| DeKalb | \$30,000,274 | | | | |
| Hooks | \$38,334,671 | | | | |
| Leary | \$8,098,828 | | | | |
| Maud | \$14,401,935 | | | | |
| Nash | \$79,517,714 | | | | |
| New Boston | \$94,382,296 | | | | |
| Red Lick | \$34,621,348 | | | | |
| Redwater | \$11,418,330 | | | | |
| Wake Village | \$152,006,113 | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | \$11,668,284 | | | | |

Extent: Historically the severity has ranged from EFO to EF2 on the Enhanced Fujita (EF) Scale. The entire scale presented is used to determine ranges and severity. The expected tornado size would range between 25 to 1000 yards wide, with a path from one to several miles long. The full range of 65 (EF0) to 200 mph (EF5 +) are possible in Bowie County and its jurisdictions. Unincorporated Bowie County in general covers more territory so it is more likely that a tornado would occur there than in smaller jurisdictions.

Summary: Bowie County is in tornado alley. There have been 8 tornado events in Bowie County with no deaths recorded over the last 10 years. Warning sirens, safe rooms, enforced modern building codes and generators for emergency power are needed safeguards for the communities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center to help protect its citizens from tornadoes.



DeKalb Business District 1999

DeKalb Elementary School 1999





THUNDERSTORM WINDS

Thunderstorms winds are typically straight-line winds and do most of the damage when accompanying a thunderstorm. Sometimes people think that a tornado has struck because the straight-line winds can be as powerful as a strong tornado, but straight-line winds do not spin. A downburst is an example of a straight-line wind. A downburst is a small area of rapidly descending rain and rain-cooled air beneath a thunderstorm that produces a violent, localized downdraft covering 2.5 miles or less. Wind speeds in some of the stronger downbursts can reach 100 to 150 miles per hour.

According to research by Jeremy Pal, a professor of civil engineering and environmental science at Loyola Marymount University, severe thunderstorms with accompanying high winds are predicted to increase dramatically in the United States and in some cities, like Atlanta, Ga., New York, and Dallas, storms are expected to double by the end of the century.

| | Beaufort Scale | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Beaufort Number | Wind Speed | Seaman's Term | Effects on Land | | | |
| 0 | Under 1 | Calm | Calm; Smoke rises vertically | | | |
| 1 | 1-3 | Light Air | Smoke drift indicates wind direction; vanes do not move | | | |
| 2 | 4-7 | Light Breeze | Wind Felt on face; leaves rustle; vanes begin to move | | | |
| 3 | 8-12 | Gentle Breeze | Leaves, small twigs in constant motion; light flags extended | | | |
| 4 | 13-18 | Moderate Breeze | Dust, leaves, and loose paper raised up; small branches move | | | |
| 5 | 19-24 | Fresh Breeze | Small trees begin to sway | | | |
| 6 | 25-31 | Strong Breeze | Large branches of trees in motion; whistling heard in wires | | | |
| 7 | 32-38 | Moderate Gale | Whole trees in motion; resistance felt in walking against the wind | | | |
| 8 | 39-46 | Fresh Gale | Twigs and small branches broken off trees | | | |
| 9 | 47-54 | Strong Gale | Slight structural damage occurs; slate blown from roofs | | | |
| 10 | 55-63 | Whole Gale | Seldom experienced on land; trees broken; structural damage occurs | | | |
| 11 | 64-72 | Storm | Very rarely experienced on land; usually with widespread damage | | | |
| 12 | 73 or higher | Hurricane | Violence and destruction | | | |

The Beaufort Scale below is the standard for measuring wind effects on both land and sea.

Source: www.mountwashington.org

THUNDERSTORM WINDS PAST OCCURANCES IN BOWIE COUNTY (Data from National Climatic Data Center)

Ten Year Profile

There have been 47 days of recorded events 12 of those days had reported property damage in the last ten years. Property damage was estimated to be \$988,000. This is a list of events with property damage.

April 14-15, 2011: Several trees were downed in Dekalb, Texas. There was a report of damage to one outbuilding on Hwy. 44. In New Boston, a large tree was downed near FM 1840 which downed powerlines at that location. A large tree limb fell at South Merrill across the street from the football stadium. Several homes lost roofing material from the strong winds. A tree was downed on a mobile home on Litton Circle in Redwater, Texas. The mobile home was totaled but there were no injuries reported. Property damage 41K.

August 23, 2011: Several trees and powerlines were downed in and near the community of Malta near County Road 3103. The winds destroyed one 180x50 steel frame shed, one 12x12 wood frame shed, one 20x40 horse covered stall, one 8x8 well house and one 40x60 pole barn. There were no injuries reported. Property damage 100K.

April 2, 2012: Widespread tree damage was reported across all Northeast Texas. Trees were downed on houses in the Nash and Wake Village areas. Another tree was downed on a car in the Liberty-Eylau community. Property damage 60K.

June 12, 2012: Several trees were downed across town including one that fell on a home on North Bowie Road. The top of a tree was snapped off and landed on top of a house just east of Maud, Texas. Numerous trees down in the Liberty-Eylau community including one that fell on a home on Macedonia Street. A tree also fell on a barn off County Road 2516 at the end of Ryan Loop Road. Thunderstorm wind gusts peeled back the roof of an apartment complex off Main Street in Texarkana, Texas. Property damage 110K.

January 29, 2013: Numerous trees were downed across the county. An 18-wheeler was blown over in New Boston, Texas. Property damage 10K.

April 10, 2013: Vehicle windows were blown out in the parking lot of Liberty-Eylau High School. Property damage 1K.

May 21, 2013: A large oak tree was split in half and downed on a carport roof and a pickup truck in Old Boston, Texas. A tree was downed on a house on FM 1849 near New Boston, Texas. There were no reports of injuries. Property damage 35K.

October 2, 2014: Damaging thunderstorm wind gusts resulted in a roof being blown off a house and the siding ripped off the southwest side of a building on Broadway Avenue in Maud, Texas. A large doughnut sign was also shifted from a fixed position on top of an eatery in Maud. A tree fell on top of a car in Maud, Texas. There was no report of injuries. Property damage 70K.

December 27, 2015: An NWS Storm Survey team found that damage along the west side of Dekalb, Texas was consistent with that of rear flank storm damage. This rear flank was the remaining remnant of a tornado that touched down earlier west of this location. The rear flank downdraft caused sporadic damage in the city of Dekalb. Several trees were uprooted. Numerous homes withstood light shingle damage. A light pole at the city park was snapped and the metal roof from one of the downtown buildings was lifted off. Property damage 50K.

May 9, 2016: Widespread tree damage occurred just east northeast of Hooks. Several trees were uprooted, all of which pointed to the south southeast. One tree landed on a corner of a house. Property damage 40K. Several trees were snapped or uprooted on Garden Road in Hooks. One tree fell on a pergola and outdoor garden. Property damage 1K. Widespread tree damage occurred along Garden Road in Hooks. Several trees were uprooted here, one tree fell on a home, and another fell on a vehicle. Property damage 125K. In Leary, a single wide home with an additional roof structure built over the home had this part of the roof tossed approximately 100 feet and destroyed. Property damage 25K. In Nash, many large hardwood trees were snapped and uprooted. One large tree fell onto a house causing major damage to the structure. The front face of a paint store was blown away when the winds hit two pillars of the façade which extended several feet above the roof and was completely exposed to the high winds. Property damage 300K.

August 6, 2016: The storms produced strong and damaging wind gust along with some locally heavy rainfall. In Hooks, one tree fell on a home but there were no reports of injuries. Property damage 20K.

| Bowie County Thunderstorm Winds Risk | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|----------|--------|--|--|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL | PROBABLITY | Warning | Duration | RISK | | |
| | IMPACT 45% | 30% | 15% | 10% | | | |
| Bowie | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| Unincorporated | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| DeKalb | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| Hooks | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| Leary | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| Maud | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| Nash | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| New Boston | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| Red Lick | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| Redwater | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| Wake Village | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |
| TexAmericas | Minor | Highly Likely | 6-12 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | |
| | PRI=2 | PRI=4 | PRI =3 | PRI=1 | 2.65 | | |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Critical Facilities: All critical facilities found in Bowie County unincorporated and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village and TexAmericas Center are vulnerable to some structural damage from high winds.

Probability: Given the climate and history, thunderstorms are highly likely during the storm season. Thunderstorms and their accompanying high winds are most prolific in the spring and summer months; however, they may occur at any time in Bowie County given the right conditions. FEMA National Risk Index for Strong Wind in Bowie County is relatively moderate.

Vulnerability: Bowie County and its' jurisdictions are susceptible to damage from thunderstorm winds. Microburst and downbursts produce winds severe enough to be mistaken for tornadoes. The entire county is vulnerable to high winds associated with thunderstorms.

Impact: According to NOAA Satellite and Information Service of the National Climatic Data Center, there were 47 thunderstorm wind events reported in Bowie County between 2011 and 2021. Damage was recorded on 12 of the 47 days. The magnitudes ranged from 52 knots to 83 knots or 59 mph to 95 mph.

Extent: There were no reported injuries and no deaths from thunderstorm wind events in Bowie County. Storms cause power outages, disruptions of transportation and property damage. Historical data indicates that the entire county is susceptible to windstorms with a Beaufort Scale rating of 10 during the thunderstorm season and, depending on the severity, costs will vary. See the Damage Assessment Tables on pages 26-28 demonstrating possible loss for the county and each participating jurisdiction.

| Estimated Property Loss at 15% | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bowie County Unincorporated | \$184,691,895 | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeKalb | \$9,000,082 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hooks | \$11,500,401 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leary | \$2,429,648 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maud | \$4,320,580 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nash | \$23,855,314 | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | \$28,314,689 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Red Lick | \$10,386,404 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Redwater | \$3,435,499 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wake Village | \$45,601,834 | | | | | | | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | \$3,500,485 | | | | | | | | | | |

Location: Historically, all of **Bowie County** has been affected by thunderstorms winds. If this trend continues, the entire County will be subject to their damage. This would include the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center.

Summary: High winds in Bowie County can be a destructive force associated with thunderstorms. Thunderstorms also spawn tornadoes. Deteriorating infrastructure, mobile homes, business signage and crops are most susceptible to damage. DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, TexAmericas Center, and Bowie County residents share susceptibility to thunderstorm wind damage.

LIGHTNING

Description

Lightning is a massive electrostatic discharge between electricity charged regions within clouds, or between a cloud and the earth's surface. Lightning can strike communications equipment like radiocommunication and emergency response. Lightning strikes can also cause significant damage to buildings, critical facilities, and infrastructure, largely by igniting a fire. Lightning can strike and kill people. It can also ignite wildfire.

The National Lightning Safety Institute (http://lightningsafety.com) defines the following forms of lightning:

Direct Strike: This is the most dangerous hazard, wherein the person or structure is in a direct path for lightning currents. The magnitude of the current determines its effects. A typical amperage of 20kA acting on a ground of 10 ohms creates 200,000V. A large strike can attain 150kA levels. More than 50 volts will drive a potentially lethal current through the body.

Side Strike: This hazard results from the breakup of the direct strike when alternate parallel paths of current flow into the ground via a person or structure. When the initial current path offers some resistance to current flow, a potential above ground current develops and the person or structure's resistance to ground becomes the alternate path of conduction.

Conducted Strike: This hazard occurs when lightning strikes a conductor which in turn introduces the current into an area some distance from the ground strike point. Unprotected connected equipment can be damaged, and personnel may be injured if they become an indirect path in the completion of the ground circuit.

Structure Voltage Gradient: Current passing through two or more structures create momentary voltage differential. Poor interconnect bonding may cause a completed circuit potential difference. The same hazard is created, for example, by a person touching an ungrounded object while they are grounded. The electrical circuit is completed through the person, sometimes with fatal consequences.

Induced Effects: Lightning can induce electric field and magnetic field coupling into structures and into wiring. Magnetic coupling is transformer action, and the common laws for transformers prevail.

Streamer Conductor: The streamer hazard occurs when a lightning leader influences the electric behavior of objects on the Earth. Even streamers which do not become a part of the main channel

can have significant amounts of current. Streamer current exposure can affect people and sensitive electronics.


Sequelae: These secondary effects are many. Forest and grass fires, explosive steam conditions in masonry, trees and other water-bearing objects, and consequences of the thunderclap startling a person into inadvertently throwing a switch are examples.

Step Voltage/Touch Voltage: This hazard occurs as a result of a lightning strike dissipating its energy through the ground. The ground current creates a voltage drop across the surface of the Earth. A person standing within several hundred feet from the lightning strike point can have several hundred volts generated between their feet. This hazard is identical to a person being grounded while touching two live wires, one with each hand.

| No thunderstorms. |
|---|
| No thanacistorins. |
| |
| Isolated thunderstorms. Light rain will |
| occasionally reach the ground. Lightning is |
| very infrequent, 1 to 5 cloud to ground strikes |
| in a 5-minute period. |
| Wildly scattered thunderstorms. Light to |
| moderate rain will reach the ground. |
| Lightning is infrequent, 6 to 10 cloud to |
| ground strikes in a 5-minute period. |
| |
| Scattered thunderstorms. Moderate rain is |
| commonly produced. Lightning is frequent, |
| 11 to 15 cloud to ground strikes in a 5-minute |
| period. |
| Numerous thunderstorms. Rainfall is |
| moderate to heavy. Lightning is frequent and |
| intense, greater than 15 cloud to ground |
| strikes in a 5-minute period. |
| Dry lightning (same as LAL 3 without rain). |
| |
| This type of lightning has the potential for |
| extreme fire activity and is normally |
| highlighted in fire weather forecasts with a |
| Red Flag Warning. |
| |

Lightning Activity Level (LAL)

Lightning can happen anywhere in the state of Texas. Bowie County can expect a flash density of 18 to 21 cloud to ground strikes per square mile per year. According to the map the incidence of strikes increases traveling East to West.



Lightning Incidences in Texas (2006-2015)

| Bowie County Lightning Risk | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL IMPACT 45% | PROBABLITY 30% | Warning 15% | Duration 10% | RISK | | | | | | | | |
| Bowie | wie Major | | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| Unincorporated | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| DeKalb | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| Hooks | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| Leary | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| Maud | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| Nash | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| Red Lick | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| Redwater | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| Wake Village | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |
| TexAmericas | Major | Unlikely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | | |
| Center | PRI=3 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | | |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Critical Facilities: All critical facilities found in unincorporated Bowie County and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are subject to some damage from intense lightning.

| Estimated Property Loss at 15% | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bowie County Unincorporated | Residential | \$170,956,088 | | | | | | | | | |
| DeKalb | Residential | \$ 6,584,715 | | | | | | | | | |
| Hooks | Residential | \$ 9,846,631 | | | | | | | | | |
| Leary | Residential | \$ 1,514,736 | | | | | | | | | |
| Maud | Residential | \$ 3,900,056 | | | | | | | | | |
| Nash | Residential | \$ 13,364,118 | | | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | Residential | \$18,993,301 | | | | | | | | | |
| Red Lick | Residential | \$ 10,170,653 | | | | | | | | | |
| Redwater | Residential | \$ 2,865,458 | | | | | | | | | |
| Wake Village | Residential | \$ 41,090,550 | | | | | | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | Residential | N/A | | | | | | | | | |

Historical Occurrences: In the past ten years there have been no recorded lightning event reported in Bowie County based on the NCEI records which includes the NOAA storm events database. It is highly likely multiple lightning occurrences have gone unreported before and during the recording period. The flash density for the planning area along with input from local team members indicates regular lightning occurrences that simply have not been reported to the weather service.

Location: Lightning can strike in any geographic location and is considered a common occurrence in Texas. The Bowie County planning area, and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are susceptible to lightning strike. Therefore, lightning could occur at any location within the entire planning area. It is assumed that the Bowie County planning area is uniformly exposed to the threat of lightning.

Extent: According to the NOAA, the average number of cloud-to-ground flashes for the State of Texas between 2007 and 2016 was 11.3 flashes per square mile. The National Lightning Detection Network lightning flash density map shows a range of up to eighteen to twenty-one cloud-to-ground lightning flashes per square mile per year for the entire Bowie planning area. The power of lightning can run the full extent of the Lightning Activity Level (LAL 1-LAL 6). See page 65 for review of the Lightning Activity Level (LAL) table.

Probability: According to FEMA National Risk Index Bowie County risk for lightning is Very Low. Based on historical records and input from the planning team the probability of occurrence for future lightning events in Bowie County, including the jurisdiction of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are unlikely, including damage to a building or a critical facility.

Vulnerability: Texas leads the nation in the number of annual lightning strikes. During a thunderstorm lightning may strike anywhere in Bowie County.

Impact: Although there are no recorded deaths or monetary losses due to lightning in Bowie County the probability and potential of death and property loss remain palpable.

Summary: Lightning can strike anywhere in Bowie County. When damage occurs, it is important to report the incident to NOAA to establish credible data. Actions in this plan reflect sensible measures to take to lower the risks of lightning strikes in Bowie County.

WINTER STORMS

Description:

Winter Storms are a hazard that poses a threat to the entirety of the planning area. Winter Storms in the context of this document refers to Freezing Rain, Ice Storms, Blizzards, and Heavy Snow events that may occur during the winter months in Bowie County. The National Weather Service (NWS) glossary defines Ice Storms, Blizzards, and Heavy Snow events as:

Freezing Rain is "rain that falls as a liquid but freezes into glaze upon contact with the ground."

"An **ice storm** is an occasion when damaging accumulations of ice are expected during freezing rain situations. Significant accumulations of ice pull down trees and utility lines resulting in loss of power and communication. These accumulations of ice make walking and driving extremely dangerous. Significant ice accumulations are usually accumulations of ¹/₄" or greater."

"A **blizzard** means that the following conditions are expected to prevail for a period of 3 hours or longer:

- Sustained wind or frequent gusts to 35 miles an hour or greater; and
- Considerable falling and/or blowing snow (i.e., reducing visibility frequently to less than ¼ mile)."

"A heavy snow generally means...

- snowfall accumulating to 4" or more in depth in 12 hours or less; or
- snowfall accumulating to 6" or more in depth in 24 hours or less"

In forecasts, snowfall amounts are expressed as a range of values, e.g., "8 to 12 inches." However, in heavy snow situations where there is considerable uncertainty concerning the range of values, more appropriate phrases are used, such as "...up to 12 inches..." or alternatively "...8 inches or more..."

The following National Weather Service warnings detail the potential extent of a storm.

National Weather Service WATCH: A message indicating that conditions favor the occurrence of a certain type of hazardous weather. For example, a severe winter weather watch means that a severe winter weather event is expected in the next six hours or so within an area approximately 120 to 150 miles wide and 300 to 400 miles long (36,000 to 60,000 square miles). The NWS Storm Prediction Center issues such watches. Local NWS forecast offices issue other watches 12 to 36 hours in advance of a possible hazardous- weather or flooding event. Each local forecast office usually covers a state or a portion of a state.

NWS WARNING: Indicates that a hazardous event is occurring or is imminent in about 30 minutes to an hour. Local NWS forecast offices issue warnings on a county-by-county basis.

Winter Storm WATCH: A winter storm is occurring, or will soon, occur, in your area.

Winter Storm WARNING: Means sustained winds or frequent gusts to 35 miles per hour or greater and considerable falling or blowing snow (reducing visibility to less than a quarter mile) are expected to prevail for a period of three hours or longer, and dangerous wind chills are expected in the warning area.

The *Wind Chill* temperature is simply a measure of how cold the wind makes real air temperature feel to the human body. Since wind can dramatically accelerate heat loss from the body, a blustery 30° day would feel just as cold as a calm day with 0° temperatures. The index was created in 1870, and on November 1, 2001, the National Weather Service released a more scientific equation, which is used today. Below is a chart for calculating wind chill. (Please note that it is not applicable in calm winds or when the temperature is over 50°.)





| | | | | | | | | | Tem | pera | ture | (°F) | | | | | | | |
|------------|----|----|----|----|--------|----|-----|-------------|-----------------|------|------|-----------------|-----|-----|----------------|---------------------------|-----|---------|---------|
| | | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | -5 | -10 | -15 | -20 | -25 | -30 | -35 | -40 | -45 |
| | 5 | 36 | 31 | 25 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 1 | -5 | -11 | -16 | -22 | -28 | -34 | -40 | -46 | -52 | -57 | -63 |
| | 10 | 34 | 27 | 21 | 15 | 9 | 3 | -4 | -10 | -16 | -22 | -28 | -35 | -41 | -47 | -53 | -59 | -66 | -72 |
| | 15 | 32 | 25 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 0 | -7 | -13 | -19 | -26 | -32 | -39 | -45 | -51 | -58 | -64 | -71 | -77 |
| | 20 | 30 | 24 | 17 | 11 | 4 | -2 | -9 | -15 | -22 | -29 | -35 | -42 | -48 | -55 | -61 | -68 | -74 | -81 |
| (H | 25 | 29 | 23 | 16 | 9 | 3 | -4 | -11 | -17 | -24 | -31 | -37 | -44 | -51 | -58 | -64 | -71 | -78 | -84 |
| Ē | 30 | 28 | 22 | 15 | 8 | 1 | -5 | -12 | -19 | -26 | -33 | -39 | -46 | -53 | -60 | -67 | -73 | -80 | -87 |
| Wind (mph) | 35 | 28 | 21 | 14 | 7 | 0 | -7 | -14 | -21 | -27 | -34 | -41 | -48 | -55 | -62 | -69 | -76 | -82 | -89 |
| Wir | 40 | 27 | 20 | 13 | 6 | -1 | -8 | -15 | -22 | -29 | -36 | -43 | -50 | -57 | -64 | -71 | -78 | -84 | -91 |
| | 45 | 26 | 29 | 12 | 5 | -2 | -9 | -16 | -23 | -30 | -37 | -44 | -51 | -58 | -65 | -72 | -79 | -86 | -93 |
| | 50 | 26 | 19 | 12 | 4 | -3 | -10 | -17 | -24 | -31 | -38 | -45 | -52 | -60 | -67 | -74 | -81 | -88 | -95 |
| | 55 | 25 | 18 | 11 | 4 | -3 | -11 | -18 | -25 | -32 | -39 | -46 | -54 | -61 | -68 | -75 | -82 | -89 | -97 |
| | 60 | 25 | 17 | 10 | 3 | -4 | -11 | -19 | -26 | -33 | -40 | -48 | -55 | -62 | -69 | -76 | -84 | -91 | -98 |
| | | | w | | Frostb | | | 74 + | o minut 0.62 | | |) minut 75(V | | | inutes 2751 | (V ^{0.1} | 16) | | |
| | | | | | | | | Air Ter | | | | | | | | | | ctive 1 | 1/01/01 |

Source: National Weather Service and NOAA

Ice storms most commonly develop along a line stretching from northern Texas to Newfoundland in slow-moving low-pressure systems where there is a large temperature difference between the warm Gulf air and cold Arctic air. Local accumulations of ice may be heavy if the storm stalls over a region for an extended time. Ice storms lasting 12 hours or more generally produce ice accumulations several centimeters thick. The typical ice storm swath is 30 miles wide and 300 miles long. Ice storms generally warrant major headlines only one year in three.

Ice storms typically begin with snow and strong easterly winds conditions well ahead of an approaching warm front. The snow, however, changes briefly to sleet and then to rain that freezes on impact, coating all exposed surfaces with a growing layer of ice.

For drivers, the consequences of icing can be serious, for stopping distances on ice are ten times greater than on dry pavement, and double that on packed snow.

Power and communication systems using overhead lines are perhaps hardest hit by ice storms. Hanging wire cables collect ice until the cable breaks or the rain stops. Animal and plants may be killed or injured by ice accumulation. Damage to trees rival's disease and insects as destructive agents.

The Christmas Day storm of 2000 clobbered counties along a 260-mile stretch of the Red River. Bowie County was one of several counties declared a disaster area.

Back-to-back December weather fronts slammed North Texas with ice that produced the perfect ice storm. Many electric cooperatives were sent to their knees by the fury of the storms.

Potential Damage/Loss Due to Ice Storms

Life and Property

Slick roads and other surfaces cause traffic accidents resulting in death and injury. People shoveling snow have heart attacks. Property is at risk from flooding. Trees, power lines, telephone lines and subject to damage from accumulation of ice and snow. Trees fall on utility lines and houses.

Roads and Bridges

Fallen trees across roads can block access to emergency services. The ability to travel after an ice storm is a priority issue for hospitals, utilities, and emergency service vehicles.

Power Lines

Falling trees are a major cause of power outages resulting in interruption of services and damaged property. Downed power lines also create the danger of electrical shock.

Water Lines

Cast iron mainlines often break during severe freezes. Also, residential water lines often fail. The potential for severe winter storms is high and records show that the cost can be in the millions of dollars, depending on the severity of the storm.

PAST OCCURANCES OF WINTER STORMS IN BOWIE COUNTY (Data from National Climatic Data Center) Ten Winter Storms in the last ten years. Total property damage loss for the ten-year period was \$1,000,000.

January 09, 2011: Winter Storm, Generally, one quarter to one half inch of freezing rain and sleet was reported initially across the northern half of Northeast Texas with the snow being the predominant precipitation type during the afternoon and evening of January 9th with up to 7 inches of snow recorded in Bowie County. There were many reports of traffic accidents across the northern half of Northeast Texas with isolated power outages as well.

February 03, 2011: Winter Storm, A large area of precipitation, mostly in the form of snow, developed across Central Texas during the late-night hours of February 3rd and moved quickly northeast into Northeast Texas, accumulating snow was the result across much of the area with a mixture of sleet and freezing rain across portions of East Central Texas and Central Louisiana with Bowie County Reporting up to 5 inches of snow.

December 25, 2012: Winter Storm, accumulating snow was common across several counties in Northeast Texas. This heavy wet snow resulted in several trees downed along with powerlines which cut power to many locations across Northeast Texas. There were also several accidents reported from the accumulating snow on area roadways and bridges. Up to 8.5 inches of snow was recorded in Bowie County.

March 02, 2014: Winter Storm, Temperatures cooled enough in the lower levels of the atmosphere such that freezing rain transitioned over to sleet across much of the area. Widespread sleet accumulations of one half to one inch were reported. There were some isolated areas with total sleet accumulations near 2 inches. Further east where temperatures were not cold enough aloft for sleet, freezing rain was the dominant precipitation type accumulations near one quarter to one half inch. The freezing rain and sleet accumulations resulted in many automobile accidents along with power outages from falling limbs and trees throughout the northern half of Northeast Texas.

February 23, 2015: A cold dome of arctic air spilled southward providing the necessary lift to generate widespread winter precipitation across the region in the form of freezing rain and sleet. Temperature during the predawn hours were mostly just above freezing but once the precipitation moved in from the west, the precipitation quickly changed over to freezing rain mixed with sleet as the temperatures fell during the day. Freezing rain accumulations across Northeast Texas, mainly along and north of the Interstate 20 corridor were near one tenth of an inch or less. Sleet accumulations along and north of Interstate 20 corridor ranged from near one half inch to near one and one-half inch.

February 25, 2015: Cold artic air remained in place across the region and there was already ice on the ground from sleet accumulation from Feb 23rd. The precipitation began as a mixture of light rain or freezing rain after midnight. The precipitation quickly transitioned over to sleet and

eventually moderate to heavy snow across a good portion of the region after sunrise on the 25th. Snowfall accumulations were 5 inches for Avery, Texas.

March 4, 2015: The precipitation began as a cold rain but quickly transitioned to sleet during the late-night hours of the 4th and transitioning over to snow during the morning hours of the 5th. Freezing rain amounts were near one tenth of an inch with sleet accumulations mainly less than one half inch. Snow amounts were less than 4 inches with widespread one to three inches reported across the northern half of northeast Texas.

January 15, 2018: A trough of low pressure spread east across the Southern Plains with an arctic air mass quickly spilling into the Ark-La-Tex behind a strong cold front. Ice accumulation of up to a tenth of an inch was common among the western sections of Northeast Texas, with snowfall accumulations of one to two inches, with isolated higher amounts seen. This caused significant travel impacts across much of Northeast Texas, with rain and melted snow quickly freezing into black ice on many roadways, bridges, and overpasses, as temperatures plummeted into the upper teens to lower 20s by daybreak on the 16th. Here are some of the snowfall totals in Bowie County: 2 miles east of Leary recorded 3 inches of snow, 1 mile southeast of New Boston recorded 1.1 inches, Dekalb recorded .7 inches of snow.


February 14, 2021: Widespread snow and sleet amounts ranged from 5 to 10 inches across East and Bowie County: New Boston: 9 inches, Hooks: 8.5 inches, Maud: 8 inches, Dekalb: 8 inches.

February 16, 2021: Snowfall total for Bowie County: 1 Wake Village: 9.7 inches, New Boston: 4inches, Dekalb: 2.3inches, 2 E Dekalb: 1.6 inches. When combined with the previous winter storm on the 14th and 15th these totals made driving nearly impossible, with rolling blackouts further aggravated by the additional power outages the snows were responsible for. In addition, the weight of the snow from these two back-to-back winter storms also resulted in many metal carport canopies collapsing across extreme Northeast Texas, with many homes and cars damaged.

| | Bowie County Winter Storms Risk | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL IMPACT 45% | PROBABLITY 30% | Warning 15% | Duration 10% | RISK | | | | | | | |
| Bowie County | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| Unincorporated | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| DeKalb | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| Hooks | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| Leary | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| Maud | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| Nash | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| Red Lick | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| Redwater | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| Wake Village | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | Minor | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI = 2 | PRI = 4 | PRI = 1 | PRI = 3 | 2.55 | | | | | | | |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |



Data Credits: National Center for Environmental Information Storm Events Database, using a subset of events from 1996 to 2017. Data a vailable from https://www.nodc.noa.a.gov/storme.vents.

6/26/2017 Texas Geographic Society

Critical Facilities: All critical facilities located in unincorporated Bowie County and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are subject to some damage from winter storms.

Location:

Winter Storms have no distinct geographic boundary. They can occur in every area of the county including the Northeast Texas region.

Impact: Although East Texas does not have severe winters it is not immune from some of the hazards of cold weather. Every year, winter weather indirectly kills hundreds of people in the U.S, primarily from automobile accidents but from overexertion, and hypothermia as well.

Heavy accumulations of ice can bring down trees and power lines, disabling electric power and communications for days. Heavy snow or ice can immobilize communities by shutting down transportation into, out of, and within the county. In rural areas and smaller communities' homes and farms may be isolated for days. Livestock and other animals can die from exposure. When the event happens in the early spring, crops such as fruit can be destroyed. Bowie County and its jurisdictions can expect ice accumulations on streets, power lines and trees that will range from $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ of an inch.

Bowie county and participating jurisdictions could lose power to their sewage and water plant. They could lose power to homes and experience damage to city infrastructure. The elderly could suffer from lack of heat and lighting during a winter storm. The small business in the jurisdictions could experience lost revenue due to reduced traffic during winter storm events. Falling trees and tree limbs could damage property and block roadways in both jurisdictions. Auto accidents related to travel on icy roads increase.

| Estimated Property L | oss at 15%. |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Bowie County Unincorporated | \$184,691,895 |
| DeKalb | \$9,000,082 |
| Hooks | \$11,500,401 |
| Leary | \$2,429,648 |
| Maud | \$4,320,580 |
| Nash | \$23,855,314 |
| New Boston | \$28,314,689 |
| Red Lick | \$10,386,404 |
| Redwater | \$3,425,499 |
| Wake Village | \$45,601,834 |
| TexAmericas Center | \$3,500,485 |

The Damage Assessment tables found on Pages 26-28 show the amount of damage that can be possible. A temperature between 32 degrees f. and 10 degrees f. is the range of temperature expected county wide that would create conditions for winter storms. (see the windchill chart on page 72)

Probability: According to FEMA National Risk Index the risk for Winter Weather in Bowie County is relatively moderate and the risk for Ice Storm is relatively high. The probability of the occurrence of a freeze is high, given historical weather patterns. Ten winter storms have occurred between 2011 and 2021. It is highly likely that a winter storm will occur in any given year. Bowie County and the participating jurisdictions share the same likelihood of experiencing a winter storm.

Vulnerability: Bowie County has a significant amount of acreage designated as conservation, public lands, and agricultural land uses. The small towns and communities are always vulnerable to power outages, icy roads, and delayed emergency services.

Summary: In rural east Texas, when moist gulf air meets arctic temperatures winter storms can occur. The storms usually take their toll from heavy accumulations of ice that form, often overnight, on trees, power lines and structures. In the more remote areas of the county homes may be without electrical power for days but critical facilities in most urban areas are operating within a few days. DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center and rural Bowie County may have power outages lasting one to two weeks.



Ice Storms Devastated Bowie County in 2000

Weather chills plans for exams Stock roads gum area traffic Emergency rooms straffic Nearly 30,000 without power after artic blast

by JEFF MINOR and JOHN FOOKS /2-14 - 0.0 Who Gazette Staff Utility officials say it make take a

geen days on heaters metching of some press homes after Wednesday's early morning ice storm knocked out power to more than helf the customers and devastated the "Them," said Scott McCloud, spokesman for ER, Sectionesters Electric Power "Ik hust

hypically destroyed our transmission ciruits, and it would have been worse if the left and rain had continued." At one point, 215,000 constomers were eithout power, which is more than half the

McCloud said about 30,000 customers are without power in the Tsuarkana aroa high would include its service areas a owne County and Arkansas cities like

Tim Bingaman Jr., Billy DeLosch of AEP-Southwestern Electric Hower, and Adm Nicholson examine a free and power lines late. Wednesday afternoon. Nicholson w getting ready to climb the tree and cut off branches.

AEP.SWEPCO officials say they 'manh pretty good progress' Wednesday timeary restoring power to Texarkians-area customary. "This is the highest classification of storms

This is the highest classification of electron at we use internally for our smorgency eparedness, and the estimated time to get wer back to all our customers is up to n days." McCloud said. of 11 a.m., AEP-SWEPCO report 000 concourses were without power. p.m. 22,000 were still without power s said the worst outage we've ev and Mile Young, AEP-SWEPCO

Please see POWER on Page 9A

HAILSTORM

Description:

Hail is a form of precipitation that occurs at the beginning of thunderstorms. It is in the form of balls or lumps of ice, usually called hailstones. Hail is formed when raindrops pass through a belt of cold air on their way to earth. This belt of cold air causes the raindrops to freeze into small blocks of ice. The formation of hail requires the presence of cumulonimbus or other convective clouds with strong updrafts. The air turbulence that accompanies thunderstorms aids the formation of hailstones. The water that goes into the formation of hailstones is super-cooled water, that is to say, it is at a temperature below freezing point but still in the form of a liquid. Hailstones start falling when they become too heavy to be supported by air currents.

Hailstones are not formed by single raindrops. However, the process of formation of a hailstone does start with the freezing of a single raindrop. This may be carried by a strong current to the level where rain is still falling as drops. And as this again passes through the cold air belt, new raindrops may cling to the frozen hailstone, thus increasing its size. Hailstones grow by repeated collisions with super-cooled water. This water is suspended in the cloud through which the particle is traveling. Those single frozen raindrops that do not get carried back to the raindrop level remain as smaller hailstones.

Hailstorms are common in middle latitudes and a heavy shower lasts around 15 minutes. Hailstorms generally occur during mid to late afternoon. Big hailstones falling with force are known to have caused fatalities to humans and animals.

The following chart shows the Combined NOAA/TORRO Hailstorm Intensity Scales:


Combined NOAA/TORRO Hailstorm Intensity Scales

| Size Code | Intensity Category | Typical Hail Diameter (inches) | Approximate Size | Typical Damage Impacts |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| HO | Hard Hail | up to 0.33 | Реа | No damage |
| H1 | Potentially Damaging | 0.33-0.60 | Marble or Mothball | Slight damage to plants, crops |
| H2 | Potentially Damaging | 0.60-0.80 | Dime or grape | Significant damage to fruit, crops, vegetation |
| H3 | Severe | 0.80-1.20 | Nickel to Quarter | Severe damage to fruit and crops, damage to glass and plastic structures, paint and wood scored |
| H4 | Severe | 1.2-1.6 | Half Dollar to Ping Pong Ball | Widespread glass damage, vehicle bodywork damage |
| H5 | Destructive | 1.6-2.0 | Silver dollar to Golf Ball | Wholesale destruction of glass, damage to tiled roofs, significant risk of injuries |
| H6 | Destructive | 2.0-2.4 | Lime or Egg | Aircraft bodywork dented, brick walls pitted |
| H7 | Very destructive | 2.4-3.0 | Tennis ball | Severe roof damage, risk of serious injuries |
| H8 | Very destructive | 3.0-3.5 | Baseball to Orange | Severe damage to aircraft bodywork |
| H9 | Super Hailstorms | 3.5-4.0 | Grapefruit | Extensive structural damage. Risk of severe or even fatal injuries to persons caught in the open |
| H10 | Super Hailstorms | 4+ | Softball and up | Extensive structural damage. Risk of severe or even fatal injuries to persons caught in the open |

Sources: www.noaa.gov and www.torro.org

HISTORY OF HAILSTORMS IN BOWIE COUNTY

The NOAA Satellite and Information Service, National Climatic Data Center, reports that there have been 31 days of hail events reported between January 2011 and December 2021 in Bowie County. Nine (8) of those events reported a magnitude of 1.75 inches within the cities of Dekalb, Hooks, New Boston, and Simms. The smallest magnitude reported was .75 inches.



Data Credits: National Center for Environmental Information Storm Events Database, using a subset of events from 1996 to 2017. Data a vailable from https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/stormevents.

6/26/2017 Texas Geographic Society

| | Bowie County Hailstorm Risk | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|----------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL | PROBABLITY | Warning | Duration | RISK | | | | | | | |
| | IMPACT 45% | 30% | 15% | 10% | | | | | | | | |
| Bowie | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| Unincorporated | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| Dekalb | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| Hooks | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| Leary | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| Maud | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 235 | | | | | | | |
| Nash | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| Red Lick | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| Wake Village | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| Redwater | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |
| TexAmericas | Limited | Highly Likely | <6 hrs. | <6 hrs. | Medium | | | | | | | |
| Center | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | 2.35 | | | | | | | |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Critical Facilities: All critical facilities located in unincorporated Bowie County and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are subject to some damage from an intense hailstorm. It is hard to imagine a hailstorm severe enough to make a critical facility damaged to the point of not being able to provide the needed services or functions.

Location: Hailstorms can strike anywhere in Bowie County including the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center.

Probability: The probability of a hailstorm strike in Bowie County is highly likely. The jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center share the same probability and risk. According to the FEMA National Risk Index the risk for Hail in Bowie County is relatively high.

Impact: The impact of a hailstorm has historically been limited. Hail can damage autos, roofs, siding, and crops. A 2% loss to residential property in the county could result in a monetary value of \$37,238,174. See the tables on page 26-28 for a more comprehensive look at possible damage values.

| Estimated Property Loss at 25% | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bowie County Unincorp. | Residential | \$284,926,814 | | | | | | | | |
| DeKalb | Residential | \$10,974,525 | | | | | | | | |
| Hooks | Residential | \$16,411,051 | | | | | | | | |
| Leary | Residential | \$2,524,560 | | | | | | | | |
| Maud | Residential | \$6,500,093 | | | | | | | | |
| Nash | Residential | \$22,273,531 | | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | Residential | \$31,655,502 | | | | | | | | |
| Red Lick | Residential | \$16,951,088 | | | | | | | | |
| Redwater | Residential | \$4,775,763 | | | | | | | | |
| Wake Village | Residential | \$68,484,249 | | | | | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | Residential | N/A | | | | | | | | |

Vulnerability: Buildings, autos, and crops, can be damaged by hail. Hail is often part of thunderstorm activity. In rare cases hail can cause physical injury. The overall vulnerability level in Bowie County and the jurisdictions is high.

Extent: Based on historical data in can be expected that Bowie County will experience a H5 Destructive Hail storm (NOAA/TORRO Hailstorm Intensity Scale).

Summary: Hailstorms are unpredictable and often associated with thunderstorm activity. Thunderstorms have historically occurred throughout the county, and if the trend continues, all of Bowie County and its jurisdictions could be affected by hailstorms.

DROUGHT

Description

A drought is a period of abnormally dry weather that persists long enough to produce a serious hydrologic imbalance (for example crop damage, water supply shortage, etc.) The severity of the drought depends upon the degree of moisture deficiency, the duration, and the size of the affected area.

There are four different ways that drought can be defined:

- Meteorological a measure of departure of precipitation from normal. Due to climatic differences what is considered a drought in one location may not be a drought in another location.
- Agricultural refers to a situation when the amount of moisture in the soil no longer meets the needs of a particular crop.
- **Hydrological** occurs when surface and subsurface water supplies are below normal.
- Socioeconomic refers to the situation that occurs when physical water begins to affect people.

Drought is a period when precipitation falls below normal levels.

Defining the beginning or the end of a drought can be difficult. Some droughts may be short in duration, but more severe in their intensity. Low humidity and high temperatures usually accompany droughts, which means that any additional moisture evaporates quickly before it has the chance to improve conditions.

Droughts not only lead to water shortages, but they produce widespread crop failure and environmental stress, and in recent years have caused more than 300 Texas cities and utilities to resort to ordinances or other measures to limit water use. The extreme heat associated with some droughts has led to heat related deaths, job losses among agricultural workers, and significant acreage and property destroyed by wildfires.

Climate change has further altered the natural pattern of droughts, making them more frequent, longer, and more severe. Since 2000, the western United States is experiencing some of the driest conditions on record. The southwestern U.S. is going through an unprecedented period of extreme drought. This will have lasting impacts on the environment and those who rely on it. (ww.usgs.gov)

Drought ends when it rains. When enough precipitation has fallen, a region's soil moisture profile will improve enough to sustain plants and crops. Once recovery continues to the extent that the water levels of lakes, rivers, wells, and reservoirs have returned to normal, then a drought is considered over.

Types of Drought Impacts

Drought impacts are often grouped as economic, environmental, and social. The economic impact of droughts in East Texas includes:

- Farmers may lose money if a drought destroys their crops or stunts the crops' growth, causing lower yields and poor crop quality. If a farmer's water supply is too low, the farmer may have to spend more money on irrigation or to find new water sources, like wells.
- Ranchers may lose livestock, or they might have to spend more money on feed and water for their animals.
- People who work in the timber industry may be affected when trees, especially young trees, die, or wildfires destroy stands of timber.
- Businesses that manufacture and sell recreational equipment, like boats and fishing equipment, may not be able to sell some of their goods because drought has dried up lakes and other water sources.
- Businesses that depend on agricultural productions, like tractor manufacturers and companies that process food, may lose business when drought damages crops or livestock.
- Power companies that normally rely on hydroelectric power (electricity that is created from the energy of running water) may have to spend more money on other fuel sources if drought dries up too much of the water supply. The power companies' customers would also have to pay more.
- Water companies may have to spend money on new or additional water supplies.
- Barges and ships may have difficulty navigating streams, rivers, and canals because of low water levels, which would also affect businesses that depend on water transportation for receiving or sending goods and materials.
- People may have to pay more for food.

Drought also causes environmental losses because of forest fires; soil erosion; damage to plants, animals, and their habitat; and air and water quality decline. Sometimes the damage is only temporary, and conditions return to normal when the drought is over. But sometimes drought's impact on the environment can last a long time, or may even become permanent if, for example, an endangered species was lost because of low stream flows. Examples of environmental impacts include:

- Losses or destruction of fish and wildlife habitat
- Lack of food and drinking water for wild animals
- Increase in disease in wild animals because of reduced food and water supplies
- Migration of wild animals, leading to loss of wildlife in some (drought-stricken) areas and too much wildlife in areas not affected by drought
- Increased stress on endangered species
- Lower water levels in reservoirs, lakes, and ponds
- Loss of wetlands
- More fires
- Wind and water erosion of soils, reduced soil quality

Social impacts of drought include public safety, health, conflicts that arise between people when there is not enough water to go around, and changes in lifestyle. Many of the impacts that we consider economic and environmental also have social impacts. Examples of social impact include:

- Mental and physical stress on people (for example, people may experience anxiety or depression about economic losses caused by drought)
- Health problems related to low water flows (for example, low water supplies and water pressure make fire-fighting more difficult)
- Loss of human life (from heat stress and suicides for example)
- Threat to public safety from an increased number or forest and range fires
- Reduced incomes
- Population migration (from rural to urban areas)
- Fewer recreational activities

All these impacts were considered in planning for and responding to drought conditions.


According to the National Climate Data Center

The wide variety of disciplines affected by drought, its diverse geographical and temporal distribution, and the many scales drought operates on make it difficult to develop both a definition to describe drought and an index to measure it. Many quantitative measures of drought have been developed in the United States, depending on the discipline affected, the region being considered, and the particular application. Several indices developed by Wayne Palmer, as well as the Standardized Precipitation Index, are useful for describing the many scales of drought.

Common to all types of drought is the fact that they originate from a deficiency of precipitation resulting from an unusual weather pattern. If the weather pattern lasts a brief time (say, a few weeks or a couple of months), the drought is considered *short-term*. But if the weather or atmospheric circulation pattern becomes entrenched and the precipitation deficits last for several months to several years, the drought is a *long-term* drought. It is possible for a region to experience a long-term circulation pattern that produces drought, and to have short-term changes in this long-term pattern that result in short-term wet spells. Likewise, it is possible for a long-term drought wet circulation pattern to be interrupted by short-term weather spells that result in short-term drought

Historical Dollar Losses

The following map illustrates the total county losses (property plus crop losses) from drought or abnormal dryness over the period (1996-2017). The different colors on the map represent the relative losses between counties within the state; white signifies zero dollars lost. The inset table reports total dollar losses for each region over the 21-year base period.




County Dollar Loss Forecast

This map shows the results of the forecast model for 2019-2023 for drought and abnormal dryness dollar losses at the county level. These are based on the locations of impacts in the base period and the locations of future losses.

Drought/Abnormal Dryness Dollar Loss Forecast

The forecast is an estimate of damages that are likely to occur if similar weather events re-occur in or near previously impacted areas during the base period. Future drought or abnormal dryness dollar losses will not necessarily be in the same places that they were in the past, but a strong correlation is likely.



PAST OCCURANCES OF DRIUGHT IN BOWIE COUNTY (Data from National Climatic Data Center)

January 2011 – March 2012 The entire state recorded drought conditions at one point This drought reached historical proportions creating severe drought conditions throughout the state of Texas.

November 2012 – January 2013 D2 Severe Drought conditions developed during the early part of the month in Bowie County.

August 2013- September 2013 Severe to extreme drought conditions expanded to encompass much of the northeast half of the state.

August 2015 – October 2015 Flash drought conditions developed across Bowie County during the middle of August. D2 Severe Drought conditions began to materialize in September and September 8th, D3 Extreme Drought conditions began to materialize. Drought conditions continued to worsen during the first half of October with D2 Severe Drought conditions noted. D3 Extreme Drought conditions encompassed Bowie County. By October 20th, drought conditions continued to deteriorate such that Bowie County was classified at D4 Exceptional Drought conditions.

October 2016-December 2016 Bowie County was classified with D2 Severe Drought conditions on Oct. 25th and continuing beyond October. Severe drought conditions (D2) continued during November 2016, as monthly temperatures remained two to four degrees above normal and below normal rainfall continued. Severe (D2) drought conditions continued to start December before widespread rainfall of an inch to an inch and a half fell across much of this area during the first week of the month.

November 2017-December 2017 Severe (D2) drought conditions developed across Eastern Bowie County in Northeast Texas to start the second week of November. As a result of the drought, planting of winter wheat pastures was delayed or little growth occurred, stock ponds receded significantly. Severe (D2) drought conditions continued across much of Northeast Texas to start December, before widespread rainfall of an inch to an inch and a half fell across much of this area during the first week of the month.

August 1, 2018 – August 15, 2018, Extreme (D3) and severe (D2) drought conditions continued through the first two weeks of August across Bowie County Texas, as little rainfall fell through the first week of the month.

November 2021-December 2021 Severe (D2) drought conditions developed across Bowie County by the very end of November and continued into December, in response to a prolonged period of dryness which began in late July, coupled with above normal temperatures which persisted through the Fall months.

| | | Bowie County Dr | ought Risk | | |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|------|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL | PROBABLITY | Warning | Duration | RISK |
| | IMPACT 45% | 30% | 15% | 10% | |
| Bowie County | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| Unincorporated | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| DeKalb | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| Hooks | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| Leary | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| Maud | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| Nash | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| New Boston | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| Red Lick | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| Redwater | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| Wake Village | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |
| TexAmericas | Substantial | Highly Likely | > than 24 hours | >Week | High |
| Center | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=1 | PRI=4 | 3.55 |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Critical Facilities: Drought can impact the availability of water to citizens. Wastewater treatment plants and potable water sources may be impacted by lingering drought. DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, TexAmericas Center and the unincorporated portions of Bowie County are equally susceptible to drought.

Probability: Droughts will continue to occur in Bowie County and the participating jurisdictions when the conditions are right. It is a normal, recurrent feature of climate. A drought will affect Bowie County and its participating jurisdictions. Historically a drought can last from a few days to several months. According to FEMA National Risk Index the risk for drought in Bowie County is low.

Vulnerability: The region is vulnerable when there is a deficiency of precipitation over an extended period. All of Bowie County and its jurisdictions are vulnerable to drought. For DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center droughts have a social dynamic that includes affecting the elderly and young, causing depression, creating job loss, requiring residents to move due to economic impact and rising costs for food.

Impact: Bowie County drought defined: Drought is determined by using the Palmer Drought Index. It is based on precipitation and temperature date for the area. The scale ranges from 3.99, which is very wet to -4.00 or less, which is considered extreme drought. The scale is most accurate when used to determine drought over a period of months. The extent of drought experienced in Bowie County and its jurisdictions will range from **0** Abundantly Dry to 4 Exceptional Drought.

The impact of a drought on Bowie County and all the participating jurisdictions includes economic problems due to high food prices, the water from municipal works can drop in quality causing illness, lawns and other plants are affected. Public safety can be threatened by the increased likelihood of wildfires. If the water levels of Lake Wright Patman become low, there would be a decrease in recreational activities such as fishing and swimming.

Location: Historically, drought has affected all of Bowie County including the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center. The agricultural areas, which include the rural parts of the County, would be affected more than the urban areas.

Summary: Drought is seen as an issue for Bowie County, DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center. The drought of 2011 covered 15 months and affected the entire state. Rationing water remains a real possibility due to climate change. New precautions should be considered to mitigate changing weather patterns.

EXTREME HEAT

Description

Heat kills by taxing the human body beyond its abilities. In a normal year, about 175 Americans succumb to the demands of summer heat. Among the large continental family of natural hazards, only the cold of winter-not lighting, hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, or earthquakes-takes a greater toll. In the 40-year period from 1936 through 1975, nearly 20,000 people were killed in the United States by the effects of heat and solar radiation. In the disastrous heat wave of 1980, more than 1,250 people died. These are the direct casualties. No one can know how many more deaths are advanced by heat wave weather-how many diseased or aging hearts surrender that under better conditions would have continued functioning.

North American summers are hot; most summers see heat waves in one section or another of the United States. East of the Rockies, they tend to combine both high temperature and high humidity although some of the worst have been catastrophically dry.

The stagnant atmospheric conditions of the heat wave trap pollutants in urban areas and add the stresses of severe pollution to the already dangerous stresses of hot weather, creating a health problem of undiscovered dimensions. The high inner-city death rates also can be read as poor access to air-conditioned rooms. While air conditioning may be a luxury in normal times, it can be a lifesaver during heat wave conditions. The cost of cool air moves steadily higher, adding a cruel economic side to heat wave fatalities. Indications from the 1978 Texas heat wave suggest that some elderly people on fixed incomes, many of them in buildings that could not be ventilated without air conditioning, found the cost too high, turned off their units, and succumbed to the stresses of heat. Elderly persons, small children, chronic invalids, those on certain medications or drugs (especially tranquilizers and anticholinergics), and persons with weight and alcohol problems are particularly susceptible to heat reactions, especially during heat waves in areas where a moderate climate usually prevails.

Based on the latest research findings, the National Weather Service has devised the Heat Index (HI). The HI, given in degrees F, is an accurate measure of how hot it really feels when relative humidity (RH) is added to the actual air temperature. Exposure to full sunshine can increase HI values by up to 15 degrees Fahrenheit. Also, strong winds, particularly with hot, dry air, can be extremely hazardous.

Bowie County Extreme Heat

All of Bowie County including the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center will suffer from the impact of heat. Extreme heat is often categorized in terms of weather events with drought.

In Bowie County those at greatest risk of death due to excessive heat are the urban dwelling elderly without access to an air-conditioned environment for at least part of the day. Thus, the issues of prevention and mitigation combine issues of aging and public health.

Further Economic impact occurs when stress is placed on automobile cooling systems, diesel trucks and railroad locomotives. This leads to an increase in mechanical failures. Train rails develop sun kinks and distort. Refrigerated goods experience a significantly greater rate of spoilage due to extreme heat. Additional impact will be felt as food prices rise due to crop loss.

The demand for electric power during heat waves is well documented. According to the Institute for Research in the Atmosphere at Colorado State University, "In 1980, consumers paid \$1.3 billion more for electric power during the summer than the previous year. The demand for electricity, 5.5% above normal, outstripped the supply, causing electric companies to have rolling black outs."

| Date | Highest | Days Over | | |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
| Dute | Temperature | 90 | | |
| June 2011 | 103 | 26 | | |
| July 2011 | 106 | 27 | | |
| August 2011 | 111 | 30 | | |
| June 2012 | 107 | 28 | | |
| July 2012 | 103 | 31 | | |
| August 2012 | 101 | 30 | | |
| June 2013 | 100 | 25 | | |
| July 2013 | 100 | 27 | | |
| August 2013 | 101 | 25 | | |
| June 2014 | 94 | 10 | | |
| July 2014 | 100 | 16 | | |
| August 2014 | 97 | 24 | | |
| June 2015 | 96 | 16 | | |
| July 2015 | 101 | 29 | | |
| August 2015 | 106 | 25 | | |
| June 2016 | 96 | 22 | | |
| July 2016 | 103 | 28 | | |
| August 2016 | 101 | 18 | | |
| June 2017 | 94 | 9 | | |
| July 2017 | 97 | 25 | | |
| August 2017 | 96 | 13 | | |
| June 2018 | 97 | 27 | | |
| July 2018 | 104 | 26 | | |
| August 2018 | 101 | 24 | | |
| June 2019 | 94 | 10 | | |
| July 2019 | 96 | 19 | | |
| August 2019 | 100 | 27 | | |
| June 2020 | 94 | 12 | | |
| July 2020 | 96 | 28 | | |
| August 2020 | 96 | 18 | | |
| June 2021 | 96 | 18 | | |
| July 2021 | 96 | 22 | | |
| August 2021 | 98 | 23 | | |

Extreme Heat Past Occurrences

* National Weather Service

"Extreme heat is a period of high heat and humidity with temperatures above 90 degrees for at least two to three days. In extreme heat your body works extra hard to maintain a normal temperature, which can lead to death." (*ready.gov*)

The following shows heat index/heat disorders.

| | 80 | 82 | 84 | 86 | 88 | 90 | 92 | 94 | 96 | 98 | 100 | 102 | 104 | 106 | 108 | 110 |
|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 40 | 80 | 81 | 83 | 85 | 88 | 91 | 94 | 97 | 101 | 105 | 109 | 114 | 119 | 124 | 130 | 120 |
| 45 | 80 | 82 | 84 | 87 | 89 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 104 | 109 | 114 | 119 | 124 | 130 | | |
| 50 | 81 | 83 | 85 | 88 | 91 | 95 | 99 | 103 | 108 | 113 | 118 | 124 | 111 | | | |
| 55 | 81 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 93 | 97 | 101 | 106 | 112 | 117 | 124 | 100 | 1.37 | | | |
| 60 | 82 | 84 | 88 | 91 | 95 | 100 | 105 | 110 | 116 | 123 | 129 | | | | | |
| 65 | 82 | 85 | 89 | 93 | 98 | 103 | 108 | 114 | 121 | 128 | 136 | | | | | |
| 70 | 83 | 86 | 90 | 95 | 100 | 105 | 112 | 119 | 126 | 134 | | | | | | |
| 75 | 84 | 88 | 92 | 97 | 103 | 109 | 116 | 124 | | | | | | | | |
| 80 | 84 | 89 | 94 | 100 | 106 | 113 | 121 | 129 | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | 85 | 90 | 96 | 102 | 110 | 117 | 126 | 135 | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | 86 | 91 | 98 | 105 | 113 | 122 | 131 | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | 86 | 93 | 100 | 108 | 117 | 127 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 87 | 95 | 103 | 112 | 121 | 132 | | | | | | | | | | |

NOAA's National Weather Service Heat Index Temperature (°F)

Likelihood of Heat Disorders with Prolonged Exposure or Strenuous Activity
Caution Extreme Caution Danger

To find the Heat Index temperature, look at the Heat Index chart above. For example, if the air temperature is 96 degrees F and the relative humidity is 65%, the heat index – how hot it feels – is 121 degrees F.

| | BOWIE COUNTY EXTREME HEAT RISK | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL IMPACT 45% | PROBABLITY 30% | Warning 15% | Duration 10% | RISK | | | | | | | |
| Bowie | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| Unincorporated | PRI 1 | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| DeKalb | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI 1 | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| Hooks | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI 1 | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| Leary | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI 1 | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| Maud | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI 1 | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| Nash | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| Red Lick | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| Redwater | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| Wake Village | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | Limited | Highly Likely | > 24 hrs. | < a week | Medium | | | | | | | |
| | PRI | PRI 4 | PRI 1 | PRI 3 | 2.1 | | | | | | | |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Critical Facilities: Extreme heat can affect the overall well-being of citizens. Wastewater treatment plants and potable water sources may be affected by lingering drought associated with extreme heat. DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, TexAmericas Center, and the unincorporated portions of Bowie County are equally susceptible to extreme heat.

Probability: According the FEMA National Risk Index risk for Heat Waves in Bowie County is relatively moderate. Extreme heat is a normal, recurrent feature of climate. Bowie County typically has three or four extreme heat occurrences every summer. It is highly likely that Bowie County and its jurisdictions will experience extreme heat. According to the State of Texas Hazard Mitigation Plan, Texas currently averages more than 60 dangerous heat days a year; by 2050, the state is projected to see 115 such days a year, second only to Florida. (reportcard.statesatrisk.org)

Vulnerability: The region is vulnerable when there is a deficiency of precipitation over an extended period and high temperatures. The extent of damage or injury increases with the temperature and relative humidity levels. All of Bowie County and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are vulnerable. The elderly, young and ill are most vulnerable to extreme heat. Crops and livestock are stressed during extended periods of extreme heat. Extreme heat causes heat stroke, time lost on the job and psychological stress.

Impact: According to the NOAA weather service in Shreveport, Louisiana, extreme, heat by definition, exists when over a two-day period, the heat index high reaches 105-109 with a minimum evening index temperature of 75 degrees or better. The heat index is calculated by combining air temperature and humidity levels. The full range of the heat index on page 97 is applicable for Bowie County and its jurisdictions. There is no specific history regarding property or crop damage due to excessive heat available. For a better idea of the possible property losses see the Damage Assessment Tables on pages 26-28 for examples of loss in dollars. The Heat Index will be mitigated to any combination of temperature and humidity that ranges from 100 degrees F to 114 degrees F.

Location: The entire county would be affected by extreme heat. All jurisdictions suffer from the impact of extreme heat.

Summary: Hot temperatures are part of the East Texas landscape. During June, July, and August we can expect temperatures of over 100 degrees. The citizens who live in Bowie County and the participating jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are aware of extreme heat's lethal potential and take precautions to prevent overheating and heat related strokes. Models produced by the environmental sciences project increase incidents of extreme temperature climate change due to global warming. Mitigation actions should take place now to prepare for rising temperatures.

DAM FAILURE

Description

A dam is "any barrier, including one for flood detention, designed to impound liquid volumes and which has a height of dam greater than six feet. This does not include highway, railroad, or other roadway embankments, including low water crossing that may temporarily detain floodwater, levees designed to prevent inundation by floodwater, closed dikes designed to temporarily impound liquids in the event of emergencies, or off channel impoundments authorized by the commission in accordance with the Texas Water Code, Chapter 26, or the Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act, Texas Civil Statutes Article 4477-7". (Regulations section 229.1)

There are 92,065 dams in the United States, according to FEMA and the National Inventory of Dams. Dam failure or levee breeches can occur with little warning. Intense storms may produce a flood in a few hours or even minutes for upstream locations. Flash floods occur within six (6) hours of the beginning of heavy rainfall, and dam failure may occur within hours of the first signs of breaching. Other failures and breeches can take much longer to occur, from days to weeks, because of debris jams or the accumulation of melting snow.

Each dam in the *National Inventory of Dams* is assigned a downstream hazard classification based on the potential for loss of life and damage to property should the dam fail. The classification has nothing to do with the condition or structure of the dam or whether the dam is about to collapse. Dams are classified by size and hazard potential:

| Size Classification | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Category | Storage (ac-ft) | Height (ft) | | | | | | |
| Small | Less than 1000 | Less than 40 | | | | | | |
| Intermediate | 1000-49,999 | 40-99 | | | | | | |
| Large | 50,000+ | 100+ | | | | | | |

Height of dam is "the vertical distance from the effective crest of the dam to the lowest elevation on the centerline or downstream toe of the dam including the natural stream channel. Texas Water Code, Chapter 26, or the Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act, Texas Civil Statutes Article 4477-7. Regulations section 299.1).

| Hazard Classification (Severity) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Category | Economic Loss | | | | | | | |
| Low (L) | None Expected | Minimal | | | | | | |
| Significant (S) | Possible, but none expected | Appreciable | | | | | | |
| High (H) | Expected | Excessive | | | | | | |


Texas has more dams listed in the National Inventory of Dams than any other state. Currently, there are 7,377 dams listed in the National Inventory of Dams, and 21 of those dams are located within Bowie County.

The following table lists the dams in Bowie County.

~

| Source: nid.sec.usace.army.mil | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dam Name | NID ID | | | | | | |
| T and P Lake Dam | TX00361 | | | | | | |
| De Kalb Lake Dam | TX05196 | | | | | | |
| Smith Club Dam | TX00368 | | | | | | |
| Ashford Lake Dam | TX05197 | | | | | | |
| Pecos Crow Ranch Dam | TX06783 | | | | | | |
| Anderson Lake Dam | TX00369 | | | | | | |
| Kennedy Dam | TX00364 | | | | | | |
| Elkins Lake Dam | TX05195 | | | | | | |
| Gifford-Hill Dam | TX00365 | | | | | | |
| Hatchel Farm Lake Dam | TX00371 | | | | | | |
| Crystal Springs Dam | TX00360 | | | | | | |
| Malta Farm Lake Dam No 2 | TX00370 | | | | | | |
| Dam At Hle | TX07552 | | | | | | |
| Tranturn Lake Dam | TX07324 | | | | | | |
| Boot Lake Dam | TX07325 | | | | | | |
| Elliott Creek | TX00363 | | | | | | |
| Malta Farm Lake Dam No 1 | TX05937 | | | | | | |
| Caney Creek | TX00362 | | | | | | |
| Audie Lake Dam | TX09616 | | | | | | |
| Wright Lake Dam | TX00366 | | | | | | |
| Wright Patman Dam | TX00021 | | | | | | |

DAMS IN BOWIE COUNTY



Dams in Bowie County

Source: nid.sec.usace.army.mil

| BOWIE COUNTY DAM FAILURE RISK | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL IMPACT 45% | PROBABLITY 30% | Warning 15% | Duration 10% | RISK | | | | |
| Bowie | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| Unincorporated | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| DeKalb | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| Hooks | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| Leary | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| Maud | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| Nash | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| New Boston | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| Red Lick | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| Redwater | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| Wake Village | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |
| TexAmericas | Limited | Unlikely | < 6 hrs. | < 6 hrs. | Low | | | | |
| Center | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | PRI=1 | 1.45 | | | | |


BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Location: As of April 2022, the U.S. Army Corp of Engineer's (USACE) National Inventory of Dams (NID) database shows there are 21 dams in Bowie County. Only 5 of the 21 dams are profiled in this plan due to the others have low significance regarding their rating.


Wright Patman Lake (formerly known as Texarkana Reservoir or Lake Texarkana) is located about eleven miles southwest of Texarkana in Bowie and Cass Counties, TX on the Sulphur River, a tributary of the Red River in Arkansas.

The dam is maintained by the USACE – Ft. Worth District. It consists of a rolled-earth structure 18,500 feet long with a maximum height of 100 feet and the top of dam is at the elevation 286 feet above mean sea level. The reservoir has a capacity of 2,607,118-acre feet encompassing a surface area of 119,700 acres at spillway crest elevation of 259.5 feet above mean sea level for the flood control purpose. The maximum design water surface is at the elevation of 278.9 feet above mean sea level.



Wright Patman Dam Inundation Map


Tranturn Lake Dam is a privately owned dam located at Latitude: 33.487167 and Longitude: -94.05264199999999. Normal Storage (ACRE/FT) is 54 with a maximum storage of 76.9. Dam height is 17.8. There are no flood inundation maps for this dam in the National Inventory of Dams. Below is a location map.



Tranturn Lake Dam Location

https://earth.google.com/web/@33.4874505,-94.05142552,114.18019128a,2948.54998406d,30.0000044y,0h,0t,0r

Boot Lake Dam is a privately owned dam located at Latitude 33.49100999999996 and Longitude: -94.047963. Normal Storage (ACRE/FT) is 65 with a maximum storage of 86.9. Dam height is 13.5. There are no flood inundation maps for this dam in the National Inventory of Dams. Below is a location map.



Boot Lake Dame Location

https://earth.google.com/web/@33.49251795,-94.04745812,93.20836064a,3717.99547751d,35y,32.32143453h,0t,0r

Dam at HLE is a privately owned dam located at Latitude: 33.394816 and Longitude: -94.172994. Normal Storage (ACRE/FT) is 57 with a maximum storage of 71. Dam height is 19. There are no flood inundation maps for this dam in the National Inventory of Dams. Below is a location map.




Dam at HLE Location

https://earth.google.com/web/@33.39523928,-94.17251025,93.26244323a,2193.79259888d,35y,0h,0t,0r

Audie Lake Dam is a privately owned dam located at Latitude: 33.489398 and

Longitude: -94.051361. Normal Storage (ACRE/FT) is 30 with a maximum storage of 46.7. Dam height is 16.2. There are no flood inundation maps for this dam in the National Inventory of Dams. Below is a location map.



Audie Lake Dam Location

https://earth.google.com/web/@33.48908397,-94.05180853,94.36554866a,2137.81424633d,35y,0h,0t,0r

Probability: There is no local history of a dam breaking in Bowie County and it is unlikely that a dam will break anywhere in the county. The region is at risk of dam failure if there is ever a large, distant earthquake which might occur in Missouri-Tennessee or Oklahoma. The hazard is rare and poses little or no risk. Historically, since there have been no dam failures in Bowie County, the probability is unlikely.

Vulnerability: According to the Texas Dam Safety Program, heavy rains after a severe drought leave earthen dams vulnerable.

Impact: The severity of a dam failure event depends on several factors, including the size of the dam, the extent of the failure, the velocity of the floodwater released, and the density of built environment and populations downstream. There is the potential for total collapse of a dam, but less significant failures are more likely because of overtopping, foundation defects, or seepage. Overtopping of a dam during a flood event due to clogged debris has the potential to be catastrophic.

The classification of "high hazard" reflects only the possible damage if the structure were to fail, and does not take in to account the likelihood that this will occur (i.e. the condition of the dam and the kind of hazard event that may cause it to fail are not factors in the classification). It should be noted that there is a of the lack of significant built areas or populations in the potential inundation area if the dam were to fail.

The 2018 State of Texas Hazard Mitigation Plan Update was also reviewed to determine the dam failure risk. Review of the State Plan indicates that in Texas the high-risk dam area is concentrated along a generally north –south band across the central portion of the State. Sections of this band include densely populated areas combined with a high number of dams. The Bowie County and participating jurisdictions are well outside this band.

| Estimated Property Loss at 25% | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Bowie County Unincorporated | Residential | \$284,926,814 | | | | |
| DeKalb | Residential | \$10,974,525 | | | | |
| Hooks | Residential | \$16,411,051 | | | | |
| Leary | Residential | \$2,524,560 | | | | |
| Maud | Residential | \$6,500,093 | | | | |
| Nash | Residential | \$22,273,531 | | | | |
| New Boston | Residential | \$31,655,502 | | | | |
| Red Lick | Residential | \$16,951,088 | | | | |
| Redwater | Residential | \$4,775,763 | | | | |
| Wake Village | Residential | \$68,484,249 | | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | Residential | N/A | | | | |

Extent:

Wright Patman Dam is designed to reduce the peak flooding levels downstream without risking the structural integrity of the dam. The United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) completed a risk assessment in May 2015 and classified the risk associated with Wright Patman Dam as moderate. This risk classification is primarily driven by the very low probability for dam failure and the number of large populations at risk below Wright Patman Dam. The potential of breach for Wright Patman Dam was assessed to be related to two primary risks associated with earthen embankment dams: 1) During an extreme flood event, the foundation upon which the dam was built may experience excessive pressures beyond its design which could then lead to erosion of the embankment foundation and eventual breach of the dam, and 2) Differential settlement over time can increase the chance for cracks through the embankment which could reduce the projects ability to withstand large flood events. In the remote event of a dam breach the largest impacts would be to the cities of Shreveport and Alexandria, Louisiana. Potentially impacted infrastructure includes road networks, electrical substations, communication facilities, police and fire stations, hospitals, airports, and schools. Levees in the downstream populated areas would experience overtopping and would not contain the floodwaters. Although the downstream communities have well-practiced emergency action plans; and local emergency managers are aware of the unlikely potential for dam failure; and local emergency managers meet regularly with USACE to discuss emergency response to flood events, breach of the dam from either risk category could result in catastrophic flooding downstream and include widespread economic impacts and significant loss of life.

Tranturn Lake Dam, Boot Lake Dam, Dam at HLE and Audie Lake Dam, are privately owned dams and there is no risk information available in the National Inventory of Dams nor are there any flood inundation maps. The lack of information and mapping makes it difficult to determine the exact extent of damage that would occur in the event of dam failure.

Summary: It is unlikely that a dam break will occur that would have more than a limited effect on most of the County. The jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village and TexAmericas Center are not concerned about dam failure in their areas and did not choose to create actions for the hazard of dam failure. The unincorporated areas of Bowie County did wish to include this hazard and have created actions.

WILDFIRE

Description

A wildfire is an uncontrolled fire that burns in the wildland vegetation, often in rural areas. Wildfires can burn in forests, grasslands, savannas, and other ecosystems, and have been doing so for hundreds of millions of years. They are not limited to a particular continent or environment. Wildfires can burn in vegetation located both in and above the soil. Ground fires typically ignite in soil thick with organic matter that can feed the flames, like plant roots. Ground fires can smolder for a long time—even an entire season—until conditions are right for them to grow to a surface or crown fire. Surface fires, on the other hand, burn in dead or dry vegetation that is lying or growing just above the ground. Parched grass or fallen leaves often fuel surface fires. Crown fires burn in the leaves and canopies of trees and shrubs. (National Geographic)

Wildfires typically start in woodland or prairie areas. They can occur naturally though they are often exacerbated by human activities. Wildfires can be hard to control as they threaten homes and communities located nearby. Wildfires happen in every state, and they do not respect county or state lines. The impact of fire reaches well beyond the initial flames and smoke. Even if firefighters can protect homes and businesses, the aftermath of wildfire can be just as devastating as floods.

In Texas, the greatest high-danger fire threats are forest, brush, and grass fires. The East Texas Piney Woods belt of commercial timber is most susceptible to forest fires. In East Texas, the most monetary damage was caused by arson. Arsonists were responsible for 1 of every 4 fires. Debris burning is and continues to be the major cause of fires. Other causes such as control burns, construction fires and other miscellaneous fires rank second.

There is not a direct relationship between climate change and fire, but researchers have found strong correlations between warm summer temperatures and large fire years, so there is general consensus that fire occurrence will increase with climate change. (www.usgs.gov)

A HISTORY OF WILDFIRES IN TEXAS

Texas has had some significant fires in the urban wild land interface areas, where combustible homes meet combustible fuels. In 1996, the Poolville Fire burned 141structures and 16,000 acres in Parker and Wise counties west of Fort Worth. During the 2000 fire season, 48 homes were lost to wildfires in Texas that burned more than a quarter of a million acres.

In 1996, an historical record number of fires and losses in terms of acreage lost due to fires that burned across the state during a four-month period of the traditional fire season in the state. A total of 113 homes and 170,000 acres were lost due to fire in what is undoubtedly the worst siege of fire in the history of Texas. Over three hundred- trained fire fighters were brought in from across the nation to assist and supplement the Texas Forest Service personnel in control of these

fires. The Southern States Forest Fire Compact was invoked in order for Texas to receive help in terms of personnel and equipment from neighboring states.

"The Bastrop County Complex fire was a major wildfire that struck Bastrop County, Texas, between September and October 2011. Three separate fires started on September 4, 2011, during Labor Day weekend, and merged into one large blaze that burned east of the city of Bastrop. 1,691 homes were destroyed by the fire, making it the most destructive single wildfire in Texas history. After being largely contained in late September, the fire was finally declared controlled on October 10th, and declared extinguished on October 29th, having killed two people and inflicted an estimated \$325 million of insured property damage.

On September 20, 2011, fire officials reported that the likely cause of the blaze was sparks from electric power lines. 30-mile-per-hour gusts of wind on September 4th apparently toppled trees which tumbled into electrical lines at two locations, creating sparks that fell onto and ignited the dry grass and leaf litter below." (Wikipedia)

Should any part of the State of Texas experience extended periods of fair, windy weather, implementation of countywide bans on outdoor burning may be advised as a Wildfire prevention tool in that area. The Texas Forest Service recommends that local governments consider a KBDI of 600 and above for imposition of burn bans. Other indicators that dictate the need for a burn ban include: 1000 HR fuel moisture, Energy Release Component and run occurrence of local fire departments.

The Keetch-Byram Drought Index (KBDI) is a mathematical system for relating current and recent weather conditions to potential or expected fire behavior. The KBDI is the most widely used drought index system by fire managers in the south. It is also one of the only drought index systems specifically developed to equate the effects of drought with potential fire activities. The result of this system is a drought index number ranging from 0 to 800 that accurately describes the amount of moisture that is missing. A rating of zero defines the point where there is no moisture deficiency and 800 is the maximum drought possible.

| Fire Protection Area | Protection Class | Primary Fire Response | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| DeKalb | 5 | VFD DeKalb FD | | | |
| Hooks | 5 | VFD Hooks FD | | | |
| Leary | 4 | C-5 VFD | | | |
| Maud | 6 | VFD Maud FD | | | |
| Nash | 2 | Nash FD | | | |
| New Boston | 6 | VFD New Boston FD | | | |
| Red Lick | 4 | C-5 VFD | | | |
| Redwater | 4 | VFD Redwater FD | | | |
| Wake Village | 2 | Wake Village FD | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | 5,4,5 | Hooks, C-5 and Redwater | | | |

ISO FIRE PROTECTION CLASSES FOR BOWIE COUNTY

| Expected Fire | Conditions with Varying KBDI Levels |
|---|---|
| 0 – 200 Low Fire Danger | Soil and fuel moisture is high. Most fuels will not readily ignite or burn. However, with sufficient sunlight and wind, cured grasses and some light surface fuels will burn in spots and patches. |
| 200 – 400 Moderate Fire Danger | Fires more readily burn and will carry across an area with no "gaps." Heavier fuels will still not readily ignite and burn. Also, expect smoldering and the resulting smokes to carry into and possibly through the night. |
| 400 – 600 High Fire Danger | Fire intensity begins to significantly increase. Fires will readily burn in all directions exposing mineral soils in some locations. Larger fuels may burn or smolder for several days creating possible smoke and control problems. |
| 600 – 800 Extreme Fire Danger (600 – 800 continued) | Surface litter and most organic layers are consumed. 1000-hour fuels contribute to intensity. Stumps will burn to the end of roots underground. Any dead snag will ignite. Spotting from snags is a major problem if close to line. Expect dead limbs on trees to ignite from sparks. Expect extreme intensity on all fires that makes control efforts difficult. With winds above 10 miles per hour, spotting is the rule. Expect increased need for resources for fire suppression. Direct initial attack is almost impossible. Only rapid response time to wildfire with complete mop-up and patrol will prevent a major fire situation from developing. |

Potential Wildfire Damages and Losses in Bowie County

The "urban wildfire interface" is the geographical area where combustible homes are mixed with combustible vegetation. The determination of specific wildfire hazard sites depends on several factors.

- Topographic location and fuels
- Site/building construction and design
- Defensible space
- Accessibility
- Fire protection response
- Water availability

PAST OCCURANCES OF WILDFIRE IN BOWIE COUNTY (Data from National Climatic Data Center)

August 6, 2011 Ambient temperatures near 107 degrees, relative humidity values near 20 percent and drought conditions were the ingredients needed for a grass fire which quickly burned out of control across Bowie County during the afternoon of August 6th. The wildfire...while only 50 acres in size, consumed two homes, a barn, and a storage building. The fire started near County Road 2148. The United States Forest Service used helicopters to drop large containers of water on the fire. 300K Property Damage

August 17, 2011 Excessive heat and severe drought conditions were all the ingredients needed to cause a grass fire to get out of control in Bowie County. In the Wake Village community, a local family are counting their losses after a brush fire burned up more than 10 acres of family-owned land. Two homes were consumed by the flames. No family living in the area was harmed by the fire, but the loss of a special collection has led to some heavy hearts. The fire destroyed a classic car collection owned by a local family in which 30 cars were destroyed. The value of the cars was estimated at \$150,000. Two firefighters suffered heat exhaustion while trying to battle the fire. 400K Property Damage

September 5, 2011, Strong sustained winds, and wind gusts, made landfall across the south central and southeast Louisiana gulf coast, were felt across all Northeast Texas on September 4th and 5th. These winds, along with low humidity values and exceptional drought conditions across Northeast Texas, resulted in wildfire threat being met across the region. A wildfire began in Bowie County on September 5th near Simms, Texas. It was determined that this fire was started by an electrical line. This fire, while small in size, threatened 3 structures and burned one barn. The fire only burned 50 acres near County Road 4239 and was contained on the same day. 20K Property Damage.

September 11, 2011 Strong winds, low humidity and drought conditions across Northeast Texas were all the ingredients needed for a critical wildfire threat across the region. A wildfire began near Maud and Douglassville, Texas on September 11th. This fire consumed 160 acres of timberland and threatened several homes near the two communities. Two brush trucks, and one tender truck was called into help fight the blaze including Fire Departments from Douglassville, Marietta, Bryans Mill and Naples. Three plows were needed as well as one helicopter and one plane.


October, 7 2011 Very breezy conditions, warm temperatures and low humidity values were the ingredients needed for the rapid spread of a wildfire in Bowie County, Texas near the town of Dekalb. The fire was reportedly started by the use of a cutting torch near a heavily wooded area near Hwy. 259 and C.R. 2735. Strong winds caused the fire to spread rapidly with near 1000 acres of timberland and grassland consumed. Ten separate fire departments were called in to battle the blaze. There were reports of injuries to Texas Forest Service personnel who were burned while battling the fire.

| Source: Texas A & M Forest Service | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Type of Fire | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| Arson | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Campfire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Children | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| Debris burning | 22 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 0 |
| Equipment use | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Fireworks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lightning | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Powerlines | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Railroads | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Structure | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Unable to | | | | | | | | | | |
| Determine | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Under Investigation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 34 | 25 | 36 | 33 | 23 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 19 | 26 |


BOWIE COUNTY PAST OCCURANCES OF WILDFIRE 2013-2022

Keetch-Byram Drought Index (KBDI) is an index used to determining forest fire potential. The drought index is based on a daily water balance, where a drought factor is balanced with precipitation and soil moisture (assumed to have a maximum storage capacity of 8-inches) and is expressed in hundredths of an inch of soil moisture depletion.

The drought index ranges from 0 to 800, where a drought index of 0 represents no moisture depletion, and an index of 800 represents absolutely dry conditions. Presently, this index is derived from ground based estimates of temperature and precipitation derived from weather stations and interpolated manually by experts at the Texas Forest Service (TFS) for counties across the state. Researchers at Texas A&M University are working with the TFS to derive this index from AVHRR satellite data and NEXRAD radar rainfall within a GIS.



The map below shows the current (September 20, 2021) KBDI for Bowie County at 600-700.



Legend for the following Urban Interface maps

Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)
1 - LT 1 hs/40 ac
2 - 1 hs/40 to 1 hs/20 ac
3 - 1 hs/20 to 1 hs/10 ac
4 - 1 hs/10 to 1 hs/5 ac
5 - 1 hs/5 to 1 hs/2 ac
6 - 1 hs/2 to 3 hs/ac
7 - GT 3 hs/ac

Legend:

LT=less than

hs=house

ac=acre


GT=greater than

- alare dages CIRIVE Spr Redlick Bogata New Boston Red River Wake Village Dall Ná ount Pleasant Queen Cit Atlanta TEXAS A&M FOREST SERVICE Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer Report Created: https://wrap.texaswildfirerisk.co m 71 3/25/2022 - 8:08:10 AM

Bowie County, TX WUI


The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without imitation) any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or exemptary damages or lost profit resulting from any use or misuse of these data.

De Kalb, TX WUI




The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without imitation) any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or lost profit resulting from any use or misuse of these data.

Hooks, TX WUI




The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, indirect, incidential, special or exemplary damages or lost profit insesting from any consequential, special or exemplary damages or lost profit insesting from any direct indirect.

Leary, TX WUI



The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data, is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or lost profit resulting from any use or misuse of these data.

Maud, TX WUI




The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any implied warranties of merchantability of fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any dired, incluental, consequential, special or exemptary damages or lost profit resulting from any use or misuse of these data.

Repot Create: Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer 1242022 - 3.09:54 PM Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer

Nash, TX WUI


The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without imritation) any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or lost profit insulting from any user or misuse of these data.

New Boston, TX WUI



The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or lost profit resulting from any use or misuse of these data.

Red Lick, TX WUI




3/24/2022 - 3:14:34 PM

https://wrap.texaswildfirerisk.co m

TEXAS A&M FOREST SERVICE 711


The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any dired, indired, incidental, consequential, special or exempting damages or loss front fresulting from any use or misuse of these data.

Wake Village, TX WUI



The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and eilher the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any implied warranties of merchandability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, indirect, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or lost profit resulting from any use or misuse of these data.


TexAmericas Center WUI



The user assumes the entire risk related to their use of the Texas Wildfire Risk Explorer and either the published or derived products from these data. is providing these data "as is" and disclaims any and all warranties, whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any impled warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event will be liable to you or to any third party for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or to stor profit resulting from any use or misuse of these data.

Historical Dollar Losses

This map illustrates the total county losses (property plus crop losses) from wildfires over the 21year base period (1996 thru 2016). The different colors on the map represent the relative losses between counties within the state; white signifies zero dollars lost. The inset table reports total dollar losses for each region over the 21-year base period.




Future Risks

Results of the hazard impact forecast for wildfire are presented. Following this is a discussion and summary of risk statewide.

County Dollar Loss Forecast

This map shows the results of the forecast model for 2019-2023 for wildfire dollar losses at the county level. These are based on the locations of impacts in the base period and the likely locations of future losses.



| Bowie County Wildfire Risk | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|----------|------|
| COMMUNITY | POTENTIAL | PROBABLITY | Warning | Duration | RISK |
| | IMPACT 45% | 30% | 15% | 10% | |
| Bowie | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| Unincorporated | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| DeKalb | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| Hooks | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| Leary | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| Maud | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| Nash | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| New Boston | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| Red Lick | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| Redwater | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| Wake Village | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |
| TexAmericas | Substantial | Highly Likely | < 6 hrs. | < Week | High |
| Center | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=4 | PRI=3 | 3.9 |

BOWIE COUNTY CRITICAL FACILITIES

| Facility | Bowie Co | DeKalb | Hooks | Leary | Maud | Nash | New Boston | Red Lick | Redwater | Wake Village | Tex Americas Center |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| City Hall | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Fire Station | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Civic Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Govt. Facility | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Wastewater plant | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Corrections Facility | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Hospital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Barn | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Post Office | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Water Tower | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Station | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Sheriff Office | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| EMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public School | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | | Ī | | | | 1 |
| County Seat | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

Probability: According to FEMA National Risk Index Bowie Counties risk for Wildfire is relatively low. The threat of fires cannot be eliminated but public education and the use of prescribed burns can be used to better manage this hazard in Bowie County and participating jurisdictions. According to the current State Hazard Mitigation Plan, nearly 18 million people (70 percent of the state population) live within the wildland urban interface, the largest at-risk population of any state. By 2050, Texas's average number of days with high wildfire potential is projected to double from 40 to nearly 80 days a year. (reportcard.statesatrisk.org)

Vulnerability: The most vulnerable month for wildfires is July. Bowie County consists of heavily wooded pine, hard wood, bottom land, and pasture. Crops, timber, pasture, and dwellings are in danger of being destroyed by wildfires. Wildfires are contained by volunteer fire units working in coordination with each other. The fires that have occurred in the county have been contained by the dedicated fighters. Historically, the danger lies in the rural areas of Bowie County. Should a fire occur, framed homes and mobile homes would be very susceptible.

| S | Source: Texas A & M Forest Service | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | YEAR | ACREAGE | | | | |
| | 2013 | 276 | | | | |
| | 2014 | 230 | | | | |
| | 2015 | 826 | | | | |
| | 2016 | 442 | | | | |
| | 2017 | 368.5 | | | | |
| | 2018 | 171.5 | | | | |
| | 2019 | 255 | | | | |
| | 2020 | 294.5 | | | | |
| | 2021 | 171 | | | | |
| | 2022 | 576.5 | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 3611 | | | | |

BOWIE COUNTY WILDFIRE BY ACREAGE 2013-2022

Impact: The impact of wildfire for the participating communities is **Substantial** and could result in complete shutdown of facilities for 30 days or more, with more than 50 percent of property destroyed or with major damage. Air quality could be affected, and interruption of essential facilities and services could occur such as downed electrical lines.

| Estimated Structure loss at 25% | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Bowie County Unincorporated | \$307,819,825 | | | |
| DeKalb | \$15,000,137 | | | |
| Hooks | \$19,167,335 | | | |
| Leary | \$4,049,414 | | | |
| Maud | \$7,200,967 | | | |
| Nash | \$39,758,857 | | | |
| New Boston | \$47,191,148 | | | |
| Red Lick | \$17,310,674 | | | |
| Redwater | \$5,709,165 | | | |
| Wake Village | \$76,003,057 | | | |
| TexAmericas Center | \$5,834,142 | | | |

Location: Due to heavy vegetation and dry conditions wildfire events in Bowie County are possible any time during the year. All of Bowie County and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center could possibly be affected, depending on where the wildfire started.

Summary: There are no Bowie County cities listed in the "Communities at Risk" Federal Register. Fires can destroy property and homes causing injury and death. Fortunately, no lives were lost in any of the fires listed. It is important that communities have up to date emergency warning, reporting, and response systems in place. Well trained cohesive VFD's play a critical role in protecting people and property. The rural areas of Bowie County are particularly at risk.

SECTION IV

MITIGATION GOALS AND LONG-TERM STRATEGY

Mitigation Plan Goals

The Bowie County Mitigation Action Plan goals describe the direction that Bowie County agencies, organizations, and citizenry can take to minimize the impacts of natural hazards. Specific recommendations are outlined in the action items. These goals help guide direction of future activities aimed at reducing risk and preventing loss from natural hazards.

Goal #1: Protect Life and Property

- Implement activities that assist in protecting lives by making homes, businesses, infrastructure, critical facilities, and other property more resistant to natural hazards.
- Improve hazard assessment information to make recommendations for discouraging new development in areas vulnerable to natural hazards.

Goal #2: Public Awareness

- Develop and implement education and outreach programs to increase public awareness of the risks associated with natural hazards.
- Provide information on tools, and funding resources to assist in implementing mitigation activities.

Goal #3: Natural Systems

 Preserve, rehabilitate, and enhance natural systems to serve natural hazard mitigation functions.

Goal #4: Partnerships and Implementation

 Encourage leadership within public and private sector organizations to prioritize and implement local, county, and regional hazard mitigation activities.

Goal #5: Emergency Services

- Establish policy to ensure mitigation projects for critical facilities, services and infrastructure.
- Strengthen emergency operations by increasing collaboration and coordination among public agencies, non-profit organizations and business.
- Integrate natural hazard mitigation activities with emergency operation plans and procedures.

Plan Update Mitigation Strategy:

The previous goals and actions were reviewed, and some were complete, some on-going, or some are no longer needed. This updated plan represents the most current data available regarding actions needed to reduce loss of life and property through mitigation. The five-year update is seen as an opportunity to set actions in place that are current, valid, and obtainable.

- Added language reflects a desire to see that the Plan is acted upon in a measured fashion with at least annual meetings being held to monitor overall action priorities and progress.
- No natural event has occurred since the original plan that would alter the current plan's prioritization.
- There have been no new developments in the county or jurisdiction that would alter vulnerability. Bowie County has experienced less than 1% variation in population since 2010.
- There have been no changes politically or financially that would impact the plan's development.

Bowie County recognizes the importance of dedicated involvement regarding the integration of the plan into existing county and participating jurisdiction plans, budgets, and codes. Bowie County has initiated a proactive course of action that includes annual reviews and reports to the Bowie County Commissioners Court and the city councils of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and representative of TexAmericas Center.

The presiding Bowie County Judge or his/her appointed representative will maintain a schedule to ensure that the plan is addressed and updated in a timely manner.

The annual meetings will involve the gathering of hazard related data from the previous year and discussion of progress made toward action item implementation.

The HMAP Steering Committee will evaluate the plan to assess if significant changes have occurred in the premises upon which the plan was developed such as the following:

- o Changes in data sources and/or methodology used to determine vulnerabilities and loss estimates, in terms of quality and availability.
- o Changes in federal or state plans that could affect the continued implementation of any of the mitigation actions.
- o The identification of new hazards requiring new mitigation actions.
- o Changes in community perception relative to specific hazards.

In addition to these functions, the HMAP Steering Committee will work to educate and involve the public in hazard mitigation activities and to oversee the incorporation of this plan into future planning and public policy documents as these are updated or developed. The incorporation of this plan into other planning instruments will serve as an additional metric for success. This plan will ultimately be evaluated based on implementation of action items, the incorporation of mitigation principles into future public policy, improved public safety, and the overall reduction of losses for Bowie County and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center.

Method of Prioritization: Actions were prioritized using the **STAPLE+E** criteria. The actions do not adversely affect a particular segment of the population or cause relocation of lower income people. They provide long-term reduction of losses and have minimal secondary adverse impacts. They do not have adverse effects on the environment, are consistent with the community's environmental goals, and have mitigation benefits while they are environmentally sound.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|--------------------|---|
| S – Social | Mitigation actions are acceptable to the community if they do not adversely affect a particular segment of the population, do not cause relocation of lower income people, and if they are compatible with the community's social and cultural values. |
| T – Technical | Mitigation actions are technically most effective if they provide long-term reduction of losses and have minimal secondary adverse impacts. |
| A – Administrative | Mitigation actions are easier to implement if the jurisdiction has the necessary staffing and funding. |
| P – Political | Mitigation actions can truly be successful if all stakeholders have been offered an opportunity to participate in the planning process and if there is public support for the action. |
| L – Legal | It is critical that the jurisdiction or implementing agency have the legal authority to implement and enforce a mitigation action. |
| E – Economic | Budget constraints can significantly deter the implementation of mitigation actions. Hence, it is important to evaluate whether an action is cost-effective, as determined by a cost benefit review, and possible to fund. |
| E - Environmental | Sustainable mitigation actions that do not have an adverse effect on the environment, that comply with Federal, State, and local environmental regulations, and that are consistent with the community's environmental goals, have mitigation benefits while being environmentally sound. |

| Ur | Unincorporated Bowie County Mitigation Actions 2017 | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION | | |
| FLOOD | Develop and implement the Turn Around, Don't Drown Program. | On-going | Continue in plan update | | |
| FLOOD | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | 75% complete | Continue in plan update | | |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and mitigation methods to protect themselves, family, and property. | On-going | Continue in plan update | | |
| TORNADO | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | 75% complete | Continue in plan update | | |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Provide a community awareness campaign concerning the risks and consequences of windstorms. By educating the public in High winds, loss of life and property may be mitigated as they take steps to secure their property and respond to warning. | On-going | Continue in plan update | | |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | 75% complete | Continue in plan update | | |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | 75% complete | Continue in plan update | | |
| WINTER STORM | Mitigate protecting power lines from the impacts of winter storms by establishing standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around lines. | No longer a concern | | | |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. | No longer a concern | | | |
| HAIL | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms and how to protect your home and property from hail damage. | On-going | Continue in plan update | | |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the county. | No longer a concern | | | |
| DROUGHT | Replace county appliances or equipment with water saving parts as old ones wear out. | Complete | | | |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | On-going | Continue in plan update | | |
| EXTREME HEAT | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures such as churches and county facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update | | |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash burning. | On-going | Continue in plan update | | |
| WILDFIRE | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | 75% complete | Continue in plan update | | |

Comprehensive Range of Specific Mitigation Actions Tables

The comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects are listed below. A cost benefit review was performed to help decide which action items are feasible. The cost estimate and funding source are listed below. A cost benefit analysis will be performed prior to submission of any application to FEMA. Priorities listed below are defined as:

- High 1-3 Years
- Medium 4-7 Years
- Low 8+ Years

| Estimated Cost of Actions | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Low 0-\$10,000 | | | |
| Medium | \$10,000-\$25,000 | | |
| High | \$25,000 + | | |

Unincorporated Bowie County

NOTE: All of Unincorporated Bowie County projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Bowie County | Develop and implement the Turn Around, Don't Drown Program. |
|------------------------------|---|
| Flood Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | State of Texas |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County Emergency Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This program is known to save lives. |

| Bowie County | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|---|
| Flood Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power |
| | outages. |

| Bowie County | Develop/implement an education program that will provide the |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #1 | public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the |
| | mitigation methods to protect themselves, family, and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal 2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about |
| | home/business protection from tornadic winds. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds |
| Comments: | Educating the public is an integral part of mitigation. |

| Bowie County | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power |
| | outages. |

| Bowie County Thunderstorm | Provide a community awareness campaign concerning the risks |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #1 | and consequences of windstorms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Educating the Public will help protect life and property |

| Bowie County Thunderstorm | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power |
| | outages. |

| Bowie County | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storm Action #1 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power |
| | outages. |

| Bowie County Winter Storm Action #2 | Conduct workshops regarding how to mitigate your home from damages of winter storms. |
|--|--|
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protects Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10K) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Produce and distribute family and traveler emergency preparedness |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storm Action #3 | information about severe winter weather hazards. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protects Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10K) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Purchase public alert/warning systems (NOAA "all hazard" radios) |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | for locations within rural community such as churches and schools. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Grant fund, local budget |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | The use of NOAA All-Hazards Weather Radios provides a cost- |
| | effective method for alerting the public to specific issues with |
| | multiple hazards. |

| Bowie County | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms |
|------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #2 | and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1 Protect Life and Property/Goal #2 Public Awareness. |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives |
| | by giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| Bowie County | Develop an ordinance to restrict the use of public water resources |
|------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #1 | for non-essential usage, such as landscaping, washing cars, filling |
| | swimming pools, etc. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Develop a drought communication plan and early warning system to |
|------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #2 | facilitate timely communication of relevant information to officials, |
| | decision makers, emergency managers, and the public. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the |
|------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | effects of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Develop/implement new cooling centers and advertise their locations |
|------------------------------|---|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures such as |
| | churches and county facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation/Goal #5: Emergency Services |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This action will be more critical as the earth grows warmer. |

| Bowie County | Adopt ordinances that limit development in areas that could be |
|------------------------------|--|
| Dam Failure Action #1 | affected by flooding caused by dam failure. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Bowie County | Prepare updated high resolution, digitized maps of dam failure |
|------------------------------|--|
| Dam Failure Action #2 | inundation areas. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA/Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This would provide more information regarding the need for |
| | further mitigation. |

| Bowie County | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of |
|------------------------------|--|
| Wildfire Action #1 | trash burning in order to help prevent wildfires. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | Bowie County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy a new building |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy an existing building. |
| Comments: | Programs such as this can empower citizens to take precautionary |
| | action. |

| Bowie County | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Bowie County EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power |
| | outages. |

| DeKalb Mitigation Actions 2017 | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | Complete | |
| FLOOD | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. | Complete | |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room Program. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | Complete | |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation methods to protect themselves, their family, and their property. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Provide public workshops and information regarding mitigating homes against windstorms. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | Complete | |
| WINTER STORM | Conduct workshops regarding how to mitigate your home from damages of winter storms. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | Complete | |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. | No longer a priority | |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water rationing. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford air conditioning. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | No longer a priority | |
| WILDFIRE | Develop and implement a building vegetation clearance program. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash burning in order to help prevent wildfires. | On-going | Continue in plan update |

<u>DeKalb</u>

NOTE: All DeKalb projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| DeKalb | Participate in the NFIP. |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Flood Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City and grant money |
| Estimated Cost | High (25k +) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of DeKalb |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| DeKalb | Develop and Implement the Turn Around, Don't Drown Program. |
|------------------------------|---|
| Flood Action #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | State of Texas |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of DeKalb |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This program is known to save lives. |

| DeKalb | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #1 | Program. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect life and property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant monies |
| Estimated Cost | High (25K) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb City Council |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Safe rooms in homes save lives by protecting individuals from high |
| | winds and flying debris. |

| DeKalb | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #2 | the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the |
| | mitigation methods to protect themselves, family, and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Fire Chief/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds |
| Comments: | Educating the public is an integral part of mitigation. |

| DeKalb Thunderstorm | Provide public workshops and information regarding mitigating homes |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action # 1 | against windstorms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal # 2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | DeKalb City Council |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | City Fire Department/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives by |
| | giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| DeKalb Thunderstorm | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring approved mobile home |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #2 | tie-downs. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of DeKalb |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This relatively inexpensive action can reduce mobile home damage |
| | and resident injury. |

| DeKalb | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| DeKalb | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Dekalb | Conduct workshops regarding how to mitigate your home from |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storm Action #1 | damages of winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | DeKalb City Council |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Fire Dept./ EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Education empowers citizens and businesses to take action. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Education empowers citizens and businesses to take action. |
| Comments: | |

| DeKalb | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storm Action #2 | limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4 Partnership and |
| | Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of DeKalb |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This can protect both homes and businesses from power loss and |
| | damage from falling limbs. |

| DeKalb | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Public Works |
| Estimated Completion | 5 years |
| Time | |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| DeKalb | Purchase public alert/warning systems (NOAA "all hazard" radios) for | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Hail Action #2 | locations throughout the city. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | Grant fund, local budget | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of DeKalb | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | The use of NOAA All-Hazards Weather Radios provides a cost-effective | |
| | method for alerting the public to specific issues with multiple hazards. | |

| DeKalb | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Using native and drought resistant plants can help curtail excessive |
| | water usage. |

| DeKalb | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water |
|------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #2 | conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water |
| | rationing. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb, County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| DeKalb | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford air | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | conditioning. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal#1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnerships and | |
| | Implementation | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb, County | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Mayor | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Much can be accomplished when the private and public sector joins | |
| | hands. | |

| DeKalb | Develop and Implement new cooling centers and advertise their | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures | |
| | such as churches and city facilities. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and | |
| | Implementation/Goal #5: Emergency Services | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25K) | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of DeKalb | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | | |

| DeKalb | Develop and implement a building vegetation clearance program. | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Wildfire Action #1 | | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnerships and | |
| | Implementation | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Public Works | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | This would protect new buildings from Wildfire/Urban Interface | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This would protect existing buildings from Wildfire/Urban Interface | |
| Comments: | Much can be accomplished when the private and public sector joins | |
| | hands. | |

| DeKalb | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #2 | burning in order to help prevent wildfires. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of DeKalb |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | DeKalb Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy a new building |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy an existing building. |
| Comments: | Programs such as this can empower citizens to take precautionary |
| | action. |

| Hooks Mitigation Actions 2017 | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Develop and implement the Turn Around, Don't Drown Program. | No longer needed | |
| FLOOD | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| FLOOD | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room Program. | Defer to plan update | |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation method to protect themselves, their family, and their property. | Defer to plan update | |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring approved mobile home tie-downs. | Defer to plan update | |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Provide public workshops and information regarding mitigating homes against windstorms. | No longer needed | |
| WINTER STORM | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. | No longer needed | |
| WINTER STORM | Conduct workshops regarding how to mitigate your home from damages of winter storms. | Defer to plan update | |
| HAIL | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. | Defer to plan update | |
| HAIL | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. | No longer needed | |
| DROUGHT | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts as old ones wear out. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures such as churches and county facilities. This would constitute a small investment yet provide a valuable service to people during episodes of extreme heat. | Defer to plan update | |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | Defer to plan update | |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash burning in order to help prevent wildfires. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | On-going | Continue in plan update |

<u>Hooks</u>

NOTE: All Hooks projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Hooks | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Flood Action #1 | | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City and grant money | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Public Works | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding | |
| Comments: | By widening ditches, especially in poor drainage areas the likelihood of | |
| | flooding is decreased. | |

| Hooks | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|------------------------------|---|
| Flood Action #2 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks City Council |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Sewage flooding and water contamination. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Sewage flooding and water contamination |
| Comments: | It is important during times of stress and outages that critical facilities |
| | such as waste treatment plants and water supplies remain |
| | operational. |

| Hooks | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Tornado Action #1 | Program. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks EMC | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | A safe room placed in a home or business will save lives. | |

| Hooks | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide |
|------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #2 | the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the |
| | mitigation method to protect themselves, their family, and their |
| | property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Fire Dept./EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public Education can create citizen action. |

| Hooks Thunderstorm | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring approved mobile |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #1 | home tie-downs. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This relatively inexpensive action can reduce mobile home damage and resident injury. |

| Hooks Thunderstorm | Create an outdoor warning system within the city. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Grant Fund, local budget |
| Estimated Cost | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Hooks |
| Estimated Completion Time | Within 5 years of securing funding |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Emergency warning systems would save lives/reduce injuries in a |
| | hazard event. |

| Hooks | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Hooks | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Hooks | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storms Action #1 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks City Council/EMS |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | It is important during times of stress and outages that critical facilities such as waste treatment plants and water supplies remain operational. |

| Hooks | Conduct workshops regarding how to mitigate your home from |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storms Action #2 | damages of winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10K) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Fire Dept./EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public information plays a key role in mitigation by enabling the |
| | citizens. |

| Hooks | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #1 | and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness. |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks City Fire Dept./ EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives by |
| | giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| Hooks | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #2 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks City Council/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | It is important during times of stress and outages that critical facilities |
| | such as waste treatment plants and water supplies remain operational. |

| Hooks | Implement a water conservation program and enforce it during |
|------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #1 | drought periods enforcing restrictions on watering lawns. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Hooks |
| Estimated Completion Time | On-going |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Hooks | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts as |
|------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #2 | old ones wear out. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This will conserve water and set examples for the residents of Hooks. |

| Hooks | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their |
|------------------------------|---|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures such as churches and county facilities. This would constitute a small investment yet provide a valuable service to people during episodes of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation/Goal #5: Emergency Services |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This action will be more critical as the earth grows warmer. |

| Hooks | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects |
|------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Hooks |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This Business and home owners could learn ideas on protecting |
| | foundations. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This Business and home owners could learn ideas on protecting |
| | foundations. |
| Comments: | |

| Hooks | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash |
|------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #1 | burning in order to help prevent wildfires. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Hooks |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy a new building |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy an existing building. |
| Comments: | Programs such as this can empower citizens to take precautionary |
| | action. |

| Hooks | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #2 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Hooks City Council/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | It is important during times of stress and outages that critical facilities |
| | such as waste treatment plants and water supplies remain operational. |

| Leary Mitigation Actions 2017 | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| FLOOD | Install permanent "Caution Road may Flood warning signs on roadways that flood. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room Program. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation methods to protect themselves, their family, and their property. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Provide a community awareness campaign concerning the risks and consequences of windstorms. By educating the public on High winds, loss of life and property may be mitigated as they take steps to secure their property and respond to warning. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Require structures on temporary foundations to be securely anchored to permanent foundations. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water rationing. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford air conditioning. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash burning in order to help prevent wildfires. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during power outages. | On-going | Continue in plan update |

<u>Leary</u>

NOTE: All Leary projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Leary | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Flood Action #1 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Leary City Council/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | It is important during times of stress and outages that critical facilities |
| | such as waste treatment plants and water supplies remain operational. |

| Leary | Install permanent "Caution Road may Flood warning signs on |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #2 | roadways that flood. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | TX Dot |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Leary |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Signs make people more aware of Flooding Danger. |

| Leary | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room |
|------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #1 | Program |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | A safe room placed in a home or business will save lives. |

| Leary | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide |
|------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #1 | the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation methods to protect themselves, their family, and their |
| | property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds |
| Comments: | Educating the public is an integral part of mitigation. |

| Leary Thunderstorm Winds Action #1 | Provide a community awareness campaign concerning the risks and consequences of windstorms. By educating the public in High winds, |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| | loss of life and property may be mitigated as they take steps to secure |
| | their property and respond to warning. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Leary |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Educating the Public will help protect life and property. |

| Leary Thunderstorm | Require structures on temporary foundations to be securely anchored |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #2 | to permanent foundations. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Leary |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Leary |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Leary | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Leary City Administrator |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Leary | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Leary | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Winter Storms Action #1 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Mayor | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. | |

| Leary | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Winter Storms Action #2 | limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and | |
| | Implementation | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Leary | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | This can protect both homes and businesses from power loss and | |
| | damage from falling limbs. | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This can protect both homes and businesses from power loss and | |
| | damage from falling limbs. | |
| Comments: | | |

| Leary | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Storms Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |
| | |

| Leary | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Hail Storms Action #2 | power outages. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Leary City Council | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could protect buildings from sewage flooding and water | |
| | contamination. | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could protect buildings from sewage flooding and water | |
| | contamination. | |
| Comments: | It is important during times of stress and outages that critical facilities | |
| | such as waste treatment plants and water supplies remain | |
| | operational. | |

| Leary | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Drought Action #1 | | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: | |
| | Partnerships and Implementation | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Mayor | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Using native and drought resistant plants can help curtail excessive | |
| | water usage. | |

| Leary | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water |
|------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #2 | conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water |
| | rationing. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal#1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Leary | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford air | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | conditioning. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnerships and | |
| | Implementation | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary, County | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Leary | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Much can be accomplished when the private and public sector joins | |
| | hands. | |

| Leary Extreme | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects |
|------------------------------|--|
| Heat Action #2 | of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Leary |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Comments: | |

| Leary Wild Fire Action #1 | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| | burning in order to help prevent wildfires. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Leary | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Leary Fire Chief | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy a new building | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy an existing building. | |
| Comments: | Programs such as this can empower citizens to take precautionary | |
| | action. | |

| Leary Wild Fire Action #2 | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| | power outages. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Leary City Council/EMC | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | It is important during times of stress and outages that critical facilities | |
| | such as waste treatment plants and water supplies remain operational. | |

| Maud Mitigation Actions 2017 | | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------|-------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Install permanent "Caution Road may Flood warning | On-going | Continue in |
| | signs on roadways that flood. | | plan update |
| FLOOD | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash | On-going | Continue in |
| | flood waters. | | plan update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado | On-going | Continue in |
| | Safe Room Program. | | plan update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement a public education program | On-going | Continue in |
| | that will provide the public with understanding of | | plan update |
| | their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation | | |
| | methods to protect themselves, their family, and | | |
| | their property. | | |
| THUNDERSTORM | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring | On-going | Continue in |
| WIND | approved mobile home tie-downs. | | plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM | Provide public workshops and information regarding | On-going | Continue in |
| WIND | mitigating homes against windstorms. | | plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for | On-going | Continue in |
| | removing dead limbs and overhangs that might fall | | plan update |
| | during winter storms. | | |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with | On-going | Continue in |
| | emergency equipment during power outages for | | plan update |
| | critical facilities. | | |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical | On-going | Continue in |
| | facilities. | | plan update |
| HAIL | Conduct a workshop for residents about the | On-going | Continue in |
| | prevalence of hailstorms and how to protect your | | plan update |
| | home and property form hail damage. | | |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation | On-going | Continue in |
| | workshops for the city. | | plan update |
| DROUGHT | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with | On-going | Continue in |
| | water saving parts as old ones wear out. | | plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who | On-going | Continue in |
| | cannot afford air conditioning. | | plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure | On-going | Continue in |
| | from the effects of extreme heat. | | plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Develop and implement a vegetation management | On-going | Continue in |
| | program to reduce the danger of wildfire reaching | | plan update |
| | dwellings. | | |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the | On-going | Continue in |
| | dangers of trash burning in order to help prevent | | plan update |
| | wildfires. | | |

<u>Maud</u>

NOTE: All Maud projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Maud | Install permanent "Caution Road may Flood warning signs on |
|------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #1 | roadways that flood. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | TX Dot |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Signs make people more aware of Flooding Danger |

| Maud | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud and grant money |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Public Works Department |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding |
| Comments: | By widening ditches, especially in poor drainage areas the likelihood of |
| | flooding is decreased. |

| Maud | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room |
|------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #1 | Program. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | A safe room placed in a home or business will save lives. |

| Maud | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide |
|------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #2 | the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation methods to protect themselves, their family, and their |
| | property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Fire Chief/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds. |
| Comments: | Educating the public is an integral part of mitigation. |

| Maud Thunderstorm | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring approved mobile home |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #1 | tie-downs. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This relatively inexpensive action can reduce mobile home damage |
| | and resident injury. |

| Maud Thunderstorm | Provide public workshops and information regarding mitigating homes |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #2 | against windstorms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protects Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10K) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Fire Department/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | The Storm Ready Program is about building community resilience in the |
| | face of increasing vulnerability to extreme weather and water events. |

| Maud | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Maud | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Maud | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storms Action #1 | limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Maud |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This can protect both homes and businesses from power loss and |
| | damage from falling limbs. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This can protect both homes and businesses from power loss and |
| | damage from falling limbs. |
| Comments: | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead |
| | limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. |

| Maud | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storms Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power |
| | outages. |

| Maud | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Maud | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #2 | and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness. |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud City Fire Dept./ EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives by |
| | giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| Maud | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2 Public Awareness/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Using native and drought resistant plants can help curtail excessive |
| | water usage. |

| Maud | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #2 | as old ones wear out. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Public Works Department |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This will conserve water and set examples for the residents of Maud. |

| Maud | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford air |
|------------------------------|---|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | conditioning. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal#1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnerships and |
| | Implementation |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud, County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Much can be accomplished when the private and public sector joins |
| | hands. |

| Maud | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects |
|------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| | |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Comments: | |

| Maud Wildfire Action #1 | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. |
|------------------------------|---|
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal # 4: Partnerships and implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Maud |
| Estimated Completion Time | 4 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Comments: | |

| Maud | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #2 | burning in order to help prevent wildfires. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Maud |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Maud Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy a new building |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy an existing building. |
| Comments: | Programs such as this can empower citizens to take precautionary |
| | action. |

| Nash Mitigation Actions 2017 | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. | Complete, on-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| FLOOD | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | Complete | Now maintaining |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room Program. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation methods. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Require structures on temporary foundations to be securely anchored to permanent foundations. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Provide public workshops and information regarding mitigating homes against windstorms. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| WINTER STORM | Develop a preemptive strategy for removing dead limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | Complete | Now maintaining |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. | Complete for City Hall, recommend for all commercial buildings | Continue in Plan Update |
| HAIL | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. | No longer needed | Recent history shows no need |
| DROUGHT | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts as old ones wear out. | No longer needed | Recent history shows no need |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures such as churches and county facilities. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash burning in order to help prevent wildfires. | On-going | Continue in Plan Update |
| WILDFIRE | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | Complete | Now maintaining |

<u>Nash</u>

NOTE: All Nash projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Nash | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City and grant money |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Public Works Department |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding |
| Comments: | On-going to address seasonal debris as it occurs. |

| Nash | Educate property owners regarding options for mitigating their |
|------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #2 | properties from flood. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Chief/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | On-going |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room |
|------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #1 | Program. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | A safe room placed in a home or business will save lives. |

| Nash | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #2 | the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation methods to protect themselves, their family, and their property. Provide warning through use of storm sirens. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Chief/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home and business protection from tornadic winds. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could help reduce damage by implementing ideas about home |
| | and business protection from tornadic winds |
| Comments: | Educating the public is an integral part of mitigation. |

| Nash Thunderstorm | Create ordinance to require metal carports and storage buildings to |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #1 | be anchored. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Nash Thunderstorm | Provide public workshops and information regarding mitigating |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #2 | homes against windstorms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protects Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10K) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Department/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Learning to building new structures with wind resistant design can |
| | save life and property |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Learning how to reinforcing existing structures to resist high winds |
| | can save life and property. |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Develop a preemptive strategy for removing dead limbs and |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storm Action #1 | overhangs that might fall during winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Nash/County |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Keeping roads and ditches free of limbs and debris opens |
| | transportation, could reduce flash flooding, and prevents injury. |

| Nash | Conduct workshops regarding how to mitigate your home from |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storm Action #2 | damages of winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Nash Fire Chief |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Purchase public alert/warning systems (NOAA "All Hazard" radios) |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | for locations throughout the city. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Grant Fund/Local Budget |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | The use of NOAA All-Hazards Weather Radios provides a cost- |
| | effective method of alerting the public to specific issues with |
| | multiple hazards. |

| Nash | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms |
|------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #2 | and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness. |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Dept./ EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives |
| | by giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| Nash | Develop an ordinance to restrict the use of public water resources |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #1 | for non-essential usage, such as landscaping, washing cars, filling |
| | swimming pools, etc. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Nash |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Develop a drought communication plan and early warning system to |
|------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #2 | facilitate timely communication of relevant information to officials, |
| | decision makers, emergency managers, and the public. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Nash |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects |
|------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Comments: | |

| Nash | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned |
| | structures such as churches and county facilities and advertise as |
| | needed. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation/Goal #5: Emergency Services |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This action will be more critical as the earth grows warmer. |

| Nash | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash |
|------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #1 | burning in order to help prevent wildfires. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2 Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Chief |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy a new building |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy an existing building. |
| Comments: | City ordinance now prohibits burning household trash and requires |
| | light vegetation to be burned in approved container. |

| Nash | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce |
|------------------------------|--|
| Wildfire Action #2 | the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Nash |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Nash Fire Chief/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 4 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

New Boston was not a part of the 2017 Hazard Mitigation Plan, therefore, have no actions to review for update.

New Boston

NOTE: All New Boston projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| New Boston | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #1 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | It is important during times of outages that critical facilities such as |
| | waste treatment plants and water supplies remain operational. |

| New Boston | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City and Grant Money |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Public Works Department |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Could protect from flash flooding. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Could protect from flash flooding. |
| Comments: | Widening ditches decreases |

| New Boston | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #1 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grants |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| New Boston | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide |
|------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #2 | the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the |
| | mitigation methods to protect themselves, family, and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal 2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Fire Chief/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Educating the public is an integral part of mitigation. |

| New Boston Thunderstorm | Provide a community awareness campaign concerning the risks and |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #1 | consequences of windstorms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Educating the public will help protect life and property. |

| New Boston Thunderstorm | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring approved mobile home |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #2 | tie-downs. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This relatively inexpensive action can reduce mobile home damage |
| | and resident injury. |

| New Boston | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| New Boston | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| New Boston | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storm Action #1 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and property |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| New Boston | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storm Action #2 | limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | Protects from power loss and damage. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Protects from power loss and damage. |
| Comments: | |

| New Boston | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| New Boston | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #2 | and how to protect your home and property from hail damage. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | City Fire Dept./EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives by |
| | giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| New Boston | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water |
|------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #1 | conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water |
| | rationing. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston, County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| New Boston | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts as |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #2 | the old ones wear out. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This will conserve water and set examples for the residents of New |
| | Boston. |

| New Boston | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | air conditioning. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and | |
| | Implementation | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston, County | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Much can be accomplished when the private and public sector joins | |
| | hands. | |

| New Boston | Provide Workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Comments: | |

| New Boston | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce |
|------------------------------|--|
| Wildfire Action #1 | the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnership and implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of New Boston |
| Estimated Completion Time | 4 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This would protect existing buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Comments: | |

| New Boston | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash |
|------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #2 | burning in Bowie County. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protects Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of New Boston |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | New Boston Fire Dept/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Red Lick Mitigation Actions 2017 | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| | critical facilities. | | |
| FLOOD | Install permanent "Caution Road may Flood warning signs on roadways that flood. | Complete | |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room Program. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Provide public workshops and information regarding mitigating homes against windstorms. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Develop a preemptive strategy for removing dead limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. | No longer needed | |
| HAIL | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts as old ones wear out. | Complete | |
| EXTREME HEAT | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their locations for extreme heat events in existing, air- conditioned structures such as churches and county facilities. This would constitute a small investment yet provide a valuable service to people during episodes of extreme heat. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash burning in Bowie County. | On-going | Continue in plan update |

<u>Red Lick</u>

NOTE: All Red Lick projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Red Lick | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Flood Action #1 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Mayor | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power | |
| | outages. | |

| Red Lick | Educate the public on mitigation strategies for flooding. | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Flood Action #2 | | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | Local budget, Grant funds, Volunteer Hours. Business Donations | |
| Estimated Cost | \$1,000 | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Red Lick | |
| Estimated Completion Time | Throughout 5-year update | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Safety brochures, warning signs at parks, and educating school | |
| | children can all help increase public awareness of flood dangers. | |

| Red Lick | Participate in the NFIP. |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Flood Action #3 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City and grant money |
| Estimated Cost | High (25k +) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Red Lick |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Red Lick | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room |
|------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #1 | Program. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Fire Dept. |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | A safe room placed in a home or business will save lives. |

| Red Lick | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Red Lick Thunderstorm | Supply public workshops and information regarding mitigating homes |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winds Action #1 | against windstorms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protects Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Red Lick |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10K) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick RFD/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | The Storm Ready Program is about building community resilience in the |
| | face of increasing vulnerability to extreme weather and water events. |

| Red Lick Thunderstorm | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Red Lick | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick City Secretary |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Red Lick | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Red Lick | Develop a preemptive strategy for removing dead limbs and overhangs |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storm Action #1 | that might fall during winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Red Lick/County |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Keeping roads and ditches free of limbs and debris opens |
| | transportation, could reduce flash flooding, and prevents injury. |

| Ded Hale | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Red Lick | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
| Winter Storm Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Red Lick | Purchase public alert/warning systems (NOAA "All Hazard" radios) for |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | locations throughout the city. |
| | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Grant fund, local budget |
| Estimated Cost | \$10,000 |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Red Lick |
| Estimated Completion Time | Within 12 months of securing funding |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | The use of NOAA All-Hazards Weather Radios provides a cost-effective |
| | method for alerting the public to specific issues with multiple hazards. |

| Red Lick | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms |
|------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #2 | and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness. |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Red Lick |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick City Fire Dept./ EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives |
| | by giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| Red Lick | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Red Lick |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Using native and drought resistant plants can help curtail excessive |
| | water usage. |

| Red Lick | Implement a water conservation program and enforce it during |
|------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #1 | drought periods enforcing restrictions on watering lawns. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/#3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Red Lick |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Red Lick |
| Estimated Completion Time | On-going |
| Effect on New Buildings | N/A |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | N/A |
| Comments: | |

| Red Lick | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures such as churches and county facilities. This would constitute a small investment yet provide a valuable service to people during episodes of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation/Goal #5: Emergency Services |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick Fire Dept. |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This action will be more critical as the earth grows warmer. |

| Red Lick | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects |
|------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Red Lick |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Red Lick |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Comments: | |

| Red Lick | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Wildfire Action #1 | the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Red Lick |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Red Lick |
| Estimated Completion Time | 4 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Comments: | |

| Red Lick | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash |
|------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire Action #2 | burning in Bowie County. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protects Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Red Lick |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10K) |
| Responsible Agency | Red Lick RFD/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| | Redwater Mitigation Actions 2017 | | |
|----------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| FLOOD | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room Program. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Provide a community awareness campaign concerning the risks and consequences of windstorms. By educating the public in High winds, loss of life and property may be mitigated as they take steps to secure their property and respond to warning. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Mitigate protecting power lines from the impacts of winter storms by establishing standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around lines. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water rationing. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts as old ones wear out. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford air conditioning. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash burning in order to help prevent wildfires. | On-going | Continue in plan update |

<u>Redwater</u>

NOTE: All Redwater projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Redwater | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Flood Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater and grant money |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater public works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding |
| Comments: | By widening ditches, especially in poor drainage areas the likelihood of |
| | flooding is decreased. |

| Redwater | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Flood Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. | |

| Redwater | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #1 | Program. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Fire Dept. |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | A safe room placed in a home or business will save lives. |

| Redwater | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Redwater Thunderstorm Winds Action #1 | Provide a community awareness campaign concerning the risks and consequences of windstorms. By educating the public in High winds, loss of life and property may be mitigated as they take steps to secure their property and respond to warning. |
|--|---|
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Educating the Public will help protect life and property. |

| Redwater Thunderstorm | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater City Manager |
| Estimated Completion | 5 years |
| Time | |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Redwater | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Redwater | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Redwater | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Winter Storm Action #1 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater City Manager | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. | |

| Redwater | Mitigate protecting power lines from the impacts of winter storms by | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Winter Storm Action #2 | establishing standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around | |
| | lines. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal 1: Protect Life and Property/Goal 3: Natural Systems | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | Redwater/County | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Public Works | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | | |

| Redwater | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Redwater | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Hail Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. | |

| Redwater | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #1 | conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water |
| | rationing. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal#1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Redwater | Replace municipal appliances or equipment with water saving parts as | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Drought Action #2 | old ones wear out. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property | |
| Priority | Low | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Public Works | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | This will conserve water and set examples for the residents of | |
| | Redwater. | |

| Redwater | Conduct fan drives for low-income and elderly who cannot afford air | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | conditioning. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal#1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnerships and | |
| | Implementation | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater, County | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Mayor | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Much can be accomplished when the private and public sector joins | |
| | hands. | |

| Redwater | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | of extreme heat. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness | |
| Priority | Low | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Redwater | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. | |
| Comments: | | |

| Redwater | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Wildfire Action #1 | the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: | |
| | Partnerships and implementation | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Mayor of Redwater | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 4 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. | |
| Comments: | | |

| Redwater | Conduct a wildfire education program stressing the dangers of trash | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Wildfire Action #2 | burning in order to help prevent wildfires. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Redwater | |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Redwater Fire Chief | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy a new building | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | Out of control trash burning can destroy an existing building. | |
| Comments: | Programs such as this can empower citizens to take precautionary | |
| | action. | |

| | Wake Village Mitigation Actions 2017 | | |
|----------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| HAZARD | ACTION | DISPOSTION | EXPLANATION |
| FLOOD | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| FLOOD | Widen ditches to increase volume capacity of flash flood waters. | Re-worded | Continue in plan update |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement the Texas Individual Tornado Safe Room Program. | No Longer a concern | |
| TORNADO | Develop and implement a public education program that will provide the public with understanding of their risk to Tornado events and the mitigation methods to protect themselves, their family and their property. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring approved mobile home tie-downs. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| THUNDERSTORM WIND | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WINTER STORM | Mitigate protecting power lines from the impacts of winter storms by establishing standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around lines. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| HAIL | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| DROUGHT | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water rationing. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures such as churches and county facilities. | Changed wording | Continue in plan update |
| EXTREME HEAT | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects of extreme heat. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. | On-going | Continue in plan update |
| WILDFIRE | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | On-going | Continue in plan update |

<u>Wake Village</u>

NOTE: All Wake Village projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| Wake Village | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Flood Action #1 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | Medium | |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. | |

| Wake Village | Widen and improve storm water basins and flumes to increase volume | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Flood Action #1 | capacity of flash flood waters. | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property | |
| Priority | High | |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village and grant money | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10k-25k) | |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village Public Works | |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years | |
| Effect on New Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This could protect new building from flash flooding | |
| Comments: | By widening ditches, especially in poor drainage areas the likelihood of | |
| | flooding is decreased. | |

| Wake Village | Conduct outreach activities to increase awareness of tornado risk |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Tornado Action #1 | including supporting severe weather awareness week. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0k-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village Fire Department/EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | On-going |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public knowledge of severe weather saves lives. |

| Wake Village | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|--|
| Tornado Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Wake Village Thunderstorm | Create and enforce a city ordinance requiring approved mobile home |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #1 | tie-downs. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protecting Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This relatively inexpensive action can reduce mobile home damage |
| | and resident injury. |

| Wake Village Thunderstorm | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winds Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Wake Village | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Wake Village | Educate the public about the dangers of lightning and how to protect |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #2 | homes and property. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0K-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village Mayor |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Wake Village | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storm Action #1 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

| Wake Village | Mitigate protecting power lines from the impacts of winter storms by |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storm Action #2 | establishing standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around |
| | lines. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | Wake Village/County |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Wake Village | Install hail resistant film on the windows of critical facilities. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Hail Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village Public Works |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Wake Village | Conduct a workshop for residents about the prevalence of hailstorms |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Hail Action #2 | and how to protect your home and property form hail damage. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Public Awareness. |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village Fire Dept./ EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Public awareness and education can minimize loss and protect lives by |
| | giving citizens the tools needed to take action. |

| Wake Village | Conduct Xeriscaping and water conservation workshops for the city. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Drought Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 6 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Using native and drought resistant plants can help curtail excessive |
| | water usage. |

| Wake Village | Develop and implement a drought contingency plan to include water |
|------------------------------|---|
| Drought Action #2 | conservation, building code requirements, and mandatory water |
| | rationing. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal#1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #2: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and Implementation |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village, County |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| Wake Village | Develop and implement new cooling centers and advertise their |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Extreme Heat Action #1 | locations for extreme heat events in existing, air-conditioned structures |
| | such as churches and city facilities. This would constitute a small |
| | investment yet provide a valuable service to people during episodes of |
| | extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #4: Partnership and |
| | Implementation/Goal #5: Emergency Services |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village Fire Dept. |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | This action will be more critical as the earth grows warmer. |

| Wake Village | Provide workshops on how to mitigate infrastructure from the effects |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat Action #2 | of extreme heat. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #2: Public Awareness |
| Priority | Low |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village |
| Estimated Cost | Low (0-10k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 8 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | The workshop would contain information about insulation. |
| Comments: | |

| Wake Village Wildfire | Develop and implement a vegetation management program to reduce |
|------------------------------|--|
| Action #1 | the danger of wildfire reaching dwellings. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems/Goal #4: |
| | Partnerships and implementation |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | City of Wake Village |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village City Manager |
| Estimated Completion Time | 4 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | This would protect new buildings from encroaching wildfire. |
| Comments: | |

| Wake Village | Purchase Emergency mobile generators to use with emergency |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Wildfire Action #2 | equipment during power outages for critical facilities. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | Wake Village EMC |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Generators keep critical equipment operational during power outages. |

TexAmericas Center was not a part of the 2017 Hazard Mitigation Plan, therefore, have no actions to review for update.

TexAmericas Center

NOTE: All TexAmericas Center projects are subject to availability of federal and local funding as well as availability of local staff to administer the project.

| TexAmericas Center | Establish a stormwater management plan for the TAC Campus. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Flood Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | General Fund |
| Estimated Cost | Low (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3-5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Reduce pollution and contamination during construction projects by controlling runoff of rainwater or melted snow into streets, lawns, rivers, and other sites. |

| TexAmericas Center Flood Action #2 | Establish Floodplain Management Guidelines that will fulfil the requirements for NFIP. |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | General Fund |
| Estimated Cost | Low (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3-5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center Flood Action #3 | Improve TAC's drainage, to include but not limited to, expanding, and adding ditches, improving drainage structures, and maintaining existing drainageways. |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | High |
| Funding Source(s) | TexAmericas Center General Fund |
| Estimated Cost | High (\$100k-\$5 million) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 15-20 years (Ongoing) |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Implement a warning siren system. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Tornado #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | High (150-750k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7-10 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Sirens will warn citizens in real time of impending tornadic activity. |

| TexAmericas Center | Construct a community safe room/shelter. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Tornado #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium/High (100-750k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7-15 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Will offer protection to individuals on the TAC Campus. May also be |
| | used as a heating/cooling facility. |

| TexAmericas Center | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Thunderstorm Winds #1 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium/High (100-250k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 -10 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Implement a program to identify, inspect and manage hazardous |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Thunderstorm Winds #2 | trees. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium/High (75-250k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Develop and implement a pre-emptive strategy for removing dead |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Winter Storms #1 | limbs and overhangs that might fall during winter storms. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-250k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-10 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Fires during winter storms also present a greater danger because |
| | water supplies may freeze and impede firefighting efforts. |

| TexAmericas Center | Install lightning arrestors on critical facilities and infrastructure. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Lightning Action #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10K-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Implement a warning siren system. |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Lightning Action #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | High (150K-750k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7-10 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Purchase emergency mobile generators for critical facility use during |
|------------------------------|---|
| Winter Storm Action #2 | power outages. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium/High (100K-259k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-10 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Install hail resistant roofing on existing TAC buildings as well as newly |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Hailstorm #1 | constructed buildings. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | High (100k-5 million) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 15 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Install covered parking to protect TAC vehicles and equipment. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Hailstorm #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (75k-250k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 – 7 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Use Smartscape in existing and new developments landscapes; |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Drought #1 | implement landscape techniques to stabilize soil; plant trees to create |
| | shaded areas for public. |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property/Goal #3: Natural Systems |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/ General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (100-250k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Utilize proposed infrastructure and water holding facilities for irrigation and dry hydrants. |

| TexAmericas Center | Implement a drought emergency plan. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Drought #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 2-5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center | Construct a community safe room/shelter. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat #1 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant |
| Estimated Cost | Medium/High (100-750k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | Community Cooling Center |

| TexAmericas Center | Provide shade structures in common public areas. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Extreme Heat #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/ General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium (10-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 7-15 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | |

| TexAmericas Center Wildfire #1 | Develop and implement a Wildland-Urban Interface Code. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Low/Medium (5-25k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 3 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | The Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) is an area of development that is susceptible to wildfires due to the number of structures located in an area with vegetation that can act as fuel for a wildfire. The WUI creates an environment in which fire can move readily between structural and vegetation fuels. The expansion of these areas has increased the likelihood that wildfires will threaten structures and people. This code can also assist with drought resistant vegetation, and tree/brush pruning for thunderstorms. |

| TexAmericas Center | Establish a fuel break at the appropriate location(s). |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Wildfire #2 | |
| Mitigation Goal/Objective | Goal #1: Protect Life and Property |
| Priority | Medium |
| Funding Source(s) | FEMA Grant/ General Operating Funds |
| Estimated Cost | Medium/High (100-750k) |
| Responsible Agency | TexAmericas Center CEO |
| Estimated Completion Time | 5-10 years |
| Effect on New Buildings | |
| Effect on Existing Buildings | |
| Comments: | By decreasing the amount of vegetation that the fire must travel through, the risk of extreme fire behavior greatly depreciates. |
| | through, the fisk of extreme me behavior greatly depreciates. |

SECTION V

Monitoring, Implementation, Evaluating, Updating and Integration

Bowie County and each participating jurisdiction will be responsible for implementing its own mitigation actions contained in Section IV. Each action has been assigned to a specific person or local government office that is responsible for implementing it. Bowie County and its jurisdictions have very lean budgets and staff. They rely on grants and federal funding for many of the improvements that are made within their borders. State law requires that the city council and the Commissioners' Court of Bowie County approve changes to budgets, improvement plans and mitigation plans. The governing bodies of each participating jurisdiction have adopted the mitigation action plan for their jurisdictions.

The Bowie County Commissioners will be responsible for adopting the Bowie County Mitigation Action Plan. (All jurisdictions must officially adopt and commit to implementation of the plan to be covered by the plan). This governing body has the authority to make public policy regarding natural hazards. The Bowie County Mitigation Plan will be submitted to the Texas Department of Emergency Management for review and upon their approval, TDEM will then submit the plan to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for review and final approval. The review will address the federal criteria outlined in FEMA Interim Final Rule 44 CFR Part 201. Once accepted by FEMA, Bowie County/City will formally adopt it and gain eligibility for Hazard Mitigation Grant Program funds.

Monitoring

To prevent issues regarding meeting the goals of The Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Action Plan it is agreed that the county and participating jurisdictions will evaluate the plan on an annual basis to determine the effectiveness of programs, and to reflect changes in land development or programs that may affect mitigation priorities. The evaluation process will include a definite schedule and timeline and will identify the local agencies and organizations participating in plan evaluation.

Annually near the anniversary of the plan's approval, the Hazard Mitigation Committee Members will meet to monitor the progress of the mitigation actions for their respective communities. The County Judge or his/her designated appointee will organize the meeting. The public will be invited to attend and will be encouraged to provide feedback.

The meeting will review the progress of each action for each community to assess if the action is being completed in a timely fashion and if additional resources need to be directed to complete the actions. Monitoring the plan's actions is important to keep accountability for all team members.

They will also review the risk assessment portion of the Plan to determine if this information should be updated or modified, given any new available data. This plan can and will pave the way for other plans, codes, and programs. A written record of the annual meeting, along with any project reports, will be accomplished and kept on file in the county office. Every five years the updated plan will be submitted to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer.

The Status of the Hazard Mitigation Actions will be monitored by the designated emergency management coordinator for each jurisdiction on a quarterly basis. Preparation for the Five-Year Plan Update will begin no later than 1 year prior to the plan expirations date.

Implementation

The Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Committee will be responsible for coordinating implementation of the five-year plan action items and undertaking the formal review process. The county formed a Hazard Mitigation Committee that consists of members from local agencies, organizations, and citizens.

Upon formal adoption of the plan, hazard mitigation team members from each participating jurisdiction will review all comprehensive land use plans, capital improvement plans, Annual Budget Reviews, Emergency Operations or Management Plans, transportation plans, and any building codes to guide and control development. While the hazard mitigation team members have not yet incorporated the hazard mitigation strategies into other plans and codes, they plan to do so during this next update period. Each jurisdiction will conduct annual reviews of their comprehensive and land use plans and policies and analyze the need for any amendments in light of the approved hazard mitigation plan. Participating jurisdictions will ensure that capital improvement planning in the future will also contribute to the goals of this hazard mitigation plan to reduce the long-term risk to like and property from all hazards. Within one year of formal adoption of the hazard mitigation plan, existing planning mechanisms will be reviewed by each jurisdiction.

The Bowie County HMAP will be incorporated into a variety of new and existing planning mechanisms for **DeKalb**, **Hooks**, **Leary**, **Maud**, **Nash**, **New Boston**, **Red Lick**, **Redwater**, **Wake Village**, **TexAmericas Center and Bowie County government** including: grant applications, human resource manuals, ordinances, building codes and budgets. Each team member will communicate new ideas and issues found within the plan to the city boards. The county and its participating jurisdictions will consider how to best incorporate the plans together. This includes incorporating the mitigation plan into county and local comprehensive or capital improvement plans as they are developed.

Updating

Preparation for the Five-Year Plan Update will begin no later than 1 year prior to the plan expirations date. The County Judge or his/her designated appointee will organize a meeting with

the Hazard Mitigation Committee Members to begin the update process. The committee member will organize all data gathered during the monitoring and evaluation meetings to assist will the plan update. The committee members will also assess the need for additional participating jurisdictions for the plans update. The public will be invited to attend and will be encouraged to provide feedback.

Copies of the Plan will be kept at the county courthouse and all city halls as well as in the Administration building of TexAmericas Center. The existence and location of these copies will be publicized in the appropriate local papers. The plan includes the address and the phone number of the county department responsible for keeping track of public comments on the Plan.

Bowie County is committed to supporting the cities, communities, and other jurisdictions in the planning area as they implement their mitigation plans. Bowie County will review and revise as needed, the long-range goals and objectives in its strategic plan and budgets to ensure that they are consistent with this mitigation action plan. Bowie County will work with participating jurisdictions to advance the goals of this hazard mitigation plan through its routine, ongoing, long-range planning, budgeting, and work processes.

Integration

Unincorporated Bowie County population 35,075. Bowie County has a county judge and four commissioners. It has volunteer fire departments and a public works department. There is a county emergency management coordinator. Unincorporated Bowie County will integrate data and action recommendations into the existing maintenance program. The county judge or county commissioner will propose the integration to the County which will vote on it at the monthly city council meeting. The county judge will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, Bowie County should establish a team to develop public-private initiatives addressing disaster related issues.

DeKalb, population 1,527, The city of DeKalb has a mayor, a fire chief, and a police department, and maintenance department. DeKalb has building codes, and zoning ordinances DeKalb will integrate data and action recommendations into the existing capital improvements plan so that hazard mitigation will always be a consideration for future growth. A city council member or the mayor will propose the plan's integration into the city council who will vote on it at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, the City of DeKalb should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan. They could benefit from additional training and staff to support mitigation plan activities.

Hooks, population 2,518. The city of Hooks has a mayor, a fire chief, who also serves as the emergency management coordinator, and a maintenance program and chief building official. The city of Hooks will integrate data and action recommendations from the mitigation plan into the

existing capital implements plan into the local emergency operations plan. A city council member or the mayor will propose the plans integration to the city council who will vote on it at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand the City of Hooks should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations. They could also benefit from additional training and staff to support mitigation plan activities.

Leary, Population 433, The city of Leary has a mayor and a city council the jurisdiction of Leary will integrate data and action recommendations into the existing maintenance program. The city of Leary will integrate data and action recommendations from the mitigation plan into the existing capital implements plan and into the local emergency operations plan. A city council member or the mayor will propose it to the city council who will vote on it at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, the City of Leary should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations.

Maud, population, 977. The city of Maud has a mayor, a fire chief, who also serves as the emergency management coordinator, and a public works department as well as a police officer. The city of Maud will integrate data and actions recommendations into elements of the local emergency management plan and the zoning ordinance. A city council member or the mayor will submit proposals to the city council who will vote on it at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, the City of Maud should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations.

Nash, population 3,814. The city of Nash has a mayor, city manager, fire department, police department, and public works department. It also has building codes and zoning ordinances. The city of Nash will integrate mitigation data and action recommendations into elements of the local master plan. The mayor will make this proposal at the quarterly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this update upon the city council's majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities the City of Nash should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations. They could also benefit from additional training and staff to support mitigation plan activities.

New Boston, population 4,612. New Boston has a mayor and a city manager. It also has a fire department, a police department, and a public works department. International building codes are in place and enforced. New Boston will integrate actions and recommendations of the mitigation plan into the Capital improvements plan and the master plan the city manager will propose these actions at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, the city of New Boston should establish

a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations. They could also benefit from additional training and staff to support mitigation plan activities.

Red Lick, population 946. The city of Red Lick has a mayor, and a city council. The city of Red Lick will integrate data and action recommendations from the mitigation plan into the existing capital implements plan and into the local emergency operations plan. The Emergency Management Coordinator will propose this at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, the City of Red Lick should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations.

Redwater, population 853. Redwater has a mayor, a city council, and a fire chief. Redwater will integrate actions and recommendations of the mitigation plan into the Redwater Capital Improvement Plan. The mayor or city council member will propose this action at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, the City of Redwater should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations. They could also benefit from additional training and staff to support mitigation plan activities.

Wake Village, population 5,945: Wake Village has a mayor and a city manager. It also has a fire department, a police department, and a public works department. International building codes are in place and enforced. Wake Village will integrate actions and recommendations of the mitigation plan into the Capital improvements plan and the master plan the city manager will propose these actions at the monthly city council meeting. The mayor will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, the city of Wake Village should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations. They could also benefit from additional training and staff to support mitigation plan activities.

TexAmericas Center: TexAmericas Center has a Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operations Officer, and Vice President of Finance. International building codes are in place and enforced. TexAmericas Center will integrate actions and recommendations of the mitigation plan into their Policy Documents and the Chief Executive Officer will propose these actions at the monthly Board of Directors Meeting. The Executive Director will sign this into action after a majority vote. To improve and expand capabilities, TexAmericas Center should establish a Hazard Mitigation Team to address their Hazard Mitigation Plan recommendations. They could also benefit from additional training and staff to support mitigation plan activities.

RESOLUTION Bowie County

WHEREA5, the County of Bowle, the Citles of DeXalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREA5, the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan Including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THIS LETT DAY OF NAVEMLER, 2022

County Judge, Bowie County, Texas

ATTEST County Clerk



RESOLUTION 2022-019

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Sive-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five year Updated Miligation Action Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Mauc, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THIS 11" DAY OF OCTOBER, 2022

CAN

Mayor, DeKalb, Texas

City Secretary

RESOLUTION Hooks

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boylon, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center Nave decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas. Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan: and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie. the Ottles of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the Counly of Bowie, the Ottles of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THI

2022

Mayor, Hooks, Texas

City Secretary

RESOLUTION

WHENERAS, the fourty of Bowle. The Otles of Desalb, Hooks, Irany, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Tick, Recreater, and Wake Village and the special distribut TeuArrentus Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential horards started by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalo, Honks, Teary, Maud, Nash, New Boston Red Tick, Kedwattr, and Wake Vilage and the special district TexAmoricus Cenver have each bave recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Operated Minigetion Action Plantar d

WHERLAS, the County of Bowlo, the Othes of DoKata, House, Leary, Maud, Nash, Now Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Crinter have decided to paintly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

IN LREPORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Dowis, the Otios of Dokala, Papes, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Roo Luk, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly acopt and exprove said Five year Lodgled Villgation Action Plan; and

HE IT FURTHLE RESOLVED that the County of Bowle, the Oties of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maus-Nach, New Boscon, Rep Lick, Redwater, and Wake V legs and the special district TexAmericas. Center shall mutually appoint a Leared Milligation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Romace Mitigation Action Plan including represented and merutering for the Dating of Bowle, the Cities of DaKalo, Hooks, Leary, Maudi, Nash, Now Boston, Red Lick, Recruiter, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in actor dance With the resolution.

,2022 RESOLVED THIS

May:

C ty Secretary

RESOLUTION 22-1017

WHEREAS the County of Bowie, the Cities of DcKalb Hooks, Leary Maud, Nash, New Besten, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents, and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston Red Lick Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKa b. Hooks. Leary, Maud, Nash New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Milligation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THIS 17t DAY OF Detabler 2022

Mayor Méud. Texas

ATTEST City Secretar

RESOLUTION Nash

WHEREAS, the County of Bowle, the Citles of DeXalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district Tex/mericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Rod Lick, Rodwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas. Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeXalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THE , 2022

Mayor, Nash, Texas

Secretar

RESOLUTION New Boston

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action. Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THIS 20 th DAY OF September, 2022

Mayor, New Hoston Nexa

- Moore

RESOLUTION Red Lick

WHEREAS, the County of Bowle, the Clues of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their subnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalo, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Rod Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DoKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston. Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericos Conum have derided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE. BE IT RESO! VED that the County of Bowie, the Citiles of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boslun, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas. Center herapy jointly acopt and approve sold Hve-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

BF IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Citics of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

of DAY OF Juptencher, 2022

layor, Red Lick, Texas

RESOLUTION NO. R-22-08-23

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, and the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS: the County of Bowie, and the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five Year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, and the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five Year Updated Miligation Action Plan.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, and the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five Year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THIS 12th DAY OF December , 2022

Clay Parker, Mayor City of Redwater

ATTEST:

Dessie Whelchel, City Administrator / Finance Director City of Redwater



RESOLUTION 14-22

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WAKE VILLAGE, TEXAS APPROVING A FIVE-YEAR UPDATED HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Reowater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential flazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowle, the Citles of Dekalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowle, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly adopt and approve sald Five-year Updated Mitigation Action Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Miaud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

RESOLVED THIS 10TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2022

Sheryl Collum, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jim Roberts, City Secretary



RESOLUTION NO. 20220927-29

RESOLUTION APPROVING A FIVE-YEAR UPDATED HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN

WHEREAS, TexAmericas Center is a political subdivision of the State of Texas with the powers and authorities specified in Chapter 3503 of the Special District Local Laws Code of the State of Texas; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center recognize their vulnerability and the many potential hazards shared by all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have each have recognized the need to prepare a Five-year Updated Hazard Mitigation Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center have decided to jointly prepare one Five-year Updated Hazard Mitigation Action Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center hereby jointly adopt and approve said Five-year Updated Hazard Mitigation Action Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Bowie, the Citles of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center shall mutually appoint a Hazard Mitigation Coordinator to coordinate all aspects of the Updated and Revised Mitigation Action Plan including its review and maintenance, for the County of Bowie, the Cities of DeKalb, Hoöks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, and Wake Village and the special district TexAmericas Center in accordance with this resolution.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 27th day of September, 2022

Jim Roberts, Chalrman of the Board

ATTES Justin Powell, Secretary

n/tac)agenila uko and minutes/bourd into 2022/20220927 board moting/ruso 20220927-29 hazard mitigation plannascentes/seenter_on rensee 20220920.dock

C) Robert Sheppard



HOT IS COUNTY, TEXAS

Draft Proposal of the Five-Year Update of the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan

A draft copy of the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan Five-Year Update is posted on the Bowie County Website.

https://newtools.cira.state.tx.us/upload/page/2665/Bowie%20County%20Hazard%20Mitigation%20202 2%20Plan%20Update.pdf

https://newtools.cira.state.tx.us/tools/Content.aspx

The Plan DRAFT has been posted to allow the citizens and stakeholders of the county to review and comment prior to official plan approval. The posted draft is designed to give viewers an idea of what the completed, approved plan will look like. Contact information is posted with plan draft.

Hazard Mitigation is defined as any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to life and property from hazard events. It is an on-going process that occurs before, during, and after disasters and serves to break the cycle of damage and repair in hazardous areas.

This is a *draft* of the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan Five-year Update. It is posted on our county website so identified stakeholders and the public can review its contents. The posted draft is designed to give viewers an idea of what the completed, approved plan will look like.

Hazard Mitigation is defined as any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to life and property from hazard events. It is an on-going process that occurs before, during, and after disasters and serves to break the cycle of damage and repair in hazardous areas.

If you have any questions or comments, contact:

Kathy McCollum Hazard Mitigation/Environmental Specialist Ark-Tex Council of Governments 4808 Elizabeth Street Texarkana, TX 75503 903-255-3576 kmccollum@atcog.org

4190 Legal Notices Ereaker Robinson; tes Wilser: B038: dther party to whom it is bother party to whom it is to be a subcontract i under this contract munt be ac-under this contract munt be interpher Weeks; lewis. DTY OF LINDEN, TEXAS Lewis. DTY OF LINDEN, TEXAS Lewis. DTY OF LINDEN, TEXAS DEFINITION OF LINDEN, TEXAS Lewis. DEFINITION OF LINDEN, TE Notices

te auction for the atisfying landlord's begin 07/17/2022, W WW. W A L T-NS. COM, and will 022, Property will a highest bidder for measures the sight for reserves the right to ry bid and to withty from sale. All household items unless otherwise perty being sold is of the following

stephens; 1059: hy-Morine; 1248: 1148: Grace Al-35: Toby Hansen. conducted online IDDERS OF THE

OF THE CITY OF O LET CONTRACT REHABILITATION HOOL LANE addressed to Lee ministrator, City of 104 S. Main St., a 75563, will be 111 2:00 P.M., uly 26, 2022 for labor, equipment,

plies, and super-E STREET REHAB time at City Hall, ge may be exam harge Linden City

fain St., Linden, or at the office of ngineering, 118 et, Texarkana, AR opy of each set of ay be purchased is Engineering for cuments may be w.alfranksengi-No refunds will

Franks Engineer-

ng, P.E. reet 71854 6-1906

1907

ing@alfranksen-OR CASHIER'S acceptable bid unt not less than

) of the total bid if awarded the der will prometatract with the Texas and furthe forms pro-

ance and Pay-

e conducted online talt cade, CA, ATS, Lot 16645. Teby given, U-Haul Jorage located at le Line Rd. in Tex-75503 will hold a. A draft copy of the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan A draft copy of the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan Five-Tear Update is posted on the been 07/12/2022 Bowie County We hold the State Sta Bowie County Website b o wie County Website, https://www.osi.cra.state.bc.ud/ upicad/page/2555/Bowe%/2020 unty%/20Hazm/%/2004/te.pd/ https://newtoola.cra.state.bc.us/ cods/Content.aspx The Plan DRAFT has been post-

ed to allow the citizens an stakeholders of the county to re view and comment prior to offiand ricial plan approval. The posted draft is designed to give viewers an idea of what the completed, approved plan will look like. Contact information is posted

with plan draft. 35: Toby Hansen. Hazard Mitigation is defined as conducted online any sustained action taken to alt Cade, CAI, ATS, reduce or eliminate the longreduce or eliminate the long-term risk to life and property from hazard events. It is an on-going process that occurs before, during, and after disas-ters and serves to break the cy-cle of damage and repair in hazardous areas

This is a draft of the Bowie County Hazard Mitigation Plan Five-year Update. It is posted on our county website so identified stakeholders and the public can review its contents. The posted draft is designed to give viewers an idea of what the completed,

approved plan will look like. Hazard Mitigation is defined as any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to life and property from hazard events. It is an on-going process that occurs before, during, and after disas-

ters and serves to break the cy-cle of damage and repair in IN THE MATTER OF THE hazardous area If you have any questions or GLENDA JO SULLIVAN

comments, contact: Kathy McCollum Hazard Mitigation/Environmental

Ark-Tex Council of Governments 4808 Elizabeth Street

Texarkana, TX 75503 903-255-3576 kmccollum@atcog.org IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

MILLER COUNTY, ARKANSAS PROBATE DIVISION 46PR-22-129-3 IN THE MATTER OF THE

ESTATE OF

M T JOHNSON, Deceased NOTICE OF APPOINTMENT OF ADMINISTRATOR AND FILING OF CLAIMS

in the Circuit Court of Miller County, Arkamsas, Probate Divi-sion Estate of M T Johnson, de-

Last known address of decedent: 2119 Grove Street, Texarkana, FUL BIDDER OR Arkansas 71854. Date of Death: September 19,

required to tur-2018 th in the amount. The undersigned was appointed

OF BOWIE COUNTY, TEXAS IN THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM FRED JEFFIRS

4190 Legal Notices

TEXARKANA GAZETTE * SUNDAY, JULY 10, 2022

Citation by Publication Service The State of Texas The State of Texas The State of Texas The State of Texas dent CREETING dent CREETING dent CREETING to UNKOWN HEIRS (Senon-dent CREETING to appear before the Honorable of sud County, a the City of lies of sud County, in the City of lies wednesday after the expiration of the days from the date of publication of this classion by lie-ing a written amounty of the county county of the county lies of the class of the clas of ten days from the date on publication of this citation by Ib-ing a written answer to an ap-plication filed in said Court on the 16th days of June. 2022, in Cause No. 43.421-CCL and styled: Testikane, AR 71854

Notice publication of the date of publication being not less that ten (10) days form the return date exclusive of the date of publication Cation. ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY

HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT at New Boston, Texas, this the 16th day of JUNE, 2022. TINA PETTY

BOWIE COUNTY CLERK 710 JAMES BOWE DRIVE NEW BOSTON, TX 75570 By: Afton Thomps ADDRESS FOR APPLICANT OR APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY

C. DAVID GLASS 5325 SUMMERHILL ROAD

TEXARIKANA, TEXAS 75503 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MILLER COUNTY, ARKANSAS

PROBATE DIVISION

ESTATE OF

NOTICE OF APPOINTMENT OF ADMINISTRATOR AND FILING OF CLAIMS In the Circuit Court of Miller

County, Arkansas, Probate Divi-sion Estate of Glenda Jo Sullivan, deceased.

Last known address of decedent: 3243 Miller County 3, Doddridge, Arkansas 71834 Date of Death: October 7, 2018.

The undersigned was appointed administrator of the estate of the above-named decedent on the 30th day of June 2022.

All persons having claims against the estate must exhibit em, duly verified, to the Miller

County Clerk within six (6) months from the date of the first publication of this notice, or they shall be forever barred and pre-

4190 Legal Notices

The statement of the nature of the application is as tollows: Termination is as tollows as to the part of the application of the nature of the application is as tollows as of their high jump and to writ. Application to the application on the mitisc equation of the nature of the application on the the application on the nature of the application on the applicati



Estate Sale @ 5612 HWY 82 West, New Boston (Maita) 07/15 9am-4pm & 07/16 9am-2pm Cash only-You Load

4406 Texarkana, Tx.

4403 Other Areas

Yard Sale 489 S. Kings HWY Bethel SDA Church 8am-5pm 07/11 - 07/15

Handyman Found!

Look no further, this **Business Directory** might just have the handyman you've been looking for.

Check it out!

FXARKANA



Sample Stakeholder email

Judge John Doe,

The Ark-Tex Council of Governments, Bowie County, and the jurisdictions of DeKalb, Hooks, Leary, Maud, Nash, New Boston, Red Lick, Redwater, Wake Village, and TexAmericas Center are preparing a Hazard Mitigation Plan Five-Year Update. Your county or organization has been identified as a possible stakeholder in the plan and we invite you to participate in our plan development. Hazard Mitigation is defined as any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to life and property from hazard events.

Emergency management coordinators, county judges, non-profit organizations, law enforcement, local civil servants, nonprofit groups, and other interested parties are invited to participate. To review a *draft* of the plan, go to <u>BOWIE COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN UPDATE 2022</u>. We will be happy to consider your interests, questions, concerns, suggestions, and participation in the development of this plan. You may contact me by phone or by e-mail at your convenience.

To find out more about hazard mitigation click on or paste the following link: Hazard Mitigation Planning for Local Communities (fema.gov)

If you need additional information, feel free to contact my office.

Kathy McCollum Hazard Mitigation/Environmental Specialist



4808 Elizabeth Street Texarkana, TX 75503 903-255-3576 kmccollum@atcog.org